Chapter 1

Chlorophyll a Fluorescence: A Bit of Basics and History¹

Govindjee*

Departments of Biochemistry and Plant Biology, and Center of Biophysics and Computational Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801-3707, U.S.A.

Summary	2
I. Introduction	2
A. Discovery of Chlorophyll Fluorescence	3
1. The Book and Its Authors	4
B.Relationship of Fluorescence to Photosynthesis	4
1. Pre-Kautsky Observations	4
2. Kautsky's Observations	4
C. Basic Equations: Relationship of Photochemistry to Chlorophyll a Fluorescence	9
D. Basic Fluorescence Measurements	10
1. Intensity, Quantum Yield, and Lifetime	10
2. Polarization, Excitation Spectra, Emission Spectra, and Kinetics	10
a. Polarization	10
b. Excitation Spectra of Acceptor Fluorescence	11
c. Emission Spectra of Fluorescence	11
d. Kinetics of Chlorophyll a Fluorescence	11
E. A List of the Past Books and Reviews on Chlorophyll a Fluorescence	11
II. The Two-Light Reaction and Two-Pigment System Concept	12
A. The 'Z'-scheme of Oxygenic Photosynthesis	12
1. The First Step	14
2. The Electron Transfer Steps	14
3. ATP Synthesis	15
B. The 1952 Observations of L. N. M. Duysens: Active and Inactive Chlorophylls	15
C. Photosystem II and Photosystem I Fluorescence: Background	16
D. The Two-Light Effect in Fluorescence	16
E. Introduction of 'Q' (Q _A), the 'Quencher of Chlorophyll Fluorescence'	17
F. Separation of Photosystem II and Photosystem I Fluorescence	17
1. Physical Separation	17
2. Fluorescence of the Pigment Systems	17
III. Photosynthetic Unit and Excitation Energy Transfer	18
A. Photosynthetic Unit	
B. Excitation Energy Transfer and Migration	
C. Chlorophylls in Crystal Structures of Light-harvesting Chlorophyll Complex, Photosystem II, F	Photo-
system I and in Cytochrome b ₆ f complex	21
IV. The Fluorescence Transient	22
A. Some Correlations	
1. Complementarity	
2. Plastoquinone Pool Size	
3. Sites of Inhibition	

*Author for correspondence, email: gov@life.uiuc.edu

¹Portions of Govindjee (1995) 'Viewpoint: Sixty-three Years Since Kautsky: Chlorophyll *a* Fluorescence,' originally published in Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, Vol. 22: 131–160, http://www.publish.csiro.au/journals/fpb>, are used in this chapter, with permission of CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne Australia.

2	CFL001	Govindjee
	B. The Fast Transient of Chlorophyll a Fluorescence(OJIP)	
V. The	e Photosystem II Reactions and Chlorophyll Fluorescence	
	A. Electron Transport on the Donor Side of Photosystem II	
	1. Oxidized Reaction Center Chlorophyll, P680+, as a Quencher of Chlorophy	/II a
	Fluorescence in Photosystem II; Donation of Electron from Tyrosine Y ₇ (or	Z) to P680 ⁺ 25
	2. Water to Y ₇ (or Z) reaction	
	B. Electron Transport on the Acceptor Side of Photosystem II	
	1. Q _↓ to Plastoquinone Reactions	
	2. The Two-electron Gate: Discovery of Q _B	26
	C. Role of Bicarbonate	
	D. Connectivity Between Photosystem II Units	
VI. No	on-photochemical Quenching of Chl Fluorescence	
	A. Early Ideas on Non-Photochemical Quenching	
	B. Xanthophyll Cycle and the Non-photochemical Quenching	
VII. Co	oncluding Remarks	
Ackno	wledgments	
Refere	ences	

Summary

Chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence is a non-destructive intrinsic probe of several aspects of oxygenic photosynthesis. In this chapter, the goal is to bring to the readers the basics of Chl fluorescence, a bit of history, it's potential in understanding primary photophysical events (excitation energy transfer; charge separation), and secondary reactions (electron transport). This chapter is an extension of an earlier overview by the author (Govindjee, 1995). References are made to selected original and historical papers and reviews in order to lead the readers to earlier work often unavailable on the Internet. It is obvious now that in Chl a fluorescence measurements, we have come a long way since Kautsky's discovery 73 years ago. Chl a fluorescence has provided new and important information on the composition of the pigment systems, excitation energy transfer, physical changes in pigment-protein complexes, primary photochemistry, kinetics and rates of electron transfer reactions in Photosystem II (PS II), the sites of various inhibitors, and activators, and of lesions in newly constructed mu-tants. The purpose of this chapter is not to present the current and updated information on Chl fluorescence, but to guide the readers to the outstanding chapters written by international experts in the field. (Photographs of these authors are also included here.)

I. Introduction

Chlorophyll (Chl) a fluorescence is no longer in the purview of specialists alone as it has become a routine probe for information, sometimes misinformation, on the various aspects of photosynthesis. If used

properly, it informs on the identity of the various pigments and pigment complexes, their organization, excitation energy transfer among them, and on the various electron-transfer reactions, specifically of Photosystem II (PS II). For the basics of photosynthesis, see Rabinowitch and Govindjee (1969), Ke

Abbreviations (also see the legend of Figure 4): Chl - chlorophyll; CP43, CP47 - minor antenna chlorophyll protein complexes in PS II core; Cyt - cytochrome; D1, D2 - polypeptide D1 and D2 of reaction center II; DTT - dithiothreotol; F685, F696, F720, F740 – fluorescence emission bands with peaks at 685 nm, 696 nm, 720 nm and 740 nm; F₀, F_m, F_v, F_t – fluorescence intensity at the minimal level, at the maximal level, $F_v = F_m - F_o$, and fluorescence level at time t; k_o , k_o , k_o , k_h , k_w - rate constants of photochemistry, of fluorescence, of other losses, of heat loss, of energy transfer; LHCII, LHCI – Light-harvesting complex II, Light-harvesting complex I; NPQ - non photochemical quenching of Chl fluorescence; O, J. I. P, S, M, T - names for the various points in Chl fluorescence transient (see footnote³); OEC – oxygen evolving complex; \mathbf{P} , \mathbf{p} , \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{p} – degree of polarization of fluorescence, probability of exciton transfer among PS II units, Paillotin's connection parameter, and probability of effective collision; P680, P700 - reaction center Chls of PS II and PS I, respectively, with one of their absorption bands at 680 and 700 nm, respectively; PS II, PS I - Photosystem II, Photosystem I; Q_A – primary plastoquinone one-electron acceptor of PS II; Q_B – secondary plastoquinone two-electron acceptor of PS II; S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_4 redox states of the oxygen-evolving (tetranuclear Mn) complex, the subscripts refer to the positive charges; Y_7 (or Z) – tyrosine-161 of D1 protein, electron donor to P680⁺; ϕ_n , ϕ_f – quantum yield of photochemistry, quantum yield of fluorescence; τ , τ_o – lifetime of fluorescence (measured), intrinsic lifetime of fluorescence

> There were several markings involving the P,p, etc. stuff that I could not decipher.

(2001) and Blankenship (2002).

1

22

23

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

52

2 Photosynthesis is initiated by light absorption. 3 Chlorophyll *a* is one of the central molecules that 4 absorbs sunlight and this energy is used to synthe-5 size carbohydrates from CO₂ and water. Figure 1 6 shows light absorption by Chl a, Chl b, and other 7 photosynthetic pigments (carotenoids, phycoerythrin and phycocyanin), as well as Chl fluorescence. (The 8 9 cover of the book is a colored plate of Fig. 1, but, in addition, it includes the spectrum of the sunlight that 10 falls on Earth's surface (courtesy of Nancy Kiang, 11 12 NASA Goddard Institute of Space Studies). The 13 chloro portion of the word chlorophyll is from the Greek *chloros* ($\chi\lambda\omega\rho\sigma\varsigma$), which means yellowish 14 15 green, and *phyllon* ($\phi v \lambda \lambda o v$), which means leaf. The 16 process of photosynthesis is the basis for sustain-17 ing the life processes of all plants. Since animals 18 and humans ultimately obtain their food by eating 19 plants, photosynthesis can be said to be the source 20 of our life also. 21

A. Discovery of Chlorophyll Fluorescence

24 E. N. Harvey (1957) presented an early history of 25 luminescence until 1900. Luminescence is a generic 26 word for all types of light emission (delayed light 27 emission; thermoluminescence; prompt fluorescence, 28 and phosphorescence). A summary of the discovery 29 of luminescence follows (Govindjee, 1995): 'Luminescence' was first observed, in 1565, by Nicolas 30 31 Monardes, a Spanish medical doctor and botanist, in the extract of Lignum nephritcum (that was recom-
mended for curing kidney ailments). (See Berlman,
1965, for a description of this observation.) However,
Althanius Kircher (1646) was the first one to discuss,
at length, its bichromatic appearance. It was yellow in
transmitted light and blue in reflected light; perhaps,
the blue light was fluorescence.53

Sir David Brewster (1834), a Scottish preacher, 60 first noted the red emission from Chl. While discuss-61 ing his concept of the color of natural bodies, he 62 remarked almost in passing 'In making a strong beam 63 of the sun's light pass through the green fluid, I was 64 surprised to observe that its color was a brilliant red, 65 complementary to the green. By making the ray pass 66 through greater thickness in succession, it became 67 first orange and then...'The green fluid in Brewster's 68 experiment was an alcohol extract of laurel leaves. 69 It must have contained Chl, the green pigment of 70 leaves, as named by Pelletier and Caventou (1818). 71 Govindjee (1995) considered it likely that this was 72 not only the discovery of Chl fluorescence, but also 73 of the phenomenon of reabsorption of fluorescence 74 in thick samples. 75

The clearest discovery of the phenomenon of fluorescence was that by Sir John Herschel (1845a,b) in a solution of quinine sulfate. He noted the 'celestial' blue color of this solution, but had unfortunately called it epipolic dispersion. The following year Brewster (1846) designated it as internal dispersion. It was left to Sir G.G. Stokes to call it fluorescence. Stokes (1852), professor of mathematics at Cam-



46 Fig. 1. In vivo absorption spectra of selected photosynthetic pigments from plants, algae and cyanobacteria, and fluorescence spectrum 98 of Chl a. Chl a and Chl b absorption spectra, in diethyl ether (Du et al., 1998), were shifted in wavelengths to match the in vivo absorp-47 99 tion peaks in PS II reaction centers and in light-harvesting Chl a/Chl b complex. Carotenoid absorption spectrum is an estimated (Go-48 100 vindjee, 1960) in vivo absorption spectrum in green algae. Phycoerythrin and phycocyanin absorption spectra are unpublished spectra 49 101 from Govindjee's laboratory (also see Ke, 2001). Chl a fluorescence spectrum, from spinach chloroplasts, is from Fig. 5 (Govindjee 50 102 and Yang). (See the cover of the book for a colored version of this figure that includes solar spectrum as well (Lean and Rind, 1998). (N. 51 Kiang and Govindjee, unpublished, 2004.)

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

Govindjee

53

54

55

56

57

72

73

74

CFL001

1 bridge University, who is well known for the discov-2 ery that emission bands are shifted to wavelengths 3 longer than the absorption bands (the Stokes shift), 4 first used the term dispersive reflexion, but quickly 5 added a footnote: 'I confess that I do not like this term. I am almost inclined to coin a word, and call 6 7 the appearance fluorescence, from fluor-spar, as the 8 analogous term opalescence is derived from the name of a mineral.'[Latin fluo = to flow + spar = a rock).] 9 Stokes was the first one to recognize this phenomenon 10 11 as light emission. E. Askenasy (1867) credited Stokes 12 also for the discovery of both phycobilin and Chl a 13 fluorescence in fresh red algae.

14 The term 'phosphorescence' dates back to the early 15 1500s and was so named after the Greek words for 16 light (phos, $\phi\omega\varsigma$) and to bear (phero, $\phi\epsilon\rho\omega$). In fact, 17 the element phosphorus was named from the same 18 Greek word, since it was found to produce a bright 19 light in the dark.

20 21

21 1. The Book and Its Authors22

23 The physicist Leo Szilard once announced to 24 his friend Hans Bethe that he was thinking 25 of keeping a diary: 'I don't intend to publish 26 it: I am really going to record the facts for 27 the information of God.' Don't you think 28 God knows the facts?' Bethe asked. 'Yes' said 29 Szilard'. He knows the facts, but he does not 30 this version of the facts' Freeman Dyson, 31 Disturbing the Universe (Harper and Row, 32 New York, 1979) 33

34 George Papageorgiou and I agree with Leo Szilard; 35 thus, the authors of this book have presented their 36 versions of the facts. This, of course, has led to some 37 contradictory views in this book. The readers' job is 38 to decide which 'facts' they agree with. George Papa-39 georgiou (Chapter 2) provides basic information on 40 the fluorescence of photosynthetic pigments in vitro 41 and in vivo, whereas Neil Baker and Kevin Oxborough 42 (Chapter 3) discuss the use of Chl fluorescence as a 43 probe of photosynthetic productivity. Esa Tyystjärvi 44 and Imre Vass (Chapter 13) discuss the relationship 45 of prompt fluorescence to delayed light emission 46 and thermoluminescence. (For a historical perspec-47 tive on thermoluminescence, see Vass, 2003.) Other 48 chapters in this book are cited later in this chapter. 49 Figure 2 shows a photograph of most of the authors 50 in this book.

B.Relationship of Fluorescence to Photosynthesis

1. Pre-Kautsky Observations

It was N. J. C. Müller (1874), among others, who 58 noticed that a green living leaf had a much weaker red 59 Chl fluorescence than a dilute Chl solution. Although 60 Müller had predicted an inverse relation between Chl 61 fluorescence and photosynthesis, his experiments 62 were not done with proper controls. Since both dura-63 tion of experiment and temperature changed during 64 his measurements, Govindjee (1995) found it difficult 65 to credit him with the discovery of Chl fluorescence 66 transient (or induction). Further, Müller's concepts 67 on absorption bands cannot be accepted because he 68 used acoustic analogy — vibrations of strings — he 69 expected absorption at all the overtones. Transition 70 dipoles are not strings. 71

2. Kautsky's Observations

On 19 October 1931, Hans Kautsky and A. Hirsch at 75 the Chemisches Institut der Universität in Heidelberg, 76 Germany, submitted a less-than-one page report (a 77 'Kurze Originalmitteilung') whose title can be trans-78 lated as 'New experiments on carbon dioxide assimi-79 lation.'Following illumination of dark-adapted leaves, 80 the time course of Chl fluorescence, observed with the 81 authors' eyes, was correlated, although qualitatively, 82 with the time course of CO₂ assimilation, published 83 earlier by Otto Warburg (1920). The main observa-84 tions illustrated in Fig. 3 were (Govindjee, 1995): (a) 85 Chl fluorescence rises rapidly to a maximum, then 86 declines and finally reaches a steady level, all within a 87 matter of minutes. (b) The rising portion of the curve 88 was considered to reflect the primary photochemical 89 reaction of photosynthesis, as it was unaffected by 90 temperature (0 and 30 °C) and by a poison (authors say 91 HCN, but it is likely that they used a KCN or NaCN 92 solution). If the light was turned off at the maximum, 93 the fluorescence transient recovered quickly. (c) The 94 decline in the fluorescence curve was found to be 95 inversely correlated with the increase in the rate of 96 CO₂ assimilation; this suggested to the authors that 97 more chemical energy is produced from photons when 98 99

Fig. 2, next four pages. Photographs of the 56 of the 59 authors of the 31 chapters in this book. Photographs were provided by the authors.

100

101

51 52

Regarding the Dyson quote: what I thought was odd was the spacing--I've never seen anything moved over like that out of the clear blue. So, now I move it back. If you want a different font you have to let me know what it is, I cannot guess what you want. However, it must be a Postscript Type 1 font to meet with Kluwer's specifications.

¹⁰² 103 104

Chapter 1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence







Chapters 2 and 26. G. C. Papageorgiou



Chapter 3. N. R. Baker



Chapters 3 and 15. K. Oxborough



Chapter 4. R. M. Clegg



R. Van Grondelle(Left) and B. Gobets(Right)



Chapter 6. W. J. Vredenberg



Chapter 7. M. Mimuro







Chapter 8. V. Shinkarev Chapter 9. S. Itoh(Left) and K. Sugiura(Right)



Chapter 10. (Left to Right) D. M. Kramer, A. Kanazawa, and J.A. Cruz

CFL001

Govindjee



Chapter 11. U. Schreiber Chapter 12. (Left to Right) R. J. Strasser, M. Tsimilli-Michael, and A. Srivastava









Chapter 16. I. Moya(Left) and Z. G. Cerovic(Right)





Chapter 17. J. F. Allen(Left) and C. W. Mullineaux(Right)



Chapter 18. G. H. Krause(Left) and P. Jahns(Right)





Chapter 19. D. Bruce(Left) and S. Vasil'ev(Right)



Chapter 20. (Left to Right) T. Golan, X. P. Li, P. Müller-Moulé, and K. K. Niyogi





Chapter 21, A. M. Gilmore Chapter 22 W. W. AdamsIII (Left) and B. Demmig-Adams(Right)



Chapter 23. M. Tevini





Chapter 24. N. G. Bukhov(Left) and R. Carpentier(Right)





Chapter 25. M. K. Joshi(Left) and P. Mohanty(Right) Chapter 26. K. Stamatakis

Govindjee



Chapter 27. J. K. Hoober(Left) and J. H. Argyroudi-Akoyunoglou(Right)





Chapter 28. II. K. Lichtenthaler(Left) and F. Babani(Right)



Chapter 29. J. Cavender-Bares(Left) and F. A. Bazzaz(Right)







Chapter 30. (Left to Right) P. G. Falkowski, M. Koblizek, M. Gorbunov, and Z. Kolber



Chapter 31. J. A. Raven(Left) and S. C. Maberly(Right)

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

32



Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the Kautsky curve (Kautsky and Hirsch, 1931): Chl *a* fluorescence changes in the leaves, as observed by eyes (reproduced from Govindjee,1995).

14 less Chl fluorescence is seen. (d) The long lag in the carbon assimilation was considered rather strange-it 15 16 seems that 'light-dependent' processes are required 17 for the full development of the carbon assimilation 18 process; also unexplained was the long time needed 19 for the recovery of fluorescence transient if the light 20 was turned off after the transient was completed. I 21 consider these observations to be a landmark in the 22 history of photosynthesis. Lichtenthaler (1992) has 23 provided further details about Kautsky and his work 24 on Chl fluorescence induction kinetics. Reto Stras-25 ser, Merope Tsimili-Michael and Alaka Srivastava 26 (Chapter 12) provide a quantitative view for the 27 understanding of the intricacies of Chl fluorescence 28 induction or transient. 29

30 C. Basic Equations: Relationship of Photo-31 chemistry to Chlorophyll a Fluorescence

33 Since the relationship of Chl fluorescence to pho-34 tochemistry is paramount to its use as a probe of 35 photosynthesis, the basic algebra behind this relation 36 will be presented below. Upon absorption of light, Chl 37 a molecules, in the Chl-protein complexes of Pho-38 tosystem (PS) II, that contain many Chl molecules, 39 go to their excited singlet states (Chl a^*); they then 40 decay to the ground state by several pathways. The 41 quantum yield (ϕ) of a process 'i' of the ensemble 42 of Chl molecules is related to the rate constants (k's) 43 of the various de-excitation pathways (subscripts: f for fluorescence, p for photochemistry, o for all 44 45 others that include mainly heat (h) losses; here, the rate constant of the excitation energy transfer (k_{tr}) is 46 47 included in k_n as it leads to photochemistry: 48

50 51

49

52

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

104

9

As a reminder, the k, that is assumed to be a first 53 order rate constant, represents the number of transitions per second, or the number of events per second. 55 The inverse of k is nothing else but τ (lifetime), i.e., 56 the time needed for one transition or event. 57

The quantum yield of photochemistry (ϕ_p) is written, therefore, as,

$$\phi_{\rm p} = k_{\rm p} / (k_{\rm p} + k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm o}) \tag{2}$$

The quantum yield of minimal Chl *a* fluorescence (ϕ_{fo}) , i.e., when photochemistry is maximal $(k_p approaches a value close to 1):$

$$\phi_{fo} = k_f / (k_p + k_f + k_o)$$
(3)

The quantum yield of maximal Chl *a* fluorescence $(\phi_{fm} \text{ (m for maximal)})$, i.e., when photochemistry is minimal (k_p approaches zero, i.e., it can be neglected; this is achieved either at saturating exciting light, or in the presence of diuron (DCMU, (3-(3,4- dichlorophenyl)-1.1'-dimethyl urea) that blocks electron flow beyond the plastoquinone acceptor Q_A of PS II) is:

$$\phi_{\rm fm} = k_{\rm f} / (k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm o}) \tag{4}$$

 $(\phi_{fm} - \phi_{fo})/\phi_{fm}$ can be equated to the maximal variable fluorescence $(F_v = F_m - F_o)^2$ divided by F_m (the assumption being that there are no changes in absorption cross section of the fluorescent Chl species, and that there are no changes in the incident light intensities):

$$(\phi_{\rm fm} - \phi_{\rm fo}) / \phi_{\rm fm} = (F_{\rm m} - F_{\rm o}) / F_{\rm m} = F_{\rm v} / F_{\rm m}$$
 (5)

Rearranging Eqs. (3) and (4), for the values of ϕ_{fo} and ϕ_{fm} , respectively, we can write for equation (5):

⁹⁰ ²The F_o measurement: It is essential to mention that one of the 91 common mistakes most first time users of Chl fluorescence make is not recognizing that measurement of F_v/F_m requires that F_o 92 be measured precisely. When fluorescence is measured by low 93 intensity exciting light, one must do the experiment at different 94 low light intensities and choose the intensity where F, does not 95 change with time, i.e., the exciting light does not have an actinic 96 (actinic means activates photosynthesis) effect. This becomes important when DCMU (3-(3,4 dichlorophenyl)-1,1' dimethy-97 lurea) is present because then the fluorescence rise is fast and 98 one can easily miss the true F_o. Further, DCMU must be added 99 in total darkness and the sample should not be exposed to any 100 light before measurements are made: Since DCMU functions 101 by displacing Q_B, even low light can cause quick net formation of Q_A raising artificially the measured F_o as Chl fluorescence is 102 high when Q_A^- is present. 103

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

24

25

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

$$\{k_{\rm f}/(k_{\rm f}+k_{\rm o})\} - \{k_{\rm f}/(k_{\rm p}+k_{\rm f}+k_{\rm o})\}/\{k_{\rm f}/(k_{\rm f}+k_{\rm o})\}$$
(6)

Dividing the numerators by the denominator, we have:

$$1 - \{(k_{f} + k_{o})/(k_{p} + k_{f} + k_{o})\} = k_{p}/(k_{p} + k_{f} + k_{o}) = \phi_{p}$$
(7)

[Hint: 1 - a/b = (b - a)/b.]

Thus, F_v/F_m is a measure of ϕ_p , quantum yield of PS II photochemistry since most Chl *a* fluorescence at room temperature is from PS II (Warren Butler, 1978).

15 The quantum yield of Chl *a* fluorescence (ϕ_f) is 16 related to the rate constants of various pathways of 17 de-excitation; k_o in most cases is composed mainly 18 of k_h for heat dissipation, as noted above, and k_a 19 for quenching by quenchers (e.g. carotenoids, O_2 20 triplets, etc.). Here, we separate energy transfer 21 (k_{tr}) from photochemistry (k_{p}) . Thus, Eq. (1) can be 22 expanded to: 23

$$\phi_{\rm f} = k_{\rm f} / (k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm h} + k_{\rm tr} + k_{\rm q} + k'_{\rm p}) = k_{\rm f} / \Sigma k_{\rm i}$$
(8)

26 where, $k'_{p} = k_{p} + k_{tr}$.

One of the most highly cited papers about relationship between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and the quenching of Chl
fluorescence has been that of Genty et al. (1989;
Chapter 3, Baker and Oxborough).

32 Vladimir Shinkarev (Chapter 8) discusses the 33 quantitative relationships between Chl a fluorescence 34 in multiple flashes with PS II reactions. Several au-35 thors discuss qualitative and quantitative relations 36 between fluorescence and photosynthesis: Heinrich 37 Krause and Peter Jahns (Chapter 18), Ulrich Schreiber (Chapter 11), Strasser et al. (Chapter 12) and 38 39 William Vredenberg (Chapter 6). Interestingly, the views expressed by different authors are often unique 40 41 and have different features. Future experiments are 42 needed to substantiate or refute some of the newer 43 ideas presented. 44

45 D. Basic Fluorescence Measurements

47 For a complete description of the various methods48 used in fluorescence spectroscopy, see Lakowicz49 (1999).

50

46

- 51
- 52

1. Intensity, Quantum Yield, and Lifetime

By definition, the absolute quantum yield of fluorescence (ϕ_f) is obtained by dividing the total number of photons emitted (F; integrated over space and time) by the total number of photons absorbed by the fluorescent molecules (I_a). When the only de-excitation pathway is fluorescence emission, ϕ_f can be calculated from the expression $\tau = \tau_o \phi_f$ that relates the actual lifetime of fluorescence (τ_o). τ_o , when the only pathway of deexcitation is fluorescence, is inversely proportional to the probability of absorption; it is measured by the area under the absorption band plotted on a wave number scale (1/ λ , or v/c):

 $1/\tau_{o} = [3 \times 10^{-9} (v/c)^{2}] \Delta (v/c) \varepsilon_{m}$ (9)

where, Δ (v/c) is the half-band width of the absorption band, $\varepsilon_{\rm m}$ is the extinction coefficient of the molecule, and the quantity within the squared brackets takes care of the proportionality between emission and absorption (see Clayton, 1970). For a more detailed equation, see Brody (1956), as modified from Theodor Förster (1951). The precise value of $\tau_{\rm o}$ of Chl *a* in ether is 15.2 ns (Brody, 1956).

79 Measurements of F/I_a will not agree with ϕ_f from τ measurements if there is a change in absorption 80 cross-section of the fluorescent pigment bed, such 81 as when non-fluorescent complexes are formed. 82 Further, in view of the homogeneous emission 83 of fluorescence in all directions only a portion of 84 fluorescence is measured with constant intensity of 85 incident light, and thus, only relative ϕ_f values are 86 usually reported. A major advantage of estimating ϕ_f 87 through measurements of τ is that it is independent 88 of the concentration of Chl in the sample allowing 89 quantitative comparisons of ϕ_f values between dif-90 ferent samples. 91

2. Polarization, Excitation Spectra, Emission Spectra, and Kinetics

a. Polarization

The polarization of Chl a fluorescence is useful98for assessing the ordered nature of the pigment99molecules, their shape and excitation migration in
a homogeneous pigment assembly. When polarized100light is used to excite an assemblage of photosynthetic
pigments, and the observed Chl fluorescence is exten-103

104

92

93

94 95

96

sively depolarized, the *depolarization* is usually due to the excitation energy migration among randomly oriented Chl molecules. The degree of polarization **P** is defined as:

$$\mathbf{P} = (F_{par} - F_{perp}) / (F_{par} + F_{perp})$$
(10)

where, F_{par} and F_{perp} are the fluorescence intensities of the vertically and horizontally polarized emissions when the sample is excited with vertically polarized light. Often, anisotropy, r, is the preferred measurement. It is simply:

$$\mathbf{r} = (\mathbf{F}_{\text{par}} - \mathbf{F}_{\text{perp}})/(\mathbf{F}_{\text{par}} + 2\mathbf{F}_{\text{perp}})$$
(11)

b. Excitation Spectra of Acceptor Fluorescence

20 Excitation spectra of Chl a fluorescence in a pho-21 tosynthetic organism is a plot of the number of 22 photons emitted by Chl a molecules as a function 23 of wavelength of exciting light of equal number of 24 incident photons; it is also called action spectra of 25 fluorescence. If there is 100% excitation energy trans-26 fer from the donor (e.g. Chl b) to the acceptor (Chl 27 a) molecules, the action spectrum follows the sum of 28 the percent absorption spectra of both the pigments. 29 However, if the action spectrum is lower than the 30 percent absorption spectrum, it indicates a lowered 31 efficiency of excitation transfer from the donor to the 32 acceptor molecules whose magnitude can be precisely 33 calculated from this difference. This is the case for 34 several accessory pigments. Robert Clegg (Chapter 35 4) provides the basics of the mechanism of energy 36 (exciton) migration and transfer, whereas Rienk van 37 Grondelle and Bas Gobets (Chapter 5) provide an 38 overview of transfer and trapping of excitation in plant photosystems, while Mamoru Mimuro (Chapter 39 40 7) focuses on exciton migration and trapping and 41 fluorescence in cyanobacteria and red algae.

42

43 c. Emission Spectra of Fluorescence

45 Emission spectra of fluorophores reflect the energy 46 states of the fluorophores that emit light; it provides 47 information on the composition of the fluorescent 48 pigments in the system. The emission spectrum is 49 usually the mirror image of the absorption spectrum 50 of first excited state of the fluorophore. It is essential 51 that the measured emission spectrum be corrected 52

62

63

64

for the spectral distribution of the monochromator 53 and photodetector used for the measurement. Most 54 of the room temperature Chl a fluorescence in vivo is 55 from PS II, but there is also a small but nonnegligible 56 amount of fluorescence from PS I (Pfündel, 1998; 57 Gilmore et al., 2000). At low temperature (e.g., 77 58 K), however, PS I fluorescence increases dramatically. 59 Shigeru Itoh and Kana Sugiura (Chapter 9) focus on 60 this PS I fluorescence. 61

d. Kinetics of Chlorophyll a Fluorescence

The fluorescence kinetics, which reflect various par-65 tial reactions in PS II occurring at different time scales 66 (Chapter 8, Shinkarev), can be measured after a single 67 excitation flash or after multiple periodically applied 68 excitation flashes (Doug Bruce and Sergej Vasiel'ev 69 (Chapter 19), Paul Falkowski, Michal Koblizek, 70 Maxim Gurbanov and Zbignew Kolber (Chapter 30), 71 72 and Schreiber (Chapter 11); see Section II.A (and the legend of Fig. 4) for a background on the names of 73 the different intermediates): (1) Decay kinetics, in 74 the ps to ns time scale, of Chl a fluorescence after 75 short (ps to ns) light flashes measure the lifetime of 76 77 this fluorescence. (2) Decay of Chl a fluorescence in the ns, the us and the s (or even minutes) time scales 78 79 measures electron flow events from (a) the electron donor ' Y_{r} ' of PS II to the oxidized reaction center 80 Chl *a* of PS II, P680⁺ (as fluorescence rises due to 81 removal of the quencher of Chl fluorescence P680⁺; 82 ns to us); (b) electron transfer from the primary bound 83 quinone acceptor Q_A to the mobile quinone accep-84 85 tor Q_B (as Chl *a* fluorescence declines, 100µs to 400 μ s); (c) back flow of electrons from reduced Q_A (of 86 PS II) or reduced Q_B to the donor side of PS II, i.e., 87 the oxygen evolving complex (s to min). (3) Increase 88 in the quantum yield of fluorescence, in continuous 89 exciting light, measures both the electron flow from 90 P680 to Q_{A} and then to the plastoquinone (PQ) pool 91 (about 1 second); the subsequent decrease is related 92 to events involving protonation, among other reac-93 tions (seconds to minutes). Further elaboration of the 94 95 above events will follow in Section V.

E. A List of the Past Books and Reviews on Chlorophyll a Fluorescence

The following books are useful for research on 100 Chl a fluorescence: Lakowicz (1999) for all aspects 101 of fluorescence; van Amerongen et al. (2000) for 102 photosynthetic excitons; Govindjee et al. (1986) for 103

104

96

97

98

 basic aspects of Chl *a* fluorescence; Lichtenthaler
 (1988) and DeEll and Toivonen (2003) for practical applications of Chl *a* fluorescence; and Muttiah
 (2002) for remote sensing applications.

5 History of Chl fluorescence has been reviewed only in a limited number of publications: Duysens (1986) 6 7 presented a historical perspective; Govindjee (1995) 8 reviewed Chl a fluorescence measurements since its 9 discovery; Dutton (1997) reviewed the first experiments on energy transfer from fucoxanthin to Chl a; 10 11 Govindjee (1999) presented a historical perspective 12 of the role of carotenoids including excitation spectra 13 of Chl a fluorescence and Brody (2002) the first mea-14 surements of the lifetime of fluorescence; Mimuro 15 (2002) discussed the visualization of energy transfer in phycobilin-containing organisms through spectral 16 17 and time resolved picosecond spectroscopy; Delosme 18 and Joliot (2002) discussed the first measurements on 19 the flash-number dependent period 4 oscillations in 20 Chl fluorescence; and P. Joliot and A. Joliot (2003) 21 presented the history of the measurements on the 22 probability of energy transfer among PS II units.

23 Chlorophyll a fluorescence and its relationship to photosynthesis has been consistently reviewed 24 25 since a very long time. Two of the earliest discussions on this topic were by Franck (1949) and by E. 26 27 Katz (1949). However, the reviews beginning in the 28 1950s by Franck (1951), Rabinowitch (1951, 1956) 29 and Wassink (1951) included detailed discussions 30 of the relationships between Chl fluorescence and 31 photosynthesis.

In the 1960s, Butler (1966) and Govindjee et al.
(1967; see an updated version in 1973) presented
comprehensive reviews; Robinson (1967) proposed
the use of terms such as 'lake model' for unrestricted
excitation energy transfer among great many PS II
units; and Fork and Amesz (1969) wrote a review on
the action spectra and energy transfer.

39 In the 1970s, Govindjee and Papageorgiou (1971), 40 Goedheer (1972), Papageorgiou (1975a), Butler (1977, 1978, 1979), Harnischfeger (1977), Lavorel 41 42 and Etienne (1977), Duysens (1979) and Govindjee 43 and Jursinic (1979) were the major reviewers of dif-44 ferent aspects of Chl a fluorescence. Knox (1975) 45 presented theoretical considerations, and Strasser 46 (1978) reviewed his so-called 'grouping model' of 47 PS II units.

In the 1980s, Bose (1982), Schreiber (1983),
Krause and Weis (1984), van Grondelle (1985),
Briantais et al. (1986), Fork and Mohanty (1986),
Govindjee and Satoh (1986), Lavorel et al. (1986),
Lichtenthaler et al. (1986), Moya et al. (1986), Murata

and Satoh (1986), Renger and Schreiber (1986), Sch-53 reiber et al. (1986), Seely and Connolly (1986), van 54 Gorkom (1986), van Grondelle and Amesz (1986), 55 Holzwarth (1987), Lichtenthaler and Rinderle (1988) 56 and Bolhar-Nordenkampf et al. (1989) reviewed vari-57 ous aspects of Chl a fluorescence. Fork and Satoh 58 (1986) reviewed the status of the so-called 'State 59 Changes'. 60

In the 1990s, several reviews were published by: 61 Horton and Bowyer (1990), van Kooten and Snel 62 (1990), Holzwarth (1991, 1996), Karukstis (1991), 63 Krause and Weis (1991), Lichtenthaler (1992), Renger 64 (1992), Gaevskii and Morgon (1993), Schreiber and 65 Bilger (1993), Vyhnalek et al. (1993), Dau (1994a,b), 66 Evans and Brown (1994), Govindjee (1995), Joshi and 67 Mohanty (1995), Mohammed et al. (1995), Kramer 68 and Crofts (1996), Owens (1996), Papageorgiou 69 (1996), Sauer and Debreczeny (1996), Campbell et 70 71 al. (1998), Schreiber et al. (1998) and Lazár (1999). In 1995, Wydrzynski et al. (1995) edited a special 72 volume on Chl a fluorescence. 73

In the 2000s, Maxwell and Johnson (2000) and 74 Strasser et al. (2000) reviewed basic and quantita-75 tive aspects of Chl a fluorescence. Rohacek (2002) 76 77 discussed various Chl a fluorescence parameters; and 78 Saito et al. (2002) discussed remote sensing of Chl a 79 fluorescence. Krause and Jahns (2003) discussed the application of Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) 80 to the physiology of plants; Mimuro and Akimoto 81 (2003) reviewed energy transfer from carotenoids to 82 Chl in brown algae and diatoms, whereas Mimuro 83 and Kikuchi (2003) discussed energy transfer from 84 phycobilins to Chl *a* in cyanophyta and rhodophyta. 85 Kromkamp and Forster (2003) reviewed the use of 86 variable Chl fluorescence in aquatic systems. Lazár 87 (2003) and Trissl (2003) discussed various models 88 of Chl fluorescence. 89

The chapters in this volume provide extensive90citations to original papers and reviews on almost all91aspects of Chl *a* fluorescence. In spite of this extensive92literature, many questions remain unanswered.93

94

95

96

97

98

II. The Two-Light Reaction and Two-Pigment System Concept

A. The 'Z'-scheme of Oxygenic	99
Photosynthesis	100
	101

Any discussion of Chl fluorescence requires that we102have the basic understanding of at least the steps in-103volved in the electron flow in photosynthesis. These104

Chapter 1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence



Fig. 4. The Z-scheme of oxygenic photosynthesis for electron transfer from water to oxidized nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP⁺). The symbols are: Mn for Mn cluster; Y_z for tyrosine–161 on D1 protein; P680 for a pair of chlorophylls (Chls), the reaction center (RC) Chls of Photosystem II (PS II), having one of its absorption bands at 680 nm; P680* for the excited P680; Pheo for the primary electron acceptor of PS II; Q_A for the primary plastoquinone electron acceptor of PS II; Q_B for the secondary plastoquinone electron acceptor of PS II; PQ for plastoquinone pool; FeS for Rieske iron sulfur protein; Cyt f for cytochrome *f*; Cytb_H for high potential cytochrome *b*₆: Cytb_L for low potential cytochrome *b*₆: PC for plastocyanin; P700 for a pair of Chl *a* and Chl *a'*, the RC Chls of PS I; P700* for excited P700; A₀ for primary electron acceptor of PS I, a Chl monomer; A₁ for secondary electron acceptor of PS I, vitamin K; F_x, F_A and F_B for 3 different iron sulfur centers; Fd for ferredoxin; and FNR for ferredoxin-NADP reductase. Approximate estimated times for various steps are also noted on the figure (modified from Whitmarsh and Govindjee, 2001). A circular path (shown in light grey) in the Cyt *b*₆ *f* complex symbolizes the existence of a Q-cycle; and a dotted (light grey) line from the electron acceptor side of PS I to the PQ/Cyt *b*₆ *f* region symbolizes the existence of a cyclic flow around PS I under certain conditions. (Modified from Govindjee, 2000; Whitmarsh and Govindjee, 2001; and Paul Falkowski, personal communication.)

steps were first described by Robert Hill and Fay Bendall (1960) in a 'Z'-scheme. Figure 4 shows a cur-rent version; it includes the approximate times needed for the various steps in the scheme. It represents the steps in the pathway of electron transport from water to NADP⁺(nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phos-phate) leading to the release of oxygen, the 'reduction' of NADP⁺ to NADPH (by the addition of two electrons and one proton), and the building-up of a high concen-tration of hydrogen ions inside the thylakoid (in the lumen; needed for ATP production). (For references and history, see Govindjee and Krogmann, 2004.) This scheme is called the Z-scheme simply because the diagram was initially drawn in the form of the letter 'Z' (Govindjee and Govindjee, 1975; Demeter and Govindjee, 1989). (The letter Z also represents the zigzag nature of the scheme.) Usually, however, it is drawn to emphasize the redox potentials (energy levels) of the electron carriers. Thus, it is turned 90 degrees counterclockwise. It, therefore, may be called the 'N'-scheme. For references and discussion of the various aspects of the Z-scheme, see Ke (2001) and Blankenship (2002).

51 The Z-scheme owes its origin to several investiga-52 tors. First, it was Robert Emerson and his co-workers, at the University of Illinois (at Urbana-Champaign) who discovered the 'enhancement effect' in oxygen evolution, which occurred when light absorbed in one photosystem (now called PS I) was added to light absorbed in another photosystem (now called PS II) (Emerson et al., 1957). Experiments with chloroplasts, and those using a mass spectrometer, absorption spectrometer, a fluorometer and electron spin resonance spectrometer were crucial to the establishment of the 'two-light reaction and two-pho-tosystem' concept (see Govindjee, 2000; Govindjee and Krogman, 2004 for references and the time line of discoveries in oxygenic photosynthesis). It was Bessel Kok and co-workers at Baltimore, Maryland, and Louis N.M. Duysens, Jan Amesz and co-work-ers in Leiden, The Netherlands, who discovered the crucial antagonistic effect of light absorbed in PS I and PS II on the oxidation-reduction state of the reaction center Chl, P700 (Kok, 1959), and of cy-tochrome f (Cyt f, the electron carrier in the middle of the intersystem chain of intermediates; Duysens et al., 1961). Duysens' experiments established the 'series' nature of the present scheme (Duysens, 1989). Light captured by PS I leads to oxidation of $\operatorname{Cyt} f$ (i.e., takes an electron away from it and places

CFL001

1 it on, say, 'NADP⁺), whereas when light is captured 2 by PS II, oxidized Cyt f is reduced by an electron 3 coming from PS II. The theoretical concepts of Hill 4 and Bendall (1960) and the work of Horst T. Witt et 5 al. (1961; see Witt, 2004) in Berlin, Germany, played 6 important and crucial roles in substantiating the 'Z-7 scheme.' Hints of such a scheme were available in 8 the books by Eugene Rabinowitch (1945, 1951, 1956). 9 The final evidence of its validity came from state-of-10 the-art detailed biophysical, biochemical, molecular biology, and genetic research in about 20 laboratories 11 12 around the world.

13 I describe below the basic steps as a background 14 for the various chapters in this book (Fig. 4) The left 15 side of the diagram shows an energy scale in terms 16 of oxidation-reduction potential (E_m) at pH 7. (At 17 pH 7, the standard hydrogen electrode has an E_m of 18 -0.4 volts.) Intermediates that are higher up in the 19 diagram have a lower (more negative) E_m and can 20 add an electron to any intermediate below them. This 21 occurs in electron transfer: from reduced pheophytin 22 (Pheo⁻) to P700⁺ (middle of the diagram), from A_0^{-} (a 23 special chlorophyll monomer) to NADP⁺ (top right 24 end of diagram), and from H₂O to the oxidized form 25 of PS II reaction center Chl, P680⁺ (lower left of dia-26 gram). Energy input is needed to transfer electrons 27 from P680 to Pheo and from P700 to A_o, and this is 28 where light energy is required. 29

30 1. The First Step

31

32 Photosynthesis starts with the excitation (see verti-33 cal arrows in Fig. 4) of special reaction center Chl 34 a molecules (labeled as P680 in PS II; Zouni et al. 35 (2001) and Ferreira et al. (2004) for its structure), and 36 P700 in PS I; Jordan et al. (2001) and Ben-Shem et al. 37 (2003) for its structure). The excitation energy comes 38 either directly from absorbed photons but, most often, 39 by excitation energy (also called exciton) transfer 40 from adjacent pigment molecules in assemblies of 41 pigment-protein complexes called antennas. (See 42 Kühlbrandt et al. (1994) and Liu et al. (2004) for 43 crystal structure of light-harvesting complex.) These 44 'antenna' pigment molecules (Chls and carotenoids) 45 absorb photons and then transfer electronic excitation energy by a process called resonance excitation 46 47 energy transfer from one molecule to the next, and 48 finally to the reaction center (Chapter 4, Clegg).

49 The first chemical step happens within only a few 50 picoseconds (10^{-12} s) when excited P680* transfers 51 an electron to Pheo, producing oxidized P680 (P680⁺) 52 63

64

53 and reduced Pheo (Pheo-) in PS II (Greenfield et al., 1997; for a historical account, see Seibert and 54 Wasielewski, 2003), and excited P700* transfers 55 56 an electron to A_0 , producing oxidized P700 (P700⁺) and reduced $A_o (A_o^{-})$ (Ke, 2002; Chapter 9, Itoh and 57 58 Sugiura). These are the only steps where light energy is converted to chemical energy, precisely oxidation-59 reduction energy. The rest of the steps are downhill 60 energy-wise, i.e. spontaneous or exergonic. 61 62

2. The Electron Transfer Steps

The recovery (reduction) of P680⁺ to P680 and of 65 P700⁺ to P700 takes place in a time scale of several 66 ns to μ s. P700⁺ receives an electron that was passed 67 down from reduced Pheo to Q_A (which is bound to 68 the reaction center II protein complex), then to $Q_{\rm B}$ 69 70 (another bound plastoquinone molecule). Q_B , that 71 has accepted two electrons from Q_A, takes on also 72 two protons from the stroma, and then it detaches 73 from its protein binding site and diffuses through the hydrophobic core of the thylakoid membrane to 74 75 the cytochrome $b_6 f(\text{Cyt } b_6 f)$ complex (see below), where the electrons are passed on to an iron-sulfur 76 77 protein (FeS, the Rieske protein) and to Cyt f; the electron is then transferred to a mobile copper 78 79 protein PC (plastocyanin) that shuttles between Cyt $b_{\rm c} f$ complex and the PS I complex; the reduced PC 80 carries a single electron to the oxidized P700⁺. Thus 81 the electron is passed in a 'bucket fire brigade' man-82 83 ner through the 'intersystem chain of electron (or H-atom) carriers'. 84

85 The protein complex Cyt $b_6 f$ (see Kurisu et al., 2003, for its structure in Mastigocladus laminosus; 86 87 and Stroebel et al., 2003, in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii) contains FeS, Cyt f, and two Cyt b₆ molecules. 88 It is generally assumed that the 'bottleneck', or the 89 slowest step of the entire sequence, is the passage 90 91 of an electron from reduced $Q_{\rm B}$ (now in the form of 92 plastoquinol, PQH₂) to the Cyt $b_6 f$ complex. This step 93 involves not only diffusion of PQH₂ but the oxidation of PQH₂ by FeS, and the consequent release of two 94 95 protons into the lumen. The combined event takes 96 several ms (10^{-3} s). On the other hand, several other 97 steps may compete to be the bottleneck. (Cyt b_6 plays 98 a key role in the Q-cycle; see e.g., Crofts, 2004, for its history; and for details, see David Kramer, Thomas 99 Averson, Atsuko Kanazawa, Jeffrey Cruz, Borisov 100 Ivanov and Gerald Edwards (Chapter 10) In brief, 101 the Q-cycle involves the following steps: One of the 102 two electrons in PQH₂ goes toward Rieske FeS center, 103

1 whereas the other goes toward one of the two Cyt b_6 2 molecules (situated on the lumen side), and then to 3 the other Cyt b_6 molecule (situated on the stromal 4 side); this is followed by a second molecule of PQH_{2} 5 repeating the process. This process results in another 6 PQ molecule (located on the stromal side) receiving 7 two electrons; the doubly reduced PQ molecule then 8 picks up two protons from the stromal side. It diffuses 9 to the lumen side to oxidize the Cyt $b_6 f$ again. The end 10 result is that for a net oxidation of one PQH₂ molecule 11 four protons are released to the lumen side doubling 12 the proton to electron transferred (to PS I) ratio.

13 In PS I, the electron on A_o⁻ is passed ultimately 14 to NADP⁺ via several intermediates: A₁, a phylloquinone (vitamin K); F_x , F_A , and F_B which are 15 16 bound iron-sulfur proteins; ferredoxin, which is a 17 somewhat mobile iron-sulfur protein; and the enzyme ferredoxin-NADP reductase (FNR) which is actually 18 19 an oxido-reductase and whose active group is FAD 20 (flavin adenine dinucleotide).

21 The missing electron on P680⁺ is replaced, ulti-22 mately, from water molecules (see the left bottom 23 of Fig. 4) via an amino acid tyrosine (a specific one 24 in D1 protein of PS II, also referred to as Y_z in the 25 literature) and a cluster of four mangenese (Mn) ions. 26 (For the role of another tyrosine on D2 protein, see 27 Rutherford et al., 2004.) These reactions also require 28 a few ms. A minimum of eight quanta (photons) of 29 light (four in PS II and four in PS I) are required to 30 transfer four electrons from two molecules of water 31 to two molecules of NADP⁺. This produces two mol-32 ecules of NADPH and one molecule of O2. However, 33 the measured minimum number of required photons 34 is usually 10-12 per O₂ molecule (Emerson and 35 Lewis, 1943); this is partly due to a possible cyclic 36 reaction around PS I.

38 3. ATP Synthesis

39 40 The light reactions provide not only the reducing 41 power in NADPH but also the energy for making 42 and/or release of ATP (from its binding site), both 43 essential for producing sugars from CO₂. ATP is produced through an enzyme called ATP synthase, 44 45 from ADP (adenosine diphosphate), inorganic phosphate (P_i) and the proton motive force (pmf) across 46 47 the thylakoid membrane. The pmf is composed of two components: an electrical potential and a proton 48 49 gradient. The proton gradient comes from the storage 50 of protons (hydrogen ions) inside the lumen, giving a

51 52

37

53 pH of 6 inside the lumen and pH of 8 outside, in the stroma. Then, basically, protons escaping from the 54 55 thylakoid lumen through a central core of the enzyme ATP synthase (embedded in the membrane) cause 56 conformational (rotational) changes in the enzyme, 57 which catalyzes the phosphorylation of ADP and the 58 release of ATP on the stromal side. (For historical 59 discussions, see Jagendorf, 2002; and Junge, 2004; 60 and for further information, see Chapter 10, Kramer 61 62 et al.

To recapitulate, protons are concentrated into the 63 lumen in several ways: Oxidation of water not only 64 65 releases O₂ and 'sends' electrons to P680⁺, but it also releases protons (H^+) into the lumen. When Q_B is re-66 duced in PS II, it not only receives two electrons from 67 Q_A but it also picks up two protons from the stroma 68 matrix and becomes PQH₂. It is able to 'carry' both 69 70 electrons and protons and thus it is a H-atom carrier. 71 At the Cyt $b_6 f$ complex, it is then oxidized, but FeS 72 and Cyt b_6 can only accept electrons (not protons). 73 So the two protons are released into the lumen. The 74 Q-cycle of the Cyt bf complex provides extra protons 75 into the lumen. As discussed above, two electrons travel through the two hemes of Cyt b_6 and then reduce 76 PQ on the stroma side of the membrane. The reduced 77 PQ takes on two protons from the stroma, becoming 78 PQH₂, which migrates to the lumen side of the Cyt 79 $b_6 f$ complex where it is again oxidized, releasing two 80 81 more protons into the lumen. Thus the Q-cycle allows the formation of more ATP. When NADP+ is reduced 82 by two electrons, it also picks up one proton, in effect 83 removing it from the stroma and further increasing 84 the gradient across the membrane. 85

B. The 1952 Observations of L. N. M. Duysens: Active and Inactive Chlorophylls

Duysens (1952), based on his own studies on Chl a 90 fluorescence excited by phycoerythrin, phycocyanin 91 and Chl a and those of French and Young (1952), 92 concluded that in cyanobacteria and red algae, there 93 are two forms of Chl a: (1) Chl a that is 'active' in 94 photosynthesis and receives efficient excitation en-95 ergy transfer from the phycobilins, and is fluorescent; 96 97 and (2) Chl a that is 'inactive' in photosynthesis and is either non-fluorescent or weakly fluorescent. As 98 became known much later, it was this 'inactive' Chl 99 *a* that turned out to be the Chl *a* of PS I!

100 101

86

87

88

- 102
- 103
 - 104

1

2

C. Photosystem II and Photosystem I Fluorescence: Background

3 Different spectral forms of Chl a (see French, 1971) 4 5 are present in different pigment-protein complexes of both PS I and PS II. Most of the Chl a fluorescence 6 7 (approx. 90%) at room temperature originates in 8 PS II complexes, PS I complexes being weakly 9 fluorescent. Further, it is only PS II fluorescence that varies with changes in photochemistry, i.e. the 10 11 variable Chl fluorescence belongs strictly to PS II. Why is PS I weakly fluorescent, and why there is no 12 13 variable fluorescence in it are important questions that 14 have not been systematically dealt with yet. Among 15 several more, the following hypothesis can be made (see Govindjee, 1995): (a) The reaction center Chl a 16 17 of PS I, the P700, is a deeper energy trap than the 18 reaction center Chl of PS II, the P680, and, thus, 19 PS I photochemistry may not be 'trap-limited,' i.e. energy trapping in P700 is more irreversible than 20 in P680. As a result, the antenna fluorescence of 21 22 PS I does not compete with PS I chemistry. (b) The 23 physico-chemical nature of antenna Chl a of PS I, that absorb, on the average, at longer wavelength 24 of light is such that k_h predominates over k_f. We 25 know that the lifetime of PS I Chl a fluorescence is 26 shorter than that of PS II Chl a fluorescence, i.e. in 27 28 PS I, excitation energy is trapped faster than in PS II (Holzwarth, 1991; also see Gilmore et al., 2000). 29 30 Of course, this means a low quantum yield of Chl *a* fluorescence in PS I, as $\phi_f = \tau/\tau_o$. One of the most 31 32 interesting suggestions about the weaker fluorescence 33 in PS I has been provided by Borisov (2000): (1) a 'new' (sub-ps) state of PS IRC, that precedes primary 34 charge separation, exists; (2) this state forms 5-10 35 times faster than the charge separation, and, thus, 36 the yield of fluorescence and other losses decrease 37 5–10 fold; and (3) dielectric relaxation of hydrogen 38 atoms in nearby water molecules prevents the excita-39 40 tion to return to the antenna Chls, and, thus lowering fluorescence. PS II is different because the formation 41 42 rate of the 'new' state in PS II is close to that of the 43 primary charge separation, leading to a back flow of 44 excitation and to higher fluorescence (also see Itoh and Sugiura (Chapter 9) for further discussions). 45

46

47 D. The Two-Light Effect in Fluorescence

The concept of two light reactions through Chl *a*fluorescence studies was first considered by Hans
Kautsky and U. Franck (1943). They attributed the

observed rise and fall of fluorescence to two light 53 reactions succeeding one another almost immediately, 54 one responsible for the rise and the other for the fall. 55 E. C. Wassink (1951), however, pointed out that the 56 quenching of fluorescence might have been caused by 57 a side reaction. Kautsky et al. (1960), based on newer 58 experiments on Chl fluorescence in vivo, reiterated 59 the suggestion that two consecutive light reactions 60 worked in photosynthesis. 61

Kautsky et al. (1960) discussed the concept that 62 the oxidized state of a compound, A, a member of the 63 electron transport chain, determined the quenching of 64 fluorescence: when A was oxidized, Chl fluorescence 65 was quenched, but when A was reduced, it was not. 66 During the Chl fluorescence transient, the rise was due 67 to the reduction of A, whereas the successive decline 68 was due to its oxidation by the next member of the 69 chain, B — the latter was formed from the reduced B 70 by another light reaction. The absence of fluorescence 71 decline when the inhibitor phenylurethane was pres-72 ent was explained to be due to a block of reoxida-73 tion of reduced A. In their model, A was closer to 74 the O₂-evolving process, and B to the CO₂-fixation 75 76 reactions; it now seems that A could be equated to 77 Q_{A} (see section II.E). Although the above model is 78 quite revealing, it lacked impact because, as stated 79 by Govindjee (1995): (a) it ignored the existence of the two-pigment-system concept already evolved 80 from the work of Emerson et al.(1957); (b) it was not 81 the correct explanation of the observed fluorescence 82 decline; and (c) it was published in a journal that 83 many scientists may not have read. As noted above, 84 85 Hill and Bendall (1960) had proposed a scheme of two light reactions that included a step for providing 86 energy for ATP synthesis during a downhill process 87 between the two light reactions (see Duysens, 1989, 88 for the historical perspective of the discovery of the 89 two-light reaction scheme). For further discussions on 90 history, see Wild and Ball (1997), Govindjee (2000) 91 and Govindjee and Krogmann (2004). 92

93 Govindjee et al. (1960) discovered the two-light effect in Chl fluorescence in Chlorella cells: far-red 94 95 light (absorbed in the long-wavelength pigment system, later known as PS I, Duysens et al., 1961) 96 quenched the high Chl a fluorescence (excited by 97 blue or 670 nm light, the short-wavelength pigment 98 system, PS II) in Chlorella cells. This antagonistic 99 effect of light I and II on Chl a fluorescence yield 100 was considered fluorescence evidence for the two-101 light-reaction two-pigment-system concept of 102 103 photosynthesis. Butler (1962) demonstrated, in a

52

more impressive manner, the same phenomenon in anaerobic leaf with red (650 nm, PS II) and far-red (720 nm, PS I) light.

E. Introduction of 'Q' (Q_A) , the 'Quencher of Chlorophyll Fluorescence'

Duysens and Sweers (1963) provided the current explanation of the experiments discussed above: light II, absorbed in PS II, reduces a quencher of Chl a fluorescence, labeled as Q, and light I, absorbed predominantly by PS I, oxidizes Q⁻ back to Q. The herbicide DCMU blocks the reoxidation of Q-, but not the reduction of Q. Today, Q is known as Q_{A} and was shown to be a PQ molecule (van Gorkom et al., 1978). The antagonistic effect of light I and II on Chl a fluorescence yield is a useful tool to investi-gate the site of an inhibitor between Q_A and P700, the reaction center Chl a of PS I, as shown, e.g. for bicarbonate-reversible formate inhibition (Govindjee et al., 1993a).

F. Separation of Photosystem II and Photo-system I Fluorescence

1. Physical Separation

Boardman and Anderson (1964), using the detergent digitonin, physically separated suspensions of thyla-koid membranes in two fractions: a heavier fraction, that was enriched in PS II activity, and a lighter frac-tion, that was enriched in PS I activity. This was fol-lowed by observations of fluorescence characteristics of these two fractions by Boardman et al. (1966) and Cederstrand and Govindjee (1966). Compared to the PS II-enriched samples, the PS I-enriched samples had a higher ratio of F735 to F696 (at 77 K); and the 696 nm band was present mostly in PS II-enriched fractions. (Figure 5 shows the emission bands in unfractionated thylakoids.) At room temperature, the PS I-enriched fraction, whose peak absorption was at a longer wavelength than the peak absorption of the PS II-enriched fraction, had also a higher degree of polarization of Chl a fluorescence.

2. Fluorescence of the Pigment Systems

We focus here on *emission spectra* as they are what characterize Chls from other photosynthetic pigments. Although Chl a fluorescence is heterogeneous at room temperature because of the existence of two photosystems (PS I and PS II)), the major fluorescence band at 683-685 nm and its vibrational satellite at 720–735 nm originate mostly in the PS II antenna complexes (Fig. 5A). I am unable to state the exact proportion of fluorescence that comes from each of the PS II pigment protein complexes. I suggest that most of the variable Chl a fluorescence originates in the CP 43 and the CP 47 Chl a protein complexes, with CP 47 being responsible for a weak 693-695



Fig. 5. Emission spectra of spinach thylakoids. (A) Room temperature emission spectra. Chl a fluorescence as excited by 635 nm (hav-ing slightly more light going to PS I than to PS II) and by 650 nm (having slightly more light going to PS II than to PS I). (B) Low temperature (77K, labeled as -196 °C) emission spectrum excited by 635 nm light. At room temperature, fluorescence band at 685 nm originates in PS II antenna; and a very small amount in the 710-760 nm region from PS I antenna. At 77 K, the emission bands at 685 and 696 nm are suggested to originate mostly from PS II antenna, and those around 727 nm and 738 nm mostly from PS I antenna. (Data of Govindjee and Yang, 1966; redrawn from Govindjee, 1995) (For further discussion on emission bands, see Papageorgiou (Chapter 2), Mimuro (Chapter 7), Itoh and Sugiura (Chapter 9), and Van Grondelle and Gobets (Chapter 5).)

CFL001

1 nm emission when PS II reaction centers are closed 2 either by strong light or by the addition of DCMU 3 that blocks electron flow. The existence of the weak 4 693-695 nm emission at room temperature was shown 5 by Krey and Govindjee (1964, 1966), Papageorgiou and Govindjee (1967, 1968a,b) and Govindjee and 6 7 Briantais (1972). On the other hand, a PS I emission, 8 that may be from an 'ordered' set of Chl a molecules, 9 is centered around 705-715 nm (Lavorel 1963; Wong 10 and Govindjee 1979; Goedheer 1981). (For further 11 discussion of additional or different PS I fluorescence 12 band(s), see Chapter 9, Itoh and Sugiura.)

13 At 77K, however, Chl a in vivo produces, at least, 14 four emission bands: F685, F695, F720 and F740 in 15 addition to the long wavelength shoulders due to the 16 various vibrational satellite bands (Fig. 5B). Brody 17 (1958) discovered that cooling the cells of green 18 alga Chlorella to 77K leads to the formation of a 19 new broad emission band at about 725 nm. It was 20 shown by Govindjee and Yang (1966) and Cho and 21 Govindjee (1970a) to be composed of, at least, two 22 bands. Mar et al. (1972) showed that it could also be 23 distinguished from F685 as it had a longer lifetime of 24 fluorescence. Although Litvin and Krasnovsky (1958) 25 had observed the existence of a band at 695 nm in 26 etiolated leaves (originating in a Chl precursor), it was 27 in 1963 that the existence of F695 was discovered and 28 recognized to originate in PS II (Bergeron, 1963; S. 29 S. Brody and M. Brody, 1963; Govindjee, 1963; Kok, 30 1963). Although it was recognized independently 31 in three laboratories that F685 and F695 belong to 32 PS II and F720 and F740 to PS I (Boardman et al., 33 1966; Cederstrand and Govindjee, 1966; Govindjee 34 and Yang, 1966; Murata et al., 1966a), earlier assignments to particular protein complexes were in error. 35 Contrary to earlier beliefs, F685 cannot belong simply 36 37 to light-harvesting complex IIb (LHCIIb) since it is present in LHCIIb-lacking organisms (e.g., Gonv-38 39 aulux polyedra, see Govindjee et al., 1979). (For a 40 discussion of the assembly of LHCIIb, see Chapter 27, 41 Hoober and Akoyunoglou.) Although their complete 42 assignment is still not fully established, most of F685 43 and F695 belong to Chl a in core PS II complexes 44 (Gasanov et al., 1979; Rijgersberg et al., 1979), and 45 F720 and F740 to PS I reaction center I, containing 46 intrinsic antenna Chls, and light harvesting complex 47 I (LHCI), respectively (Mullet et al., 1980a,b). Naka-48 tani et al. (1984) correctly assigned F685 to originate 49 in CP43 Chl a and F695 to Chl a in CP47. The F720 50 band originates in a Chl a complex absorbing at 695 51 nm (Das and Govindjee, 1967) and F740 in a Chl 52

Govindjee

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

a complex absorbing at 705 nm (Butler 1961). On 53 the other hand, a band at 680 nm (F680) appears at 54 4K only when LHCIIb is present (Rijgersberg et al., 55 1979). Thus, F680 belongs to Chl a from LHCIIb; it 56 cannot be normally observed due to highly efficient 57 transfer from it to other complexes. In addition, 58 Shubin et al. (1991) have observed a new emission 59 band in a cyanobacterium Spirulina platensis at 758 60 nm (F758), at 77K, which originates in a Chl com-61 plex with an absorption band at 735 nm (Chl₇₃₅⁷⁵⁸). 62 Interestingly, this complex transfers its excitation 63 energy to the oxidized form of the reaction center of 64 PS I, P700⁺, and thus, quenching of F758 is observed 65 during the photo-oxidation of P700. 66

For the spectral properties and the biological significance of dimeric and trimeric Chl a in PS I that absorb light at longer wavelengths ('red Chl a') than P700, the primary electron donor of PS I, see van Grondelle and Gobets (Chapter 5) and Itoh and Sugiura (Chapter 9).

III. Photosynthetic Unit and Excitation Energy Transfer

A. Photosynthetic Unit

Hans Gaffron and K. Wohl (1936a,b) interpreted the 80 results of Emerson and Arnold (1932a, 1932b) on O₂ 81 evolution, in brief saturating repetitive light flashes, 82 as follows. A collection of 2400 Chl molecules 83 somehow cooperates to evolve, with high quantum 84 efficiency, one molecule of O₂: light energy, absorbed 85 anywhere in this unit, the photosynthetic unit, mi-86 grates by 'radiationless excitation energy transfer' to 87 the *photoenzyme* where several excitons (in today's 88 language) cooperate to initiate photosynthesis. For 89 90 a glimpse of one of the classical papers on energy transfer by Förster (1946), see the box on the next 91 92 page. (For a detailed discussion of excitons and their fate in photosynthesis, see van Amerongen et 93 al., 2000.) This is in contrast to diffusible chemicals 94 being formed at each site, and then diffusing to the 95 photoenzyme. This concept of a photosynthetic unit 96 composed of many pigments serving a photoenzyme 97 has been conceptually supported by the discovery of 98 excitation energy transfer and of the reaction center 99 Chls labeled as P700 (Kok, 1956) and P680 (Döring 100 et al., 1967) and the many pigment-protein complexes 101 that contain only antenna or bulk pigments. 102

103 G. Wilse Robinson (1967) coined the terms lake

Chapter 1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Margitta and Robert Clegg (Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana, Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA) provided me with the following translation of the beginning paragraphs of the classical paper by Theodor Förster (1946) Energiewanderung und Fluorescenz, Die Naturwissenschaften 33 (6): 166–175. Robert Clegg wrote, 'This is a jewel of a paper—too bad that most people have not read it, and usually people do not know of its existence. It is almost never referenced. But it came before all the other articles that Förster published on the topic, and has essentially the major parts of his Fluorescence Resonance Energy Theory (FRET). Förster already remarked in this paper that this process was important for photosynthesis. This paper was written right after the World War II, interestingly from his home (Niedernjesa, Kr. Göttingen, a village in the area of Göttingen. Germany).'

'Recently, the so-called process of energy transfer has been discussed in connection with photobiological events (26). It seems that for various biological systems a quantum of energy that has been absorbed by a particular molecule does not evoke a change at the particular molecular location where the quantum has been absorbed, but the chemical change transpires with a second molecule that is spatially removed from the initially absorbing molecule. Thereby the energy is transferred over distances that are large relative to the contact distances between adjacent molecules, and the energy transfer process extends beyond the influence of chemical valence and other chemical intermolecular interactions.

Such a process of energy transfer provides a rationalization for certain observations of carbon dioxide assimilation in plants. According to measurements of EMERSON and ARNOLD (6) on algae Chlorella, short-term high intensity light pulses (sparks) bring about a saturation phenomenon whereby a certain threshold of carbon dioxide assimilation cannot be exceeded, no matter how intense the light pulse is. This saturation level is attained when between 2 and 4 light quanta, which are required for the reduction of one carbon dioxide molecule, are absorbed per 1000 chlorophyll molecules. GAFFRON and WOHL (10, 45) conclude from this result that this number of molecules act collectively to accomplish the reduction of one carbon dioxide molecule. Since it must be assumed that this chemical reduction process takes place at distinct localities, this interpretation requires that the energy is propagated from the location of individual absorbing chlorophyll molecules to the location where the reduction takes place. This interpretation also explains the saturation level of assimilation for continuous light illumination, as well as the lack of an induction period that would be necessary if a single chlorophyll molecule were obliged to gather the multiple photons required for reducing one carbon dioxide molecule. All these processes involving carbon dioxide assimilation are accounted for by the assumption of distinct localities for carbon dioxide assimilation, and they all give similar quantitative estimates of participating molecules....

'In order to understand these [energy transfer] processes, it is prudent to observe similar processes with non-biological material'....

'Fluorescence processes with solutions of dye molecules have been known for a longer time that can be interpreted in terms of such energy transfer models'...

[References cited above were: (6) Emerson R and Arnold WA (1932) J Gen Physiol 15: 391–420; J Gen Physiol 16:191–205; (10) Gaffron H and Wohl K (1936) Naturwiss 24: 81–90; Naturwiss 24: 103-107; (26) Möglish von F, Rompe R and Timoféeff-Ressvosky NW (1942) Naturwiss 30: 409–419 (45) Wohl K (1937) Z Physikal Chem 37: 105–121]

I changed the font from Berhart Light Italic to Warnock Light Italic. If you have something else in mind you have to let me know.

1 versus puddles for the organization of antenna and 2 reaction center chromophores. In the lake model, also 3 called the statistical or the matrix model, the exciton 4 may freely visit all reaction centers. In contrast, in the 5 isolated puddles, the separated units, or the restricted model, the exciton can visit only its own reaction 6 7 center. However, the situation is 'in-between', i.e. 8 there is some probability of energy exchange between 9 the different puddles. Looking at the existence of 10 various pigment-protein complexes, it is quite likely 11 that a 'pebble-mosaic' model (Sauer, 1975) may be 12 the real picture. (For further literature citations and 13 discussion, see Kramer et al., 2004.) It still remains 14 a challenge to provide a complete mathematical and 15 physical model for exciton migration in oxygenic 16 photosynthesis.

17 Whether there is a directed or a random exciton 18 migration must depend on many factors including the 19 relative energy levels of the donors and the accep-20 tors. The directed model (the funnel model) seems to 21 be appropriate for heterogeneous energy transfer in 22 phycobilisomes, or even when one deals with transfer 23 from short wavelength to long wavelength forms of 24 Chla (Govindjee et al., 1967; Seely, 1973). However, 25 a random migration is more appropriate for homoge-26 neous energy transfer among isoenergetic pigment 27 molecules (see discussion in Pearlstein, 1982).

Butler and Strasser (1977), Strasser and Butler (1977, 1978) and Strasser (1978) have discussed various *bipartite* or *tripartite* and *grouping* models of organization of pigments. These concepts have been extensively used in the literature, and discussed at length in Strasser et al. (Chapter 12).

35 B. Excitation Energy Transfer and Migration

37 A detailed and mechanistic picture of excitation 38 energy (exciton) transfer is only possible when the 39 distances and orientations of the chromophores are 40 known accurately. A major breakthrough in this 41 direction has been the visualization of the structure 42 of major light-harvesting complex of higher plants 43 (LHCII) on the basis of electron diffraction (Kühl-44 brandt et al., 1994), and X-ray crystallography stud-45 ies (Liu et al., 2004). The derived models show the 46 detailed arrangement of individual Chl a and Chl b 47 molecules, and their orientations and distances. From 48 Förster's resonance theory (Förster, 1946, 1948), one can calculate excitation energy transfer from 49 50 one molecule to another-the rate of this transfer is 51 dependent upon three crucial parameters: (a) $1/R^6$, 52

where R is the distance between the donor and the 53 54 acceptor molecules; (b) $(\kappa)^2$, where κ (orientation factor) = $\cos\alpha - 3 \cos\beta_1 \cos\beta_2$; here, α is the angle 55 between the dipoles of the acceptor and donor mol-56 ecules, whereas β_1 (or β_2) is the angle that the line 57 that joins the two dipoles (the vector) makes with 58 the dipole of the donor (or the acceptor); and (c) the 59 overlap of energy levels, as calculated by the overlap 60 integral between the fluorescence spectrum of the 61 donor and the absorption spectrum of the acceptor 62 molecule (For a complete description and methods, 63 see van Grondelle and Amesz, 1986; Clegg (Chapter 64 4), and Yang et al.,2003.) 65

In Förster's theory, excitation energy transfer from 66 a donor (at a higher energy state) to an acceptor (usu-67 ally at a lower energy state) occurs after the excited 68 donor molecule looses some energy as 'heat'. The 69 overlap integral between donor and acceptor mol-70 71 ecules is temperature dependent. Thus, temperature dependence of energy transfer had been predicted. 72 Cho et al. (1966) and Cho and Govindjee (1970a) 73 observed changes in emission spectra of Chlorella 74 cells as they decreased temperatures down to 4K; 75 76 similar changes were observed in cyanobacterium 77 Anacystis (Cho and Govindjee, 1970b). Although 78 other interpretations are possible, these results were 79 taken to support the Förster theory for energy transfer from phycobilins to Chl a and for transfer from Chl a 80 fluorescing at 685 nm to that fluorescing at 695 nm 81 (see a review in Govindjee, 1999). 82

Excitation energy migration (homogeneous 83 energy transfer) studies among Chl a molecules 84 were pioneered by William Arnold and E. S. Meek 85 (1956) through the observation of depolarization of 86 Chl fluorescence. Similar investigations were later 87 pursued in my laboratory by Ted Mar and Daniel 88 Wong (Mar and Govindjee, 1972; Wong and Govin-89 djee, 1981) and by Whitmarsh and Levine (1974). A 90 decrease in the polarization of Chl fluorescence by 91 92 closure of PS II reaction centers was taken as evidence of increased energy migration. However, due to a lack 93 of detailed knowledge of the orientation of dipoles, 94 and due to a possible lack of coherence of excitons 95 even after one or two transfers, conclusions from 96 such studies have been rather limited, and extraction 97 of quantitative information about energy migration 98 rather difficult (Knox, 1975). 99

The existence of excitation energy transfer (het-
erogeneous energy transfer), however, has been100101101convincingly shown by the technique of steady-state102sensitized fluorescence, from fucoxanthol to Chl a103

36

1 (Dutton et al., 1943; see Dutton (1997) for a historical 2 article), from phycobilins to Chl *a* (Duysens, 1952; 3 French and Young, 1952) and from Chl *b* to Chl *a* 4 (Duysens 1952). Excitation in the absorption band 5 of the donor molecule shows a quenching of the 6 donor fluorescence and a stimulation of the acceptor 7 fluorescence.

Müller (1874) had already commented on the lower fluorescence intensity of leaves over that in solution, implying the use of the absorbed energy in a leaf for photosynthesis. This concept was emphasized when it was noted that the quantum yield of Chl a fluorescence in vivo is 0.03-0.06 in contrast to 0.25-0.30 in vitro (Latimer et al., 1956)—the majority of the absorbed photons in vivo must be used in photosynthesis Since the quantum yield of fluorescence (ϕ_f) is directly proportional to the lifetime of fluorescence (τ) , and since the latter can also provide unique information on the primary photochemical events of photosynthesis, a major advancement was made when Brody and Rabinowitch (1957) and Dmetrievsky et al. (1957), independently, and by independent methods (direct flash and phase shift), measured the lifetime of Chl a fluorescence in vivo. Even in the very first paper, Brody and Rabinowitch (1957) showed that there was a delay in observing Chl a fluorescence when phy-coerythrin was excited, showing that energy transfer takes a finite time when it moves from phycobilins to Chl a. (See Brody, 2002 for a historical perspective.) Tomita and Rabinowitch (1962) calculated this time to be about 300 ps and the efficiency of the energy transfer to be 80–90%. The time of energy transfer from Chl b to Chl a was too fast to be resolved, but the efficiency of transfer was confirmed to be 100%, as found earlier by Duysens (1952) in steady-state measurements.

Indeed, when ultrashort (femtoseconds to pico-seconds) flashes of light are used to excite donor molecules, one can measure precise times for the transfer of excitation energy from the donor to the acceptor molecule: as the donor fluorescence sub-sides, the acceptor fluorescence appears. A beautiful cascade has been observed in the red algae where one can follow precisely the excitation energy transfer by this technique, from phycoerythrin to phycocyanin to allophycocyanin (Yamazaki et al., 1984; also see Mimuro, 2002, for a historical article). These events occur in picosecond time scale (see Fig. 6). For a current discussion of excitation energy transfer, see chapters by Clegg (Chapter 4), van Grondelle and

 CFL001



Fig. 6. Excitation energy transfer in the red alga *Porphyridium cruentum* as deduced from time (0 to 486 ps)-dependent emission spectra. PE refers to phycoerythrin; PC to phycocyanin; APC to allophycocyanin; and Chl *a* for chlorophyll *a*. Excitation was with a 6 ps 540 nm flash, absorbed mostly in PE. PE fluorescence is at ~575 nm. By about 100 ps, PC and APC fluorescence bands are clearly observed, and Chl fluorescence overtakes them as time progresses from 179 ps to 486 ps. These experiments clearly show that the path of excitation energy transfer is PE to PC to APC and then to Chl *a* (Data of Yamazaki et al., 1984; reproduced from Govindjee, 1995). (For further information, see Chapter 7, Mimuro.)

Gobets (Chapter 5), Mimuro (Chapter 7) and Itoh and Suguira (Chapter 9).

C. Chlorophylls in Crystal Structures of Lightharvesting Chlorophyll Complex, Photosystem II, Photosystem I and in Cytochrome b₆f complex

We have come a long way since the discovery of Chl98fluorescence in vitro and in pigment protein complexes. Distances and orientations of specific Chl molecules are known; thus, we can begin to think about99100100the mechanisms of energy transfer. For example, most102

CFL001

1 Chl a molecules in LHC I are separated by more than 2 18 Å from the Chl molecules of the nearest reaction 3 center (Ben-Shem et al., 2003). However, there are 4 three contact regions where the distances are reduced 5 to 10–15 Å. Due to the $1/R^6$ dependence of energy transfer, they must play a significant role in increas-6 7 ing the rate of energy transfer to the reaction center. 8 On the other hand, specific Chl molecules have been 9 identified in CP-47 (one of the inner antennae of PS II) 10 that form a stack in the middle of the protein leading to the suggestion that they may aid in fast energy 11 12 transfer processes (Ferreira et al., 2004). Liu et al. 13 (2004) have gone a step further in their discussion of 14 the arrangement of Chls in LHCII: they suggest that 15 a specific Chl a numbered 612 may be the putative 16 terminal fluorescence emitter. The most intriguing 17 observation is the existence of a single Chl a molecule 18 in Cyt b_{6} f complex (Kurisu et al., 2003; Stroebel et 19 al., 2003). It is located between subunits F and G of 20 the subunit IV, with its 20-carbon phytyl chain thread-21 ing through the p-side redox chamber into the central 22 cavity; unfortunately, the bound 9-cis β -carotene is 23 too far (at least 14 Å) to quench the Chl triplet! It 24 may be just a 'filler' of space. Perhaps, it is simply 25 a vestige of evolution (Xiong et al., 2000). I wonder 26 if its fluorescence can be used to probe the function 27 and the reactions in the complex.

28 29

31

30 IV. The Fluorescence Transient

32 Figure 7 shows a characteristic fluorescence transient 33 in a pea leaf. A dark-adapted leaf (or a chloroplast 34 suspension from higher plants, algal or cyanobac-35 terial cells) shows characteristic changes in Chl a 36 fluorescence intensity with time when illuminated 37 with continuous light. These changes have been called 38 fluorescence induction, fluorescence transient or sim-39 ply the Kautsky effect. They are classified as fast (up to 40 1 s; labeled as OJIP; see section IV.B) and as *slow* (up 41 to several minutes; labeled as PSMT; see footnote³) 42 changes. During the O to P phase, fluorescence rises 43 and during the P to T decline fluorescence declines 44 to a steady state. These transients have been the 45 subject of a vast number of studies and continue to 46 be used as qualitative and even quantitative probes 47 of photosynthesis. The fast changes have been a bit 48 easier to interpret than the slower changes. For further 49 details, see Schreiber (Chapter 11) and Strasser et al. 50 (Chapter 12, this volume).

51 52 53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75 76

77

78

100

101

102

103

104



Fig. 7. The O-J-I-P Chl a transient (solid line) from pea leaf (Strasser and Govindjee, 1992; reproduced from Govindjee, 1995). The light grey curve is an idealized curve for the transient in the presence of DCMU (3-(3,4)-1, 1' dichlorophenyl dimethyl urea). Excitation, 650 nm; ~ 2,000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (For further information, see Schreiber (Chapter 11) and Strasser et al.(Chapter 12).)

A. Some Correlations

1. Complementarity

Kautsky and Hirsch (1931) had already mentioned the 79 antiparallel (complementary) relation between Chl a 80 fluorescence and photosynthesis. Complementarity 81 was quantitatively established by MacAlister and My-82 ers (1940) during the DPS³ transient. Delosme et al. 83 (1959) confirmed it but they showed also that during 84 the OI phase photosynthetic O_2 evolution and Chl a 85 fluorescence increase in parallel. Thus, the OID phase 86 of the fluorescence transient is actually an 'activa-87 tion' phase before O₂ evolution begins. Papageorgiou 88 and Govindjee (1968a,b) and Mohanty et al. (1971a) 89 showed the parallel increase in fluorescence during 90 a later phase, the SM³ phase, and constancy of O₂ 91 evolution during the MT³ decline. Thus, it is clear 92 that the antiparallel relation between fluorescence 93 and photosynthesis is observed only under certain 94 experimental conditions, namely when $k_p + k_f =$ 95 96

³The terms 'O', 'I', 'D', 'P', 'S', 'M' and 'T', in the chlorophyll 97 fluorescence transient, refer to the initial fluorescence levels, the 'origin' (O), the 'intermediate' (I), 'dip' (D), 'peak' (P), 'semi 98 steady state' (S), 'a maximum' (M), and a 'terminal steady 99 state' T' (see Lavorel, 1959; Bannister and Rice, 1968; Munday and Govindjee, 1969a,b; Govindjee and Papageorgiou, 1971; Papageorgiou, 1975a; Yamagishi et al., 1978; and Govindjee, 1995). Quite often 'M' is just a shoulder and one refers to 'T' simply as 'S' (for steady state).

1 constant and $k_0 = \text{constant}$ (see Eq. (2)). When these 2 conditions are not satisfied, the antiparallel relation 3 between Chl *a* fluorescence and photosynthetic O_2 4 evolution breaks down. Kautsky and Hirsch (1931) 5 have mentioned that it took a long dark time to restore 6 the transient if the light was turned off after a long 7 period of illumination. Duysens and Sweers (1963) 8 showed that the OPS transient was not restored if 9 light was turned off at the 'S' level and turned back 10 on immediately. The hypothesis of Q (now called 11 Q_A) was that Chl fluorescence increased when Q_A 12 was reduced and decreased when Q_A⁻ was oxidized. 13 If this was the only factor controlling OPS transient, 14 the transient should have been restored right away. 15 Mohanty and Govindjee (1974) and Briantais et 16 al. (1986) discussed the dual nature of this phase 17 extensively: one related to Q_A and the other to some 18 'high energy state.' Papageorgiou and Govindjee 19 (1971) showed a relationship of Chl fluorescence to 20 the suspension pH, whereas Briantais et al. (1979) 21 showed a relation of proton gradient changes with 22 the P to S decay. In terms of Eq. (8), this implies 23 that another rate constant (perhaps, $k_{\rm h}$), besides $k_{\rm n}$, 24 is affected by pH changes.

26 2. Plastoquinone Pool Size

25

27

28 The O (ID) P rise is mostly due to the decrease in the 29 concentration of Q_A and, thus, to the accumulation of 30 Q_A^{-} . The area over the fast phase of Chl *a* fluorescence 31 transient (OIDP) measures the size of the electron 32 acceptor pool of PS II, the plastoquinone (PQ) pool 33 size, provided, e.g. the same area can be measured, 34 under similar experimental conditions, when the PQ 35 pool cannot be reduced, and only Q_A can be reduced; 36 this condition is obtained when an inhibitor, such as 37 DCMU, is added to the sample. The earliest calcula-38 tions of the acceptor pool were made by Malkin and 39 Kok (1966) and by Murata et al. (1966b). The area 40 that is bound by the Chl a fluorescence transient mea-41 sured in the presence of DCMU and the asymptotes 42 that are parallel to the time axis (abscissa) and the 43 fluorescence intensity axis (ordinate) corresponds 44 to one electron equivalent (on Q_A^{-}). The same area 45 without DCMU corresponds to the total number of 46 electron equivalents of the electron acceptor pool 47 downstream of PS II. Such experiments have, in 48 general, provided estimates of 9-10 PQ molecules 49 for the PQ pool size. However, see Trissl et al. (1993) 50 and Trissl and Lavergne (1995) for a discussion of 51 potential problems. Further, Vredenberg (Chapter 6) 52

challenges these interpretations in light of his 'threestate' hypothesis, which considers the PS II reaction centers fully closed only when both pheophytin and Q_A are reduced. Further research is needed to make estimates of PQ pool more precise.

3. Sites of Inhibition

A simple and effective use of the Chl *a* fluorescence transient is for identifying lesions, caused by mutations or inhibitors either on the electron donor side of PS II, or on the electron acceptor side. A block in the electron flow beyond PS II, e.g. after the electron acceptor Q_A (Duysens and Sweers 1963), causes a 66 faster fluorescence rise (OP) to a high steady level. 67 In the course of such experiments, Vernotte et al. 68 (1979) discovered that Chl fluorescence was often 69 about 10–20% higher when the PQ pool was fully 70 71 reduced (saturating light, no DCMU). (Also see 72 discussions by Kramer et al. (Chapter 10), Schreiber (Chapter 11) and Falkowski et al. (Chapter 30).) 73 This was interpreted as a direct quenching of Chl 74 75 fluorescence by the oxidized PQ pool. If, however, the block is in the electron flow on the donor side of 76 PS II, e.g. between H_20 and P680 (the reaction center 77 Chla of PS II), a slower Chla fluorescence rise occurs 78 and the fluorescence remains low. This condition is, 79 however, restored to normal if the cause of the block 80 is removed (Mohanty et al., 1971b; Critchley et al., 81 1982; Metz et al., 1989). (For fluorescence induc-82 tion measurements with repetitive light pulses, see 83 Bruce and Vasiel'ev (Chapter 19) and Falkowski et 84 85 al.(Chapter 30).) 86

B. The Fast Transient of Chlorophyll a Fluorescence(OJIP)

When a dark-adapted photosynthetic organism is 90 exposed to light, Chl fluorescence rises from a low 91 level (F_0) to a high level (F_p) , as discussed in Sections 92 I.B and IV.A. This is the fast phase of the fluorescence 93 induction or transient, and reflects PS II activity. Most 94 95 of the literature on fluorescence transient had used the term OIDP for the fast fluorescence transient, and it 96 97 had been tacitly assumed that the OI phase, measured 98 during transients by all investigators (Munday and Govindjee, 1969a,b), is equivalent to the photo-99 chemical phase OI, recorded at high intensity exci-100 tation, and with fast measuring instruments, where 101 a gun was used to open the shutter rapidly (Morin, 102 1964; Delosme, 1967). However, using a Walz LED 103

104

87

88

1 fluorometer, originally developed by Schreiber et al. 2 (1986), and at extremely high intensity excitation 3 light, Neubauer and Schreiber (1987) and Schreiber 4 and Neubauer (1987) discovered that the OIDP 5 should be represented as $OI_1(D_1)I_2(D_2)P$ transients 6 since there were two, instead of one, inflection(s) 7 between O and P. Using a commercial Hansatech 8 LED instrument PEA (Plant Efficiency Analyzer), 9 Strasser and Govindjee (1991, 1992) observed two 10 inflections between O and P, and labeled them as J 11 and I, not I and J, or I_1 and I_2 . (See Fig. 7 for a OJIP 12 transient curve; also shown is the transient curve with 13 DCMU addition.) Measurements of Strasser et al. 14 (1995) on the intensity dependence of the quantum 15 yield of fluorescence at O, J, I and P revealed that 16 the J is equivalent to I of Delosme (1967). Further, 17 J and I are equivalent to I_1 and I_2 of Neubauer and 18 Schreiber (1987) (see Strasser et al., 1995). (For fur-19 ther details, see Schreiber (Chapter 11) and Strasser 20 et al.(Chapter 12).)

21 The current understanding of OJIP transient rise 22 is that it reflects, in the first approximation, the 23 successive reduction of the electron acceptor pool 24 of PS II (Q_A, the one-electron acceptor-bound PQ, 25 $Q_{\rm B}$, the two-electron acceptor-bound PQ, and the 26 mobile PQ molecules). The hypothesis of Duysens 27 and Sweers (1963) that Q_A is the determining factor 28 governing the increase in Chl a fluorescence is im-29 plicitly accepted by most researchers (see, however, 30 Chapter 6, Vredenberg). The inflections represent 31 the heterogeneity of the process. The OJ rise is the 32 photochemical phase, the inflection J represents the 33 momentary maximum of Q_A^- , $Q_A^-Q_B^-$ and $Q_A^-Q_B^-$; 34 'I' may reflect the concentration of $Q_A^{-} Q_B^{-2-}$ and P 35 may reflect the peak concentration of Q_A^{-} , Q_B^{-2-} and 36 PQH₂ (Stirbet et al., 1998; X-G. Zhu, Govindjee and 37 Steve Long, personal communication). The OJIP 38 transient can be used as a quick monitor of the elec-39 tron acceptor side reactions, the pool heterogeneity 40 and the pool sizes, and the effects of inhibitors and 41 mutations on these processes, as well as on the donor 42 side. Hsu (1993) has confirmed the earlier conclusion 43 from the P. Joliot-R. Delosme laboratory that the fast 44 fluorescence rise is influenced by the S-states of the 45 oxygen evolving complex (OEC). At this moment, 46 we may not be able to easily obtain any quantitative 47 information on the individual rate constants since the 48 secondary reactions of both PS I and PS II are slow 49 compared with the single-turnover of the PS II reac-50 tion centre leading to the overlapping and complex 51 effects (also see Trissl et al., 1993). Thus, we need to 52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

V. The Photosystem II Reactions and Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Most of the Chl *a* fluorescence in PS II preparations 62 63 and in thylakoids that we measure, at room temperature, is from antenna Chl a molecules (mostly from 64 the minor antenna complexes CP-43 and CP-47) 65 not reaction center Chl a molecules. The variable 66 Chl a fluorescence is created either from exciton 67 equilibration between the antenna and the reaction 68 center Chl a, or from exciton/radical pair equilibra-69 70 tion (see Renger, 1992, for the earlier literature and 71 discussion of PS II chemistry). It had been generally 72 believed that all the PS II fluorescence was prompt fluorescence. Klimov et al. (1977) suggested that 73 all of the variable Chl a fluorescence of PS II was 74 75 recombinational luminescence from the back reaction of P680⁺ with Pheo⁻. Although there hasn't been 76 77 a general acceptance of this concept (Van Gorkom, 1986), the exciton/radical pair equilibration recombination model (Holzwarth, 1991) seems capable of accommodating it. Further research and discussion is required to reach a consensus.

A good part of fluorescence from the isolated PS II reaction center, however, originates in the recombination of P680⁺ with Pheo⁻ (see e.g. Govindjee et al., 1990a). Van Mieghem et al. (1992) and Govindjee et al. (1993b) concluded that PS II charge separation is decreased if Q_A⁻ is present, but is increased if doubly reduced Q_A , Q_A^{2-} , is present. The prior redox state of the donor side may also affect the reactions presented above. The known distances between CP43/CP47 Chls a and RCII Chls a may be suggestive of prevention of fast equilibration (ouni et al., 2001; Vasil'ev et 93 al., 2001). With newer data, the above views, however, may be in need of revision (Ferreira et al., 2004, and 94 van Grondelle and Gobets (Chapter 5)).

A. Electron Transport on the Donor Side of Photosystem II

The donor side of PS II involves electron transfer 100 from Y_{z} (a specific tyrosine161 in the D-1 protein) 101 to the oxidized reaction center Chl P680⁺ (Chapter 102 8, Shinkarev). The Y_z^+ recovers its lost electron from 103 104

95 96

97

98

1 water via a four Mn (or a three Mn-one Ca and one 2 Mn) cluster. After four such reactions, four positive 3 charges accumulate on a Mn cation cluster that reacts 4 with two molecules of water to evolve one molecule 5 of O₂, releasing four protons into the lumen of the 6 thylakoid. Kok et al. (1970) explained the period 4 7 oscillations, discovered by Joliot et al. (1969), in O₂ 8 evolution per flash as a function of the number of 9 light flashes, spaced ~1 s apart; they represented the redox states of the Oxygen evolving complex (OEC) 10 11 as S_0 , S_1 , S_2 , S_3 and S_4 , where the subscripts represent 12 the number of positive charges on OEC, and each 13 transition $(S_n \rightarrow S_{n+1})$ takes place as an electron is 14 transferred from OEC to the P680⁺, formed in light. 15 In dark, the system starts mostly in the S₁ state and the 16 maximum O₂ is released after the 3rd flash followed 17 by a periodicity of 4 in flash number dependence of 18 O_2 release. (For a basic description, see Govindjee 19 and Coleman, 1990; for a historical minireview, see 20 Joliot, 2003, and for the detection of an intermediate 21 in O₂ evolution, see Clausen and Junge, 2004.) 22

1. Oxidized Reaction Center Chlorophyll,
 P680⁺, as a Quencher of Chlorophyll a
 Fluorescence in Photosystem II; Donation of
 Electron from Tyrosine Y_z (or Z) to P680⁺

28 In the ns to sub-µs time scale, the Chl a fluorescence 29 rise, after a brief (~ ns) actinic flash, measures the 30 electron flow from Y_{z} (or Z) to P680⁺. This rise was 31 discovered by Mauzerall (1972) and explained by 32 Butler (1972) to be due to the removal (reduction) 33 of the quencher P680⁺. Sonneveld et al. (1979) el-34 egantly measured this reaction, after correcting for quenching by Chl a triplets, and showed that it was 35 36 faster (approx. $t_{y_{a}} \sim 20$ ns) during transition of S_o and 37 S₁ and slower and more complex during transitions 38 of S_2 and S_3 . This fluorescence rise can be observed 39 even at longer times due to the equilibrium reactions 40 between $S_0 \leftrightarrow Y_z(\text{ or } Z) \leftrightarrow P680$ (Kramer et al., 1990; 41 Shinkarev and Govindjee, 1993).

42

44

27

43 2. Water to Y_z (or Z) reaction

As mentioned above, electron transfer from Y_z to P680⁺ can be measured through Chl *a* fluorescence rise in the nanosecond to sub-microsecond range after an actinic flash. However, this does not take into account the equilibria between the S-states and Z, and between Z and P680. There are two possibilities of how S-states (i.e., the valence states through which 52

I was only kidding about the length of the title!!!

the manganese cluster of the OEC recycles in order to 53 oxidize water) can control Chl a fluorescence yield: 54 55 (a) a more positively charged S-state can slow electron transfer from that state to Y_z and, in turn, the electron 56 transfer from Y_z to P680⁺, leading to an accumulation 57 of P680⁺ which acts as a natural quencher of Chl a58 fluorescence; and (b) a direct influence of S-states 59 on the Chl a fluorescence yield. There also exists 60 the possibility of O_2 , per se, released during S_4 to S_0 61 transition to cause quenching of Chl a fluorescence. 62 Shinkarev et al. (1997) measured the kinetics of the 63 difference between the inverse of the fluorescence 64 yield after the first flash (S_1 to S_2 transition, no O_2 65 evolution) and that after the third flash $(S_3 \rightarrow S_4 \rightarrow S_0)$ 66 transition, O₂ evolution; see Kok et al., 1970; Renger, 67 2003). Analysis of this data shows that a quencher is 68 produced with a lag of approximately 1 ms and a rise 69 70 half time of about 2 ms (Chapter 8, Shinkarev). The 71 amplitude of this quencher oscillates with a period of 4 in synchrony with O₂ evolution, but there are seri-72 73 ous quantitative differences. In the same way, there may be inconsistencies with the H⁺ release patterns 74 75 (Lavergne and Junge, 1993). It is still tantalizing to consider the possibility that this phase is a monitor of 76 the kinetics of the $S_4 \rightarrow S_0 O_2$ -evolving step. Whether 77 it could be O_2 itself (for arguments regarding O_2 as a 78 quencher of Chl fluorescence, see Papageorgiou, et 79 al., 1972; and Papageorgiou, 1975b) is a valid ques-80 tion to ask. Since fluorescence can be measured in 81 intact leaves, Chl fluorescence kinetics could become 82 an excellent probe for monitoring crucial functional 83 steps of PS II in situ. 84

B. Electron Transport on the Acceptor Side of Photosystem II

The acceptor side of PS II involves electron transfer 89 from excited P680, P680*, to pheophytin (Pheo) 90 and then to a one-electron acceptor Q_A , a bound 91 plastoquinone. From reduced Q_A , $Q_{\overline{A}}$, electrons are 92 transferred to Q_B After two such reactions, the dou-93 bly reduced Q_{B²⁻} 'picks up' two protons becoming 94 95 $Q_{\rm B}H_2$ (or simply PQH₂). (For a detailed description, see Crofts and Wraight, 1983.) Since there are 8-10 96 PQ molecules in the thylakoid membrane pool (see 97 98 above), it takes some time (~500 ms) to reduce the entire PQ pool. 99

1. *Q*⁻_A to Plastoquinone Reactions

In the μ s to ms time scale, the Chl *a* fluorescence 103

104

100

101

102

85

86

87

CFL001

decay, after a brief flash, measures the electron transfer from Q_A^- to Q_B^- . These measurements were first made by Forbush and Kok (1968) who used a \sim 1 ms saturating flash to induce a single turnover of PS II reaction centers; they observed a fast decay phase ($t_{1/2} \sim 0.6 \,\mathrm{ms}$), which they correctly attributed to re-oxidation of Q_A; about 18 flashes were needed to reduce the secondary acceptor PQ pool (then called the A pool). They also remarked at the heterogeneity of this PQ pool. Although Mauzerall (1972) reported the microsecond to millisecond fluorescence decay, the first detailed and reliable measurements on this decay were those by Zankel (1973) who observed a phase of $t_{i_4} \sim 200 \ \mu s$ and another of 1 ms, and related them to the equilibria between what we now call Q_A , Q_B , and the PQ pool, the fast and the slow reducing pool.

19 2. The Two-electron Gate: Discovery of Q_B

The existence of a 'two-electron gate,' through which electrons pass only in pairs, somewhere between PS II and electron acceptance by methyl viologen from PS I, was shown by Bouges-Bocquet (1973) in a paper that was submitted within a week or so of that by Velthuys and Amesz (1974). Bouges-Boc-quet had called the carrier B, and shares the credit of independent discovery of the two- electron gate. The concept of the two-electron gate was elegantly demonstrated in an experiment, that I consider to be a major breakthrough, by Velthuys and Amesz (1974). In these experiments, the possible oscillations due to the donor side (the S-state cycling related to O₂-evolu-tion steps) were eliminated by alkaline Tris-washing, and an external electron donor was provided for the functioning of PS II. A series of preflashes were given and then the herbicide DCMU was injected and Chla fluorescence yield monitored. There was an obvious binary oscillation in the Chl a fluorescence yield: high after the first and all odd preflashes, and low after the second and all even preflashes (Fig. 8). This work provided, for the first time, information on how one electron acceptor, Q_A (then called Q), communicates with the two-electron-acceptor PQ molecule. The authors interpreted their results in terms of an elec-tron carrier R (now known as Q_B) which exchanges electrons one by one with QA, but two by two with PQ. This is the essence of, what we call today, the two-electron gate. Bowes and Crofts (1980) explained their results, in which Chl a fluorescence yield decays faster after the first than after the second flash, in terms



Fig. 8. Binary oscillations in Chl *a* fluorescence that led to the concept of two-electron gate on the acceptor side of PS II. Chl *a* fluorescence yield changes (Δ F) observed after a series of preillumination flashes, followed by DCMU (or dithionite) addition. Alkaline Tris-washed chloroplasts were used to block the water to P680 reaction, and thus the period 4 oscillations on the electron donor side of PS II, and *p*-phenylenediamine was added as an artificial electron donor in order to run the PS II. (Data of Velthuys and Amesz, 1974; figure reproduced from Govindjee, 1995; also see Shinkarev (Chapter 8).)

of a slower electron flow from Q_A^- to Q_B^- than from Q_A^- to Q_B^- possibly because of electrostatic repulsion from Q_B^- . It was Velthuys (1982) who first realized that Q_B^- is not a permanent cofactor of PS II but merely a molecule of the pool that remains tightly bound only when it is present in the one-electron reduced, semiquinone form. For a historical perspective of the 2-electron gate in photosynthetic bacteria, see Verméglio (2002).

C. Role of Bicarbonate

In addition to the crucial role of CO_2 in carbon fixation (Benson, 2002; Bassham, 2003), it is also required, as HCO_3^- , for the functioning of PS II (van Rensen et al., 1999). There are two major roles of HCO_3^- in PS II: one is on the donor side and the other is on the acceptor side. Neither PS I nor the reaction centers of photosynthetic bacteria require HCO_3^- for their functioning (Govindjee, 1991).

The history of the role of HCO_3^- on the electron98donor side of PS II has been discussed by Stemler99(2002). In a large number of experiments, bicarbonate100is displaced from its binding site by formate or NO;101this leads to an inhibition of PS II reactions which is102reversed by the addition of HCO_3^- . The role of HCO_3^- in103

1 stabilization and functioning of the donor side of 2 PS II has been reviewed by Klimov et al. (1995). The 3 role of HCO₃ in electron and proton transfers in the 4 Q_A^- to the PQ pool steps has been reviewed by Gov-5 indjee and Van Rensen (1978, 1993); Blubaugh and 6 Govindjee (1988); Diner et al. (1991); Van Rensen 7 et al. (1999) and van Rensen (2002). Using Chl a 8 fluorescence transient measurements, Wydrzynski 9 and Govindjee (1975) were the first to demonstrate 10 that the inhibition of electron flow from Q_A^- to the 11 PQ pool, by formate, was uniquely reversed by the 12 addition of HCO₃; this stimulation reveals a major 13 role of HCO₃ on the electron acceptor side of PS II: 14 the effect of bicarbonate depletion resembled more 15 like the DCMU block on the acceptor side of PS II than by a block on the donor side of PS II. 16

17 Jursinic et al. (1976) concluded that electron flow 18 out of Q_A^- to the PQ pool is faster in the presence than 19 in the absence of HCO_3^- . Tracking Chl *a* fluorescence 20 yield changes, after each excitation flash in a series of 21 flashes, Govindjee et al. (1976) showed that the binary 22 oscillations, due to the existence of the two-electron 23 gate were abolished in the absence of HCO_3^- . These results, obtained with thylakoids thoroughly depleted 24 25 of bicarbonate, suggested that the protonation and the exchange of Q_B^{2-} by the PQ pool is drastically, 26 27 but reversibly slowed down since the addition of 28 HCO₃ restored these reactions to the level seen in the 29 untreated (non- HCO_3^- depleted) samples.

30 Under the experimental conditions of Jursinic et al. 31 (1976), Y, to P680⁺ reaction was shown to be normal 32 even in the bicarbonate-depleted samples. Govindjee 33 et al. (1989) confirmed this result and showed that 34 it was independent of the S-states. However, this 35 does not contradict (or disprove) the existence of 36 a role of HCO_{3}^{-} on the donor side of PS II (Jursinic 37 and Dennenberg, 1990; Stemler and Jursinic, 1993) 38 under other experimental conditions. In fact, under 39 low pH, bicarbonate-depletion caused inhibition 40 prior to Q_A reduction (El-Shintinawy and Govindjee, 41 1989, and El-Shintinawy et al., 1990). Klimov and 42 co-workers have now established an important role 43 of HCO₂ on the donor side of PS II (see e.g., Klimov 44 et al., 1995) On the other hand, there is indeed a clear 45 stimulatory role of HCO_3^- in the reactions from Q_A^- to 46 PQ, as discussed above. Eaton-Rye and Govindjee 47 (1988a, 1988b) and Xu et al. (1991) showed a drastic 48 formate-induced and bicarbonate-reversible slowing 49 down of electron transfer from Q_A^- to Q_B after the 50 second and subsequent flashes, but not after the first 51 flash. This has been interpreted to suggest that it is 52

60

61

62

63

64

65

protonation of the site near Q_{B}^{-} , rather than electron 53 transfer per se, that is inhibited by bicarbonate-revers-54 55 ible formate. A similar result was obtained by Diner 56 and Petrouleas (1990) for the bicarbonate-reversible 57 NO effect. A role of HCO_3^- in protonation reactions has also been suggested from proton measurements 58 by Van Rensen et al. (1988). 59

The atomic level model of the PS II reaction center presented by Ferreira et al. (2004) suggests that bicarbonate may be bound on both the acceptor and donor sides of PS II reaction centers giving credence to the concept that bicarbonate may play roles on both the donor and acceptor sides of PS II.

Blubaugh and Govindjee (1988) hypothesized that 66 one of the functions of bicarbonate is to stabilize 67 the negative charge on Q_B^- formed after the flash by 68 delivering a H⁺ to a particular histidine. Here, bicar-69 70 bonate was suggested to be H-bonded to a particular 71 arginine (D1-R269 and/or D1-R257) and, perhaps, 72 stabilized by other arginines, placing it in an optimal 73 region for such a role. In the absence of HCO_3^- , this is much slowed and, thus, electron transfer after the 74 75 second and succeeding flashes is slowed. In addition, the importance of D2-R251 and D2-R233, but not 76 D2-R139, for stabilization of HCO_3^- was shown by 77 Cao et al. (1991) (also see Govindjee, 1993) through 78 79 the use of site-directed Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 mutants (D2-R251S, D2-R233Q and D2-R139H). 80 However, we consider it likely that D1-R269 and/or 81 D1-R257 is involved in the binding of HCO₃⁻ in ad-82 dition to the non-heme iron (Diner and Petrouleas, 83 1990). We suggest that both D1- R269 and D1-R257 84 may be of importance for the HCO_{3}^{-} in the function-85 ing of the two-electron gate on the acceptor side of 86 PS II (Xiong et al., 1997, 1998a,b). Chlorophyll a 87 fluorescence measurements on bicarbonate-depleted 88 herbicide-resistant Dl mutants, mutated at different 89 amino acids near the Q_B-binding niche (between he-90 lices IV and V of the D1 protein) suggest a role of a 91 broad binding niche for bicarbonate ions (Govindjee 92 et al. 1990b, 1991, 1992; Cao et al. 1992; Vernotte 93 et al., 1995). 94

The (bi)carbonate binding niche in human lac-95 toferrin (Anderson et al., 1989), the only other 96 97 Fe-(bi)carbonate protein known to us, may serve as a partial model for further investigations. Here 98 (bi)carbonate is not only liganded to Fe, but is H-99 bonded to an arginine and several other amino acids. 100 Mäenpaa et al. (1995) have demonstrated that a mu-101 tant (CAI) of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803, that lacks 102 certain glutamic acids in the loop between helix IV 103

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CFL001

and V of its D1 protein, shows a high resistance to bicarbonate-reversible formate treatment. Since this mutation is not in the $Q_A FeQ_B$ niche, this result may suggest the importance of conformational changes.

We are, obviously, far from understanding the bicarbonate binding and its function on both the donor and acceptor sides of PS II. Chl fluorescence measurements still hold promise for obtaining answers to these questions.

11 D. Connectivity Between Photosystem II Units

12 13 In the lake model (see earlier discussion), excitons 14 migrate freely (random walk). If they encounter a 15 closed reaction center Chl a, they can just go to an-16 other center (Knox, 1975; Pearlstein, 1982). Such a 17 model predicts a linear relationship between lifetime 18 of fluorescence, τ , and quantum yield of fluorescence, 19 $\phi_{\rm f}$, as the traps are progressively closed, by increasing 20 the intensity of excitation or by raising the level of an 21 inhibitor. Briantais et al. (1972) introduced a t versus 22 ϕ_{f} diagram, and showed a proportionality between 23 the two quantities throughout the entire range of ex-24 citation intensities in Chlorella cells. This result and 25 the earlier results of Tumerman and Sorokin (1967) 26 were taken to support the *lake* model. They did not 27 support the strictly 'isolated puddles' model, where 28 exciton can visit only one reaction center, because 29 fluorescence would have to be dealt with as a sum of 30 fluorescence from open and closed units, leading to a 31 significant nonlinearity in the τ versus ϕ_{f} curve.

In reality, however, the picture may be 'in-between',
i.e. there may be a certain probability of exciton
migration from one unit to another, as if there were
interconnected puddles or a pond. A. Joliot and P.
Joliot (1964) had derived a relationship (see P. Joliot
and A. Joliot (2003) for a historical perspective):

40

$$(F_{(t)} - F_o)/(F_{max} - F_o) = (1 - p)q/(1 - pq)$$
 (12)

41 where, $F_{(t)}$ is the Chl *a* fluorescence yield at time t, 42 F_0 is the fluorescence yield when all Q_A is in the oxidized state, \mathbf{F}_{\max} is the maximum fluorescence yield 43 when all Q_A is in the reduced state, p is a parameter 44 45 related to the probability of interunit energy transfer, 46 and q is the fraction of closed reaction centers. Here 47 q = 1, when Q_A^- is maximum. Joliot and Joliot (1964) 48 calculated the parameter p, which depended solely on 49 the variable Chl fluorescence. The calculated values 50 of p have hovered around 0.5 in most cases.

51 Both Paillotin (1976, 1978) and Strasser (1978) 52 pointed out difficulties with this concept and suggested modifications. As the centers close, the proportion of open centers decreases. Paillotin (1976) suggested using a physical connection parameter P that depends only upon exciton migration from a closed to an open reaction center; he relates it to

 $P = p (1 - F_o/F_{max}) = p \times F_{variable}/F_{max}, \qquad (13)$

Joliots' p as follows:

On the other hand, Strasser (1978) proposed that the probability of exciton migration in Joliots' equation be corrected by the ratio of $F_{variable}/F_o$. For a relationship between the three equations, see Strasser et al. (1992). Trissl et al. (1993) and Trissl and Lavergne (1995) have challenged some of these concepts and provided reasons for further caution in making quantitative calculations. (For other views on this subject, see Strasser et al.(Chapter 12), and Vredenberg (Chapter 6).)

VI. Non-photochemical Quenching of Chl Fluorescence

77 78 High light (beyond what is needed for maximum 79 photosynthesis) is a major plant stress. Under extreme high-light conditions, the photosynthesis apparatus 80 81 can be damaged irreversibly (see Adir et al., 2003, for a historical minireview on 'photoinhibition'). 82 83 Plants and algae have devised various strategies to protect themselves (photoprotection) (Björkman and 84 85 Demmig-Adams, 1994; Gilmore and Govindjee, 1999, Horton et al., 1999, and Niyogi, 1999; Holt 86 87 et al., 2004). Strategies adopted by cyanobacteria for photoprotection are discussed by Bruce and 88 Vasil'ev (Chapter 19), George Papageorgiou and 89 Kostas Stamatakis (Chapter 26) and John Allen 90 91 and Conrad Mullineaux (Chapter 17). One of the strategies for survival in high light is to eliminate 92 93 the excess absorbed energy as heat (thermal dissipation), which can be measured as non-photochemical 94 95 quenching (NPQ) of Chl fluorescence. The process 96 of NPQ in higher plants involves acidification of the 97 thylakoid lumen, operation of the xanthophyll cycle, and specific components of the antenna of PS II (see 98 a quantitative description in Gilmore et al., 1998). 99 These components include the *psbS* gene product, 100 some other minor antenna complexes and even cer-101 tain portions of LHCIIb (Li et al., 2000; Crimi et al., 102 2001; Chow et al., 2000; Frank et al., 2001; Elrad et 103 104

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

al., 2002; Govindjee, 2002).

A. Early Ideas on Non-Photochemical Quenching

6 For earlier thoughts and literature on the effects of 7 strong light on photosynthesis by J. Myers, B. Kok, 8 E. Rabinowitch and L. N.M. Duysens, prior to 1965, 9 see discussion in Govindjee and Seufferheld (2002). 10 Papageorgiou and Govindjee (1967, 1968a, 1968b) 11 began looking at the effects of uncouplers of pho-12 tophosphorylation, even in the presence of DCMU, 13 on Chl a fluorescence of intact green and blue-green 14 photosynthetic cells. They observed complex changes 15 in both fluorescence kinetics and fluorescence emis-16 sion spectra; since DCMU was present, it was evident 17 that these changes were unrelated to Q_{A} -dependent 18 quenching.' In the absence of DCMU, the un-relat-19 edness of the slow Chl a fluorescence changes to photosynthesis was supported by the observation 20 21 that the rate of O_2 evolution paralleled the SM (see 22 footnote³) fluorescence rise, and remained constant 23 during the MT fluorescence(see footnote³) decline 24 (Papageorgiou and Govindjee 1968a, 1968b; Mo-25 hanty et al. 1971a).

Murata and Sugahara (1969) observed an uncoup-26 27 ler sensitive lowering of Chla fluorescence yield when 28 they added reduced phenazine methosulfate (PMS) 29 to DCMU-treated spinach chloroplasts. Wraight 30 and Crofts (1970) showed a correlation between the 31 protonation of the interior of the thylakoid, and the 32 lowering of the Chl a fluorescence yield. However, 33 Papageorgiou (1975b) showed dual quenching by the 34 lipophilic PMS cation, direct collisional quenching 35 of excited Chl a in situ, and indirect quenching, via 36 cyclic electron transport and acidification of thylakoid 37 lumen. While fluorescence quenching by QA was op-38 timal at pH 6.5, the 'high energy state' (protonation) 39 quenching was optimal at pH 8.5. Briantais et al. 40 (1979, 1980) showed that the slow decline phase of 41 Chl fluorescence is correlated with the lumen [H⁺] 42 in isolated chloroplasts. This fluorescence lowering 43 cannot be due to direct quenching by protons as they 44 cannot accept electronic excitation energy.

Papageorgiou (1975a) considered the possibility that some of the 'non- Q_A related' or 'high-energystate, or X_E ' quenching may occur through changes in structure that allow diffusion of quenchers (such as O_2) to the pigment site. Fixation of cells by glutaraldehyde did eliminate quenching of Chl *a* fluorescence by PMS (Mohanty et al., 1973). In view 52 of the absence of PMS-induced effects on excitation 53 energy transfer from PS II to PS I, and in view of 54 55 the fact that fluorescence intensity changes paralleled lifetime of fluorescence changes, Mohanty et 56 al. (1973) concluded that these changes were due to 57 increases in rate constant of heat loss, k_h, not of excita-58 tion energy transfer k_{tr}. These were the beginnings of 59 the observations on non-photochemical quenching 60 of Chl a fluorescence of PS II. 61

Since the conclusions of Murata and Sugahara 62 (1969), Wraight and Crofts (1970), Mohanty et al. 63 (1973) and Briantais et al. (1979, 1980) on thylakoids 64 and chloroplasts were more understandable than 65 those obtained earlier on algal cells, Mohanty and 66 Govindjee (1973) investigated the effects of sali-67 cylanalides, uncouplers of photophosphorylation, on 68 DCMU-treated cyanobacterial cells They observed 69 that these uncouplers abolished the time-dependent 70 71 Chl a fluorescence increase, a sort of opposite effect to that observed with the PMS-system in thylakoids. 72 In both cases, uncouplers of photophosphorylation 73 caused drastic changes in 'non-Q_A-related' Chl a 74 fluorescence changes. I hope that with the new 75 theoretical and experimental framework available 76 now, these early observations in intact cells can be 77 reinvestigated and finally understood at a molecular 78 79 level.

B. Xanthophyll Cycle and the Non-photochemical Quenching

Seven years before the observations of N. Murata and K. Sugahara, Yamamoto et al. (1962) had discovered the reversible de-epoxidation of violaxanthin to antheraxanthin and then to zeaxanthin, a process that came to be known as the *xanthophyll cycle*:

violaxanthin (V) \Leftrightarrow antheraxanthin(A) \Leftrightarrow zeaxanthin (Z)

As V is converted to A and then to Z, $\frac{1}{2}O_2$ is removed at each step, and in the reverse process (epoxidation) $\frac{1}{2}O_2$ is added at each step.

Harry Yamamoto, who has invested years of 96 97 research characterizing this cycle biochemically, concluded that it played an unknown but important 98 regulatory role in photosynthesis (see Yamamoto, 99 1979; Yamamoto et al., 1999). (A photograph of 100 Yamamoto appears in Govindjee and Seufferheld, 101 2002.) It was later that B. Demmig-Adams and her 102 coworkers suggested that the pigments of the Xantho-103

104

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

CFL001

phyll cycle play a role in NPQ of Chl a fluorescence 1 2 by increasing k_b (Demmig-Adams et al. 1990; for a 3 personal historical minireview, see Demmig-Adams, 4 2003; also Williams Adams and Barbara Demmig-5 Adams (Chapter 22)).

6 Non-photochemical quenching of Chl a fluores-7 cence simply implies enhanced dissipation of 8 electronic excitation via pathways other than those 9 involved in photochemistry (k_n) and fluorescence (k_f) . 10 The most obvious alternate pathways are direct heat losses $(k_{\rm h})$ and (excitation) transfer to other molecules 11 12 (k_a) , such as for example carotenoids, and intersystem 13 crossings to Chl *a* triplets (k_{trip}) .

Thus, NPQ includes what we may call 'non-Q_A 14 15 related' changes. It is measured as follows (see 16 Baker and Oxborough (Chapter 3), Krause and Jahns 17 (Chapter 18) and Schreiber (Chapter 11)):

NPQ =
$$(F_m - F'_m)/F'_m$$
 (14a)

 $NPQ = (F_m / F'_m) - 1$ (14b)

NPQ +1 = (F_m/F'_m) (14c)

$$1 + K_{\rm SV} [Q] = (F_{\rm m}/F_{\rm m}')$$
(14d)

27 where, F_m is maximal Chl fluorescence in dark-28 adapted samples, F'_m is maximal Chl fluorescence 29 in light-adapted samples, K_{SV} is Stern-Volmer con-30 stant and [Q] is the concentration of the quencher of 31 fluorescence.

32 There is a general consensus among several re-33 searchers that lumen acidity may not only activate 34 the enzyme violaxanthin de-epoxidase to convert 35 violaxanthin to antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin, but 36 may also cause conformational changes of antenna 37 pigment protein complexes such that the quenching of 38 Chla fluorescence by zeaxanthin and antheraxanthin 39 (Gilmore and Yamamoto, 1993) is favored. Quenching 40 processes in fluorescence studies are best analyzed by 41 the well-known Stern-Volmer⁴ relationships (Stern 42 and Volmer, 1919; Papageorgiou, 1975a,b; Demmig-43 Adams et al., 1990; see Eqs. 14d and 14e):

44

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

45 ⁴We note that the Stern-Volmer equation was originally derived considering collisional quenching in homogeneous solutions 46 (i.e., diffusional limited reactions; see Förster, 1951). In NPQ it 47 is applied, however, in a quasi solid-state system, in which only 48 excitation energy moves, but not molecules. Thus, there is only 49 a formal similarity between these two processes (expressed by 50 Eq. 14e).

51 52 53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

67

68

69

70

71

72

F (control)/F (with quencher) -1

=

$$kp\tau [Quencher]$$
(14 e)

where, F = fluorescence intensity, k = collision rate constant, \mathbf{p} = probability of effective collisions and τ = lifetime of fluorescence in the absence of the auencher.

Using the Stern-Volmer relationship, Gilmore and Yamamoto (1993) obtained a correlation between the Chl *a* fluorescence yield and the combined $[H^+]$ and [zeaxanthin (Z) + antheraxanthin (A)]. Thus, the k_{h} , proposed earlier, may be equated most simply to k_a $[H^+]$ [Z + A]. This does not *preclude* the existence 66 of other quenching mechanisms. It becomes a matter of knowledge of which mechanism dominates and when (Kramer and Crofts, 1996). However, the role of zeaxanthin in photoprotection in vivo has been emphasized by several, including B. Osmond and coworkers (Casper et al., 1993).

A decrease in fluorescence intensity in a photosyn-73 thetic system, even when the number of total absorbed 74 quanta is kept constant, need not necessarily mean a 75 decrease in quantum yield of fluorescence of PS II 76 77 if the absorption cross-section of the fluorescent pigment bed (PS II) decreases and that of the weakly 78 79 fluorescent bed (PS I) increases. Such a change would not reflect changes in rate constants of de-excitation 80 pathways. However, if fluorescence intensity changes 81 are strictly proportional to lifetime of fluorescence 82 83 changes, we can be sure that these reflect quantum yield changes and, thus, changes in the rate con-84 stants of de-excitation. Gilmore et al. (1995, 1998) 85 observed an almost linear relationship between Chl a 86 fluorescence intensity changes (measured by a PAM 87 (Pulse Amplitude Modulated fluorometer) and the 88 fraction of a short (approximately 0.5 ns) lifetime 89 component of Chl a fluorescence (measured by a 90 91 multifrequency phase fluorometer) during quenching of Chl a fluorescence that was dependent upon 92 93 [H⁺] and [zeaxanthin + antheraxanthin]. Gilmore et al. (1995, 1998) observed that as more zeaxanthin 94 95 (or antheraxanthin) was formed, even when electron transport was blocked, the amplitude of the higher 96 97 lifetime (1.7 ns) of the fluorescence component decreased linearly in proportion to the increase in 98 the amplitude of the lower (0.5 ns) lifetime of the 99 fluorescence component. This meant that the complex 100 that contained both Chl and zeaxanthin (or antherax-101 anthin), formed upon the increase in concentration of 102

1 these xanthophylls, had a lowered quantum yield of 2 fluorescence and, thus, increased rates of heat losses 3 within it. This is like having a 'dimmer' switch, where 4 light (fluorescence) is dimmed as the concentrations 5 of zeaxanthin and antheraxanthin increase (Gilmore 6 at al., 1998; Fig. 9). For a further discussion of the 7 mechanism of non-photochemical quenching, and 8 of how carotenoids may quench Chl a fluorescence. 9 see Crofts and Yerkes (1994) and Frank et al. (1994), respectively. Further, Vasiel'ev et al. (1998) discuss 10 quenching by quinones as a model for quenching of 11 12 fluorescence in antenna molecules. An interesting 13 suggestion for NPQ of Chl fluorescence involving charge transfer state of zeaxanthin and Chl has been 14 presented by Dreuw et al. (2003). 15

One of the major mechanisms by which plants pro-16 17 tect themselves against excess light is by dissipating 18 energy as heat, as noted above; this is an important 19 strategy for the survival of plants. Non-photochemical Chl quenching, when plants are exposed to excess 20 21 light, is a theme that is covered in several chapters: 22 Krause and Jahns (Chapter 18); Bruce and Vasil'ev 23 (Chapter 19), Golan et al. (Chapter 20), Gilmore 24 (Chapter 21); Adams and Demmig-Adams (Chapter 25 22) and Kramer et al. (Chapter 10). Schreiber (Chapter 26 11) has provided an overview of the application of 27 the Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) fluorometry 28 for measurements of quantum yield of photochem-29 istry of PS II in low light and in excess light (when 30 non-photochemical quenching occurs, i.e., energy 31 is lost as heat).

32 33

34

35

VII. Concluding Remarks

36 Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence has been a wonderful 37 tool not only to understand how plants cope with 38 excess light, but with UV light (Manfred Tevini, Chapter 23), water stress (Nikolai Bukhov and Robert 39 40 Carpentier, Chapter 24), and heavy metal ion stress 41 (Manoj Joshi and Prasanna Mohanty, Chapter 25). 42 Plants regulate the distribution of excitation energy 43 between PS I and PS II by a phenomenon labeled as 44 'State Changes'. Allen and Mullineaux (Chapter 17) show how Chl fluorescence is used to understand 45 46 the mechanism of this regulatory phenomenon. 47 Papageorgiou and Stamatakis (Chapter 26) provide 48 a novel application of Chl fluorescence as a monitor of osmotic volume changes and of water and solute 49 transport in cyanobacterial cells On the other hand, 50 51 Hoober and Akoyunoglou (Chapter 27) show how 52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80



Fig. 9. A dimmer switch in photoprotection. As light intensity 81 increases, more zeaxanthin and antheraxanthin are formed dim-82 ming the Chl fluorescence yield, provided the transthylakoid 83 proton gradient is not eliminated. Gilmore et al. (1998) could 84 deduce this from the distribution of lifetime of Chl fluorescence 85 in spinach (panel A) and Wild type (WT) barley thylakoids. To eliminate the effect of changes in electron transport, 10 µM 86 DCMU was added to block all electron transport. The solid curve 87 shows the lifetime of distribution pattern, with most fluorescence 88 with a lifetime of 2 ns for Chl fluorescence, in the presence of 2 89 µM nigericin (a protonophore): increasing DTT (dithiothreotol, 90 that reduces the concentration of zeaxanthin) did not cause any changes in the lifetime of Chl fluorescence components. However, 91 in excess light, in the absence of nigericin, when fluorescence 92 yield is quenched (0.5 ns component is formed at the expense of 93 1.7 ns component), addition of increasing concentrations of DTT 94 that produces increasing amounts of violaxanthin at the expense 95 of zeaxanthin reverses the dimmer switch changing the 0.5 ns lifetime of Chl fluorescence component to 1.7 ns component. 96 Note that in the absence of a proton gradient (solid curve), τ is 97 higher (2 ns) than in its presence (~1.7 ns) showing the effect of 98 protonation alone. (Reproduced from Gilmore et al., 1998; also 99 see Gilmore (Chapter 21).) 100

Chl fluorescence measurements have been applied101to the problem of the assembly of light harvesting102complexes of PS II.103

One of the most useful applications of Chl fluorescence has been in studies of regulation of photosynthetic electron transport (Kramer et al., Chapter 10); light adaptation and senescence of plants (Hartmut Lichtenthaler and Babani, Chapter 28), of terrestrial plants in various ecological niches (Jean-nine Cavender-Bares and Fakhri Bazzaz, Chapter 29) and of photosynthesis in our vast oceans (Falkowski et al., Chapter 30), and in inland waters (John Raven and Steven Maberly, Chapter 31).

To me, one of the most fascinating areas has been imaging of Chl fluorescence (Lichtenthaler and Mihe, 1997; Buschmann et al., 2000). Lichtenthaler and Babani (Chapter 28), Ladislav Nedbal and John Whitmarsh (Chapter 14) and Kevin Oxborough (Chapter 15) have presented the state-of the-art fluorescence intensity images.

A very important application has been in the area of remote sensing of photosynthesis via remote sens-ing of Chl fluorescence since it has the promise of measuring land and ocean productivity from satellites, airplanes, and helicopters; this has been covered by Ismael Moya and Zoran Cerovic (Chapter 16).

The wide areas of photosynthesis, as studied over the years, has been elegantly covered in this book. Some of the authors have presented views that are not yet accepted by others who have written their chapters in this book. Vredenberg (Chapter 6) has challenged the current accepted views on Chl fluorescence; it remains to be seen if he is right.

My viewpoint presented here is only a drop in the lake of Chl fluorescence research. My current research interest is in fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy and in the use of sinusoidal light (forced oscillations) to study the regulation of exci-tation energy transfer from phycobilins to PS II, as published in Holub et al. (2000), and Nedbal et al. (2003), respectively.

Acknowledgments

I thank George Papageorgiou for editing this manu-script. I also thank Rajni Govindjee, Bacon Ke and David Kramer for suggestions and corrections in this chapter. I am thankful to Rumana Tayyab for preparing figures 2, 4 and 7, and for checking the references. During the preparation of this chapter, I have been supported by the Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign and by the School of Life Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru

University, New Delhi.

Deferences

References	53
	54
Adir N, Zer H, Scochat S and Ohad I (2003) Photoinhibition — a	55
historical perspective. Photosynth Res /6: 343–370	56
(1989) Structure of human lactoferrin: Crystallographic	57
structure analysis and refinement at 2.8 Å resolution. J Molec	58
Biol 209: 711–734	59
Arnold WA and Meek ES (1956) The polarization of fluorescence	60
and energy transfer in grana. Arch Biochem Biophys 60:	61
82–90	62
Askenasy E (1867) Beiträge zur Kenntniss des Chlorophylls	02
25: 222 228	03
Bannister T and Rice G (1968) Parallel time courses of oxygen	64
evolution and chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim Biophys	65
Acta 162: 555–580	66
Bassham JA (2003) Mapping the carbon reduction cycle: A	67
personal retrospective. Photosynth Res 76: 35–52	68
Ben-Shem A, Frolow F and Nelson N (2003) Crystal structure	69
of plant Photosystem 1. Nature 420: $630-635$ Benson A (2002) Following the path of carbon in photosynthesis:	70
a personal story Photosynth Res 73: 29–49	71
Bergeron JA (1963) Studies of the localization, physicochemical	72
properties and action of phycocyanin in Anacystis nidulans.	73
Natl Acad Sci-Natl Res Council Publ 1145: 527-536	74
Berlman IB (1965) Handbook of Fluorescence Spectra of Aro-	75
matic Molecules. Academic Press, New York	76
Bjorkman O and Demmig-Adams B (1994) Regulation of pho-	77
in leaves of higher plants. In: Schulze E-D and Caldwell M	78
(eds) Ecological Studies. Vol 100 pp 17–47. Springer Verlag.	70
Berlin	80
Blankenship RE (2002) Molecular Mechanisms of Photosynthesis.	00 Q1
Blackwell Science, Oxford	01
Blubaugh D and Govindjee (1988) The molecular mechanism	02
of photosynthesis Photosynth Res 19:85–128	03
Boardman NK and Anderson JM (1964) Isolation from spinach	84
chloroplasts of particles containing different proportions of	85
chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b and their possible role in light	86
reactions of photosynthesis. Nature 203: 166-167	87
Boardman NK, Thorne SW and Anderson JM (1966) Fluorescence	88
properties of particles obtained by digitonin fragmentation of	89
Bolhar-Nordenkampf HR Long SP Baker NR Öguist G Sch-	90
reiber U and Lechner EG (1989) Chlorophyll fluorescence	91
as a probe of the photosynthetic components of leaves in the	92
field: A review of current instrumentation. Functional Ecol-	93
ogy 3: 497–514	94
Borisov AY (2000) The currently accepted model of primary en-	95
modernized Mombr Call Riol 14: 222, 241	96
Bose S (1982) Chlorophyll fluorescence in green plants and en-	97
ergy transfer pathways in fluorescence. Photochem Photobiol	98
36: 725–731	99
Bouges-Bocquet B (1973) Electron transfer between the two	100
photosystems in spinach chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta	101
514:20U-200 Dowes Land Crofts AD (1080) Dinamy contractions in the rate of	102
reoxidation of the primary acceptor of Photosystem II. Riochim	102
Biophys Acta 590: 373–384	103
1 2	104

5	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
1	^
1	1
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	, 8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
2	2
2) Л
2	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0

2

- Brewster D (1834) On the colours of natural bodies. Trans Royal Soc Edinburgh 12: 538–545
 - Brewster D (1846) On the decomposition and dispersion of light within solid and fluid bodies. Trans Royal Soc Edinburgh 16: 111-123
- Briantais J-M, Merkelo H and Govindjee (1972) Lifetime of the excited state (τ) in vivo. III. Chlorophyll during fluorescence induction in Chlorella pyrenoidosa. Photosynthetica 6:133-141
- Briantais J-M, Vernotte C, Picaud M and Krause G H (1979) A quantitative study of the slow decline of chlorophyll afluorescence in isolated chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 548: 128-138
- Briantais J-M, Vernotte C, Picaud M and Krause G H (1980) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a probe for the determination of the photo-induced proton gradient in isolated chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 591: 198–202
- Briantais J-M, Vernotte C, Krause G H and Weis E (1986) Chlorophyll a fluorescence of higher plants: chloroplasts and leaves. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds) Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 539–583. Academic Press, New York
- Brody SS (1956) Fluorescence lifetime of photosynthetic pigments in vivo and in vitro. PhD Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL
- Brody SS (1958) New excited state of chlorophyll. Science 128: 838-839
- Brody SS (2002) Fluorescence lifetime, yield, energy transfer and spectrum in photosynthesis, 1950–1960. Photosynth Res 73: 127-132
- Brody SS and Brody M (1963) Aggregated chlorophyll in vivo. Natl Acad Sci-Natl Res Council Publ 1145: 455-478
- Brody SS and Rabinowitch E (1957) Excitation lifetime of photosynthetic pigments in vitro and in vivo. Science 125: 555-555
- Buschmann C, Langsdorf G and Lichtenthaler HK (2000) Imaging of the blue, green and red fluorescence emission of plants. An overview. Photosynthetica 38: 483-491
- Butler WL (1961) A far-red absorbing form of chlorophyll in vivo. Arch Biochem Biophys 93:413-422
- Butler WL (1962) Effects of red and far-red light on the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll in vivo. Biochim Biophys Acta 64: 309-317
- Butler WL (1966) Fluorescence yield in photosynthetic systems and its relation to electron transport. Current Topics in Bioenergetics 1: 49-73
- Butler WL (1972) On the primary nature of fluorescence yield changes associated with photosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 69: 3420-3422
- Butler WL (1977) Chlorophyll fluorescence: A probe for electron 41 transfer and energy transfer. In: Trebst A and Avron M (eds) 42 Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology New Series. Photosynthesis 43 I, pp 149-167. Springer Verlag, Berlin
- 44 Butler WL (1978) Energy distribution in the photochemical appa-45 ratus of photosynthesis. Ann Rev Plant Physiol 29: 345–378
- Butler WL (1979) Tripartite and bipartite models of the photo-46 chemical apparatus of photosynthesis. In: Chlorophyll Organi-47 sation and Energy Transfer in Photosynthesis. CIBA Foundation 48 Symposium 61: 237–256. Excerpta Medica, Amsterdam
- 49 Butler WL and Strasser R J (1977) Tripartite model for the pho-50 tochemical apparatus of green plant photosynthesis. Proc Natl 51 Acad Sci USA 74: 3382-3385

33 Campbell D, Hurry V, Clarke AK, Gustafsson P and Öquist G 53 (1998) Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis of cyanobacterial 54 photosynthesis and acclimation. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62: 55 667-683 56 Cao J, Vermaas W F J and Govindjee (1991) Arginine residues in 57 the D2 polypeptide may stabilize bicarbonate binding in Photosystem II of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Biochim Biophys 58 Acta 1059: 171-180 59 Cao J, Ohad N, Hirschberg J, Xiong J and Govindjee (1992) Bind-60 ing affinity of bicarbonate and formate in herbicide-resistance 61 Dl mutants of Synechococcus sp. PCC 7942. Photosynth Res 62 34:397-408 Casper C, Eickmeier WG and Osmond CB (1993) Changes of 63 fluorescence and xanthophyll pigments during dehydration 64 in the resurrection plant Selaginella lepidophylla in low and 65 medium light intensities. Oecologia 94: 528-533 66

- Cederstrand CN and Govindjee (1966) Some properties of spinach chloroplast fractions obtained by digitonin. Biochim Biophys Acta 120: 177–180
- Cho F and Govindjee (1970a) Low temperature (4-77K) spectroscopy of Chlorella: Temperature dependence of energy transfer efficiency. Biochim Biophys Acta 216: 139-150
- ChoF and Govindjee (1970b) Low temperature (4-77K) spectroscopy of Anacystis: Temperature dependence of energy transfer efficiency. Biochim Biophys Acta 216: 151-161
- Cho F, Spencer J and Govindjee (1966) Emission spectra of Chlorella at very low temperatures (-269 ° to -196 °C). Biochim Biophys Acta 126: 174–176
- Chow, WS, Funk C, Hope AB and Govindjee (2000) Greening of intermittent light-grown bean plants in continuous light: Thylakoid components in relation to photosynthetic performance and capacity for photoprotection. Indian J Biochem Biophys 37: 395–404
- Clausen J and Junge W (2004) Detection of an intermediate of photosynthetic water oxidation. Nature 430: 480-483
- Clayton RK (1970) Light and Living Matter, Vol. 1. The Physical Part. McGraw Hill Book Company, New York
- Crimi M, Dorra D, Bösinger CS, Giuffra E, Holzwarth AR and Bassi R (2001) Time-resolved fluorescence analysis of the recombinant Photosystem II antenna complex CP 29: Effects of zeaxanthin, pH and phosphorylation. Eur J Biochem 268: 260-267
- Critch1ey C, Baianu I C, Govindjee and Gutowsky H S (1982) The role of chloride in O2 evolution by thylakoids from salt-tolerant higher plants. Biochim Biophys Acta 682: 436-445
- Crofts AR (2004) The Q-Cycle- A personal perspective. Photosynth Res 80: 223–243
- Crofts AR and Wraight C (1983) The electrochemical domain of photosynthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 726: 149-185
- Crofts A R and Yerkes CT (1994) A molecular mechanism for qE-quenching. FEBS Lett 352: 265-270
- Das M and Govindjee (1967) A long-wave absorbing form of chlorophyll a responsible for the 'red drop' in fluorescence at 298°K and the F723 band at 77 K. Biochim Biophys Acta 143: 570-576
- Dau H (1994 a) Molecular mechanisms and quantitative models of variable Photosystem II fluorescence. Photochem Photobiol 60: 1-23
- 101 Dau H (1994b) Short-term adaptation of plants to changing light 102 intensities and its relation to Photosystem II photochemistry and fluorescence emission. J Photochem Photobiol 26: 3-27 103

104

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

DeEll JR and Toivonen PMA (2003) Practical Applications of Chlorophyll Fluorescence in Plant Biology. Kluwer Academic	and Fork DC (eds) Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 3–28. Academic Press, Orlando
Publishers, Dordrecht	Duysens LN M (1989) The discovery of the two photosynthetic
Delosme R (1967) Étude de l' induction de fluorescence des	systems: A personal account. Photosynth Res 21: 61–79
algues vertes et des chloroplastes au début d'une illumination	Duysens LNM and Sweers H E (1963) Mechanism of two photo-
intense. Biochim Biophys Acta 143: 108–128	chemical reactions in algae as studied by means of fluorescence.
Delosme R (1971) Variations du rendement de fluorescence de la	In: Japanese Society of Plant Physiologists (eds) Studies on
chlorophylle in vivo sous l'action d'éclairs de forte intensité.	Microalgae and Photosynthetic Bacteria, pp 353-372. Univer-
Comptes Rendues des seances de l'Academie Sciences des	sity of Tokyo Press, Tokyo
Paris 272: 2828–2831	Duysens LNM, Amesz J and Kamp B M (1961). Two photochemi-
Delosme R and Joliot P (2002) Period four oscillations in chlo-	cal systems in photosynthesis. Nature 190: 510-511
rophyll a fluorescence. Photosynth Res 73: 165–168	Eaton-Rye JJS and Govindjee (1988a) Electron transfer quinone
Delosme R, Jo1iot P and Lavore1 J (1959) Sur la complémentarité	acceptor complex of Photosystem II in bicarbonate-depleted
de la fluorescence et de rémission d'oxygène pendant la période	spinach thylakoid membranes as a function of actinic flash
d'induction de la photosynthèse. Comptes Rendues des seances	number and frequency. Biochim Biophys Acta 935: 237-247
de I' Academie Sciences des Paris 249: 1409-1411	Eaton-Rye JJS and Govindjee (1988b) Electron transfer through
Demeter S and Govindjee (1989) Thermoluminescence from	the quinone acceptor complex II after one or two flashes in
plants. Physiol Plantarum 75: 121-130	bicarbonate-depleted spinach thylakoid membranes. Biochim
Demmig-Adams B (2003) Linking the xanthophyll cycle with	Biophys Acta 935: 248–257
thermal energy dissipation. Photosynth Res 76: 73-80	Elrad D. Niyogi KK and Grossman A (2002) A major light-har-
Demmig-Adams B, Adams WW III, Heber U, Neimanis S, Winter	vesting polypeptide of Photosystem II functions in thermal
K, Krüger A, Czygan F-C, Bilger W and Björkman O (1990)	dissipation. Plant Cell 14: 1801-1816
Inhibition of zeaxanthin formation and of rapid changes in	El-Shintinawy F and Govindjee (1989) Bicarbonate effects in leaf
radiationless energy dissipation by dithiothreitol in spinach	discs from spinach. Photosynth Res 24:189-200
leaves and chloroplasts. Plant Physiol 92: 293-301	El-Shintinawy F, Xu C and Govindjee (1990) A dual bicarbon-
Diner B and Petrouleas V (1990) Formation by NO of nitrosyl	ate-reversible formate effect in Chlamydomonas cells. J Plant
adducts of redox components of the Photosystem II reaction	Physiol 136: 421–428
center. II. Evidence that HCO_3^-/CO_2 binds to the acceptor side	Emerson R and Arnold W (1932a) A separation of the reactions
non-heme iron. Biochim Biophys Acta 1015: 141-149	in photosynthesis by means of intermittent light. J Gen Physiol
Diner B, Petrouleas V and Wendoloski JJ (1991) The iron qui-	15: 391–420
none electron-acceptor complex of Photosystem II. Physiol	Emerson R and Arnold W (1932b) The photochemical reaction
Plantarum 81: 423–436	in photosynthesis. J Gen Physiol 16: 191-205
Dmetrievsky OD, Ermolaev VL and Terenin AN (1957) Direct	Emerson R and Lewis CM (1943) The dependence of quantum
measurement of the lifetimes of chlorophyll and analogous	yield of Chlorella photosynthesis on wavelength of light. Am
pigments in various media. Doklady Akademii Nauk 114:	J Bot 30: 165–178
468–470	Emerson R, Chalmers RV and Cederstrand CN (1957) Some
Döring G, Stiehl HH and Witt H T (1967) A second chlorophyll	factors influencing the longwave limit of photosynthesis. Proc
reaction in the electron transport chain of photosynthesis-regis-	Natl Acad Sci USA 43: 133–143
tration by the repetitive excitation technique. Zeit Naturforsch	Evans EH and Brown RG (1994) New trends in photobiology: An
22b: 639–644	appraisal of photosynthetic fluorescence kinetics as a probe of
Dreuw A, Fleming GR and Head-Gordon M (2003) Charge-	plant function. J Photochem Photobiol 22: 95-104
transfer state as a possible signature of zeaxanthin-chlorophyll	Ferreira KN, Iverson TM, Maghlaoui K, Barber J and Iwata S
dimer in the non photochemical quenching process in green	(2004) Architecture of the photosynthetic oxygen-evolving
plants. J Phys Chem B 107: 6500-6503	center. Science 303: 1831–1838
Du H, Fuh R A, Li J, Corkan A and Lindsey JS (1998) Photochem	Forbush B and Kok B (1968) Reaction between primary and sec-
CAD: A computer-aided design and research tool in photo-	ondary electron acceptors of Photosystem II of photosynthesis.
chemistry. Photochem Photobiol 68:141–142	Biochim Biophys Acta 162: 243–253
Dutton HJ (1997) Carotenoid-sensitized photosynthesis: Quantum	Fork DC and Amesz J (1969) Action spectra and energy transfer
efficiency, fluorescence and energy transfer. Photosynth Res	in photosynthesis. Ann Rev Plant Physiol 20: 305-328
52: 175–185	Fork DC and Mohanty P (1986) Fluorescence and other char-
Dutton H J, Manning W M and Duggar BB (1943) Chlorophy11	acteristics of blue-green algae (cyanobacteria), red algae, and
fluorescence and energy transfer in diatom Nitzchia closterium.	cryptomonads. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds)
J Phys Chem 46: 308–313	Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 451-496. Academic
Duysens L N M (1952) Transfer of excitation energy in photo-	Press, New York
synthesis. PhD Thesis, State University, Utrecht	Fork DC and Satoh K (1986) The control by state transitions of
Duysens LNM (1979) Transfer and trapping of excitation energy	the distribution of excitation energy in photosynthesis. Ann
in Photosystem II. In: Chlorophyll Organisation and Energy	Rev Plant Physiol 37: 335–361
Transfer in Photosynthesis. Ciba Foundation Symposium 61,	Förster Th (1946) Energiewanderung und Fluorescenz. Naturwiss
pp 323–340. Excerpta Medica, Amsterdam	33: 166–175
Duysens LNM (1986) Introduction to (bacterio)chlorophyll	Förster Th (1948) Intermolecular energy transfer and fluorescence.
emission: a historical perspective. In: Govindjee, Amesz J	Annalen Physik 2: 55–75 [in German]
• · ·	*

103 104

99

100

101

Chapter 1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence

denhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen

53

54

55

from light harvesting antenna mutants of barley. Phil Trans Roy

Goedheer J C (1972) Fluorescence in relation to photosynthesis.

Soc London B 335, 1–14

251-258

Ann Rev Plant Physiol 23: 87–112

1
2
3
<u></u>
- 5
S
6
7
8
9
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
2
2
$\frac{2}{2}$
$\frac{2}{2}$
2
2
2
2
2
2
2
3
2
2
2
3
3
3
3
3 3
3 3 3
3 3 3 3 3
3 3 3 ∡

52

Franck J (1949) The relation of the fluorescence of chlorophyll to photosynthesis. In: Franck J and Loomis WE (eds)Photosynthesis in Plants, pp 293-348. The Iowa State College Press, Ames, Iowa Franck J (1951) A critical survey of physical background of photosynthesis. Ann Rev Plant Physiol 2: 53-86 Frank H A, Cua A, Chynwat V, Young A, Gosztola D and Wasielewski M (1994) Photophysics of the carotenoids associated with the xanthophyll cycle in photosynthesis. Photosynth 0 Res 41: 389-395 Frank HA, Das SK, Bautista JA, Bruce D, Vasil'ev S, Crimi M, 1 Croce R and Bassi R (2001) Photochemical behaviour of xan-2 thophylls in the recombinant Photosystem II antenna complex, 3 CP26. Biochemistry 40: 1220-1225 4

Förster Th (1951) Fluoreszenz Organischer Verbindungen. Van-

- French C S (1971) The distribution and action in photosynthesis 5 of several forms of chlorophyll. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 68: 6 2893-2897
- French C S and Young VMK (1952) The fluorescence spectra 7 of red algae and the transfer of energy from phycoerythrin to 8 phycocyanin and chlorophyll. J Gen Physiol 35: 873-890
- 9 Gaevskii NA and Morgun VN (1993) Use of variable and delayed 0 fluorescence to study plant photosynthesis. Russian Plant Physiol 40: 119-127 1
- Gaffron H and Wohl K (1936a) Zur Theorie der Assimilation. 2 Naturwiss 24: 81-90 3
- Gaffron H and Wohl K (1936b) Zur Theorie der Assimilation. 4 Naturwiss 24: 103-107
- 5 Gasanov R, Abilov Z K, Gazanchyan R M, Kurbonova U M, Khanna R and Govindjee (1979) Excitation energy transfer in 6 Photosystems I and II from grana and in Photosystem I from 7 stroma lamellae, and identification of emission bands with pig-8 ment-protein complexes. Zeit Pflanzenphysiol 95: 148-169
- 9 Genty B, Briantais J-M and Baker N R (1989) The relationship 0 between the quantum yield of photosynthetic electron transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochim Biophys 1 Acta 990: 87-92 2
- Gilmore AM and Govindjee (1999) How higher plants respond to 3 excess light: Energy dissipation in Photosystem II. In: Singhal 4 GS, Renger G, Irrgang K-D, Sopory S and Govindjee (eds) 5 Concepts in Photobiology: Photosynthesis and Photomorphogenesis, pp 513-548. Narosa Publishers, New Delhi /Kluwer 6 Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 7
- Gilmore AM and Yamamoto HY (1993) Linear models relat-8 ing xanthophylls and lumen acidity to non-photochemical 9 fluorescence quenching. Evidence that antheraxanthin ex-0 plains zeaxanthin-independent quenching. Photosynth Res35: 67-78 41
- Gilmore AM, Hazlett TL and Govindjee (1995) Xanthophyll 42 cycle dependent quenching of Photosystem II chlorophyll 43 a fluorescence formation of a quenching complex with a 44 short fluorescence lifetime. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92: 45 2273-2277
- Gilmore AM, Shinkarev VP, Hazlett TL and Govindiee (1998) 46 Quantitative analysis of the effects of intrathylakoid pH and 47 the xanthophyll cycle pigments on chlorophyll a fluorescence 48 lifetime distributions and intensity in thylakoids. Biochemistry 49 37: 13582–13593
- 50 Gilmore AM, Itoh SS and Govindjee (2000) Global spectral-ki-51 netic analysis of room temperature Chlorophyll a fluorescence

56 Goedheer J C (1981) Comparison of the long-wave chlorophyll 57 fluorescence in various green and blue-green algae and diatoms. Photosynth Res 2: 49–60 58 Govindjee (1960) Effect of Combining Two Wavelengths of Light 59 on the Photosynthesis of Algae. Ph.D. Thesis. University of 60 Illinois at Urbana 61 Govindjee (1963) Emerson enhancement effect and two light 62 reactions in photosynthesis. Natl Acad Sci-Natl Res Council Publ 1145: 318-334 63 Govindjee (1991) A unique role of CO₂ in Photosystem II. 64 In: Abrol Y, Wattal PN, Gnanam A, Govindjee, Ort DR and 65 Teramura AH (eds) Impact of Global Climatic Changes on 66 Photosynthesis and Plant Productivity, pp 349-369.Oxford/IBH 67 Private Ltd, New Delhi Govindjee (1993) Bicarbonate reversible inhibition of plasto-68 quinone reductase in Photosystem II. Zeit Naturforsch 48c: 69 70 Govindjee (1995) Sixty-three years since Kautsky: Chlorophyll a 71 fluorescence. Aust J Plant Physiol 22: 131-160 72 Govindjee (1999) Carotenoids in photosynthesis: An historical perspective. In: Frank HA, Young AJ, Britton G and Cogdell 73 RJ (eds) The Photochemistry of carotenoids, pp 1-19. Kluwer 74 Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 75 Govindjee (2000) Milestones in photosynthesis research. In: Yunus 76 M, Pathre U and Mohanty P (eds) Probing Photosynthesis, pp 77 9-39. Taylor and Francis, London 78 Govindjee (2002) A role for a light-harvesting antenna complex of Photosystem II in photoprotection. Plant Cell 14: 1663-1668 79 Govindjee and Briantais J-M (1972) Chlorophyll b fluorescence 80 and an emission band at 700 nm at room temperature in green 81 algae. FEBS Lett 19: 278-280 82 Govindjee and Coleman W (1990) How plants make oxygen. Sci Amer 262: 50-58 83 Govindjee and Govindjee R (1975) Introduction to photosynthesis. 84 In: Govindjee (ed) Bioenergetics of Photosynthesis, pp 1–50. 85 Academic Press, New York 86 Govindjee and Jursinic P (1979) Photosynthesis and fast changes 87

- in light emission by green plants. Photochem Photobiol Rev 4: 125-205 Govindjee and Krogmann D (2004) Discoveries in oxygenic
- photosynthesis (1727-2003): a perspective. Photosynth Res 80:15-57
- Govindjee and Papageorgiou G (1971) Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis: Fluorescence transients. In: Giese AC (ed). Photophysiology, Vol 6, pp 1–46. Academic Press, New York
- Govindjee and Satoh Ka (1986) Fluorescence properties of chlorophyll b- and chlorophyll c-containing algae. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds)Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 497–538. Academic Press, New York
- Govindjee and Seufferheld MJ (2002) Non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll a fluorescence: Early history and characterization of two xanthophyll cycle mutants of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Func Plant Biol 29: 1141-1155
- 100 Govindjee and Van Rensen JJS (1978) Bicarbonate effects on the 101 electron flow in isolated broken chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys 102 Acta 505:183-213
- Govindjee and Van Rensen JJS (1993) Photosystem II reaction 103

104

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

36

- center and bicarbonate. In: Deisenhofer J and J. R. Norris JR (eds) The Photosynthetic Reaction Center, Vol I, pp 357–389. Academic Press, San Diego
- Govindjee and Yang L (1966) Structure of the red fluorescence band in chloroplasts. J Gen Physiol 49: 763–780
- Govindjee, Ichimura S, Cederstrand C and Rabinowitch E (1960) Effect of combining far-red light with shorter wave light in the excitation of fluorescence in *Chlorella*. Arch Biochem Biophys 89: 322–323
- Govindjee, Papageorgiou G and Rabinowitch E (1967) Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis. In: Guilbault GG (ed) Fluorescence: Theory, Instrumentation and Practice, pp 511–564. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York
- Govindjee, Papageorgiou G and Rabinowitch E (1973) Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis. In: Guilbault GG (ed)
 Practical Fluorescence: Theory, Methods, and Techniques, pp 543–575. Marcel Dekker, New York
- Govindjee, Pulles MPJ, Govindjee R, Van Gorkom HJ and Duysens
 LNM (1976) Inhibition of the reoxidation of the secondary
 acceptor of Photosystem II by bicarbonate depletion. Biochim
 Biophys Acta 449: 602–605
- Govindjee, Wong D, Prezelin BB, and Sweeney BM (1979)
 Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence of *Gonyaulax polydera* grown on a
 light-dark cycle and after transfer to constant light. Photochem
 Photobiol 30: 405–411
- Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork D C (eds) (1986) Light Emission
 by Plants and Bacteria. Academic Press, Orlando
 Govindia: Debisor H. Coole A P and Van Press US (1980)
- Govindjee, Robinson H, Crofts A R and Van Rensen JJS (1989)
 Bicarbonate does not influence electron transfer to the reaction center chlorophyll *a* of Photosystem II: Measurements by
 chlorophyll *a* fluorescence rise in microseconds. Naturwiss
 76: 119–121
- Govindjee, Van de Ven M, Preston C, Seibert M and Gratton E
 (1990a) Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence lifetime distributions in
 open and closed Photosystem II reaction center preparations.
 Biochim Biophys Acta 1015: 173–179
- Govindjee, Vernotte C, Peteri B, Astier C and Etienne A-L (1990b)
 Differential sensitivity of bicarbonate- reversible formate effects
 on herbicide-resistant mutants of *Synechocystis* 6714. FEBS
 Lett 267: 273–276
- Govindjee, Snel JFH, deVos O J and Van Rensen JJS (1993a) Antagonistic effects of light I and II on chlorophyll *a* fluorescence
 yield and P700 turnover as monitors of carbon dioxide depletion in intact algal and cyanobacterial cells. Physiol Plant 89:
 143–148
- Govindjee, Van de Ven M, Cao J, Royer C and Gratton E (1993b)
 Multifrequency cross-correlation phase fluorometry of chloro phyll *a* fluorescence in thylakoid and PS II-enriched membranes.
 Photochem Photobiol 58: 438–445
- Greenfield S R, Seibert M, Govindjee and Wasielewski MR (1997)
 Direct measurement of the effective rate constant for primary charge separation in isolated Photosystem II reaction centers. J Phys Chem B 101: 2251–2255
- Harnischfeger G (1977) The use of fluorescence emission at 77K
 in the analysis of the photosynthetic apparatus of higher plants
 and algae. Adv Bot Res 5:1–52
- Harvey EN (1957) A History of Luminescence From the Earliest Times Until 1900. The American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia, PA
- 50 Herschel J (1845a) On a case of superficial color presented by 51 a homogeneous liquid internally colourless. Phil Trans Royal
- 52

Soc London 135:143-145

- Herschel J (1845b) On the epipolic dispersion of light. Phil Trans Royal Soc London 135:147–153
- Hill R and Bendall F (1960) Function of the two cytochrome components in chloroplasts: a working hypothesis. Nature 186: 136–140
- Holt NE, Fleming GR and Niyogi KK (2004) Toward an understanding of nonphotochemical quenching in green plants. Biochemistry 43: 8281–8289
- Holub O, Seufferheld MJ, Gohlke C, Govindjee and. Clegg RM(2000) Fluorescence life-time imaging (FLI)—a new technique in photosynthesis research. Photosynthetica 38: 583–601
- Holzwarth AR (1987) Picosecond fluorescence spectroscopy and energy transfer in photosynthetic antenna pigments. In: Barber J (ed) The Light Reactions, Topics in Photosynthesis 8, pp 95–157, Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Holzwarth AR (1991) Excited state kinetics in chlorophyll systems and its relationships to the functional organization of the photosystems. In: Scheer H (ed) Chlorophylls, pp 1125–1151. CRC Press, Boca Raton
- Holzwarth A R (1996) Data analysis of time-resolved measurements. In: Amesz J and Hoff AJ (eds) Biophysical Techniques in Photosynthesis, pp 75–92. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht
- Horton P and Bowyer JR (1990) Chlorophyll fluorescence transients. In: Harwood J and Bowyer JR (eds) Methods in Plant Biochemistry, pp 259–296, Academic Press, London
- Horton P, Ruban AV and Young AJ (1999) Regulation of the structure and function of the light harvesting complexes of Photosystem II by the xanthophyll cycle. In: Frank HA, Young AJ, BrittonG and Cogdell, RJ (eds) The Photochemistry of Carotenoids, pp 271–291. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht
- Hsu B D (1993) Evidence for the contribution of the S-state transitions of oxygen evolution to the initial phase 0I fluorescence induction. Photosynth Res 36:81–88
- Jagendorf AT (2002) Photophosphorylation and the chemiosmotic perspective. Photosynth Res 73: 215–229
- Joliot A and Joliot P (1964) Etudes cinetique de la reaction photochimique liberant l'oxygen au cours de la photosynthese. Compt Rend Acad Sceances 143: 4622–4625

Joliot P (2003) Period four oscillations of the flash-induced oxygen formation in photosynthesis. Photosynth Res 76: 65–72

- Joliot P and Joliot A (2003) Excitation energy transfer between photosynthetic units: The1964 experiment. Photosynth Res 76: 241–245
- Joliot P, Barbieri G and Chabaud R (1969) Un nouveau modele des centres photochimiques du systeme II. Photochem Photobiol 10: 309–329
- Jordan P, Fromme P, Witt HT, Klukas O, Saenger W and Krauss N (2001) Three dimensional structure of cyanobacterial Photosystem I at 2.5 Å resolution. Nature 411: 909–917

Joshi MK and Mohanty P (1995) Probing photosynthetic performance by chlorophyll *a* fluorescence: Analysis and interpretation of fluorescence parameters. J Sci Ind Res 54: 155–174

- tion of fluorescence parameters. J Sci Ind Res 54: 155–174 98 Junge W (2004) Protons, proteins and ATP. Photosynth Res 80: 99 197–221 100
- Jursinic P and Dennenberg R J (1990) Oxygen release in leaf discs and thylakoids of peas and Photosystem II membrane fragments of spinach. Biochim Biophys Acta 1020: 195–206

Jursinic P, Warden J and Govindjee (1976) A major site bicarbon-

103 104

101

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

ate effect in system II reaction: Evidence from ESR signal II_{vf}	Photosynth Res 5: 139–157
fast fluorescence yield changes and delayed light emission.	Krause GH and Weis E (1991) Chlorophyll fluorescence and
Biochim Biophys Acta 440: 322–330	Piol 42: 212 240
cal probe of the photosynthetic apparatus. In: Scheer H (ed)	Krey A and Govindiee (1964) Fluorescence change in Pornhy-
Chlorophylls, pp 769–795, CRC Press, Boca Raton	<i>ridium</i> exposed to green light of different intensity. A new
Katz E (1949) Chlorophyll fluorescence as an energy flow meter	emission band at 693 nm and its significance to photosynthesis.
for photosynthesis. In:. Franck J and W.E. Loomis WE (eds)	Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 52: 1568–1572
Photosynthesis in Plants, pp 287–292. The Iowa State College	Krey A and Govindjee (1966) Fluorescence studies on a red alga,
Press, Ames, Iowa	Porphyridium cruentum. Biochim Biophys Acta 120: 1-18
Kautsky H and Franck U (1943) Chlorofluoreszenz and und	Kromkamp JC and Forster RM (2003) The use of variable
Kohlensäureassimilation. XI. Die Chlorophyllfluoreszeng von	fluorescence measurements in aquatic ecosystems: Differences
Ulva lactuca und ihre Abhangigkeit von Narcotica, Sauerstoff	between multiple and single turnover measuring protocols and
und Kohlenoxyd. Biochem Zeit 315: 1/6–206	suggested terminology. Eur J Phycol 38: 103–112 Kühlbrandt W. Wang D. N and Euliscaphi V (1004) Atomia model
Adulsky H and Hirsch A (1931) Neue versuche zur Komensaure-	af plant light hervesting complex by cleatron crystallography
Kautsky H Appel W and Amapp H (1960) Chlorophyllfluoreszenz	Nature 367: 614–620
und Kohlensäureassimilation. XIII. Die Fluoreszenkurve und	Kurisu G, Zhang H, Smith JL and Cramer WA (2003) Structure
die Photochemie der Pflanze. Biochem Zeit 332: 227-292	of the cytochrome $b_{6} f$ complex of oxygenic photosynthesis:
Ke B (2001) Photosynthesis: Photobiochemistry and Photobio-	Tuning the cavity. Science 302: 1009–1014
physics. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht	Lakowicz JR (1999) Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy. 2nd
Kircher A (1646) Ars Magna Lucis et Umbrae in X Libras Di-	edition. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York
gesta, etc. Rome	Latimer P, Bannister TT and Rabinowitch E (1956) Quantum yields
Klimov VV, Klevanik AA, Shuvalov V A and Krasnovsky A	of fluorescence of plant pigments. Science 124: 585–586
of Photosystem IL FEPS Lett 82: 182–106	Lavergne J and Junge W (1993) Proton release during the redox
Klimov VV Allakhverdiev SL Feyziev Va M and Baranov SV	L avorel L (1959) Induction of fluorescence in quinone-noisoned
(1995) Bicarbonate requirement for the donor side of Photo-	<i>Chlorella</i> cells Plant Physiol 34: 204–209
system II. FEBS Lett 363: 251–255	Lavorel J (1963) Indications d'ordre spectroscopique sur
Knox RS (1975) Excitation energy transfer and migration:	l'heterogeneite de la chlorophylle in vivo. Colloques Inter-
theoretical considerations. In: Govindjee (ed) Bioenergetics of	nationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique
Photosynthesis, pp 182-223. Academic Press, New York	119:161–176
Kok B (1956) On the reversible absorption change at 705 nm	Lavorel J and Etienne AL (1977) In vivo chlorophyll fluorescence.
in photosynthetic organisms. Biochim Biophys Acta 22:	In: Barber J (ed) Primary Processes in Photosynthesis, Topics
394-401 Kala D (1050) Light in duced charaction show cooling the term whether	in Photosynthesis, Vol 2, pp 203–268. Elsevier, Amsterdam
organisms II A split hear difference spectrophotometer. Plant	cfmeasurement of light emitted by photosynthetic systems. In:
Physiol 34.184–192	Govindiee, A mesz Land Fork DC (eds)Light Emission by Plants
Kok B (1963). Fluorescence studies. Natl Acad Sci—Natl Res	and Bacteria, pp 57–98. Academic Press, New York
Council Publ 1145: 45–55	Lazár D (1999) Chlorophyll <i>a</i> fluorescence induction. Biochim
Kok B, Forbush B and McGloin M (1970) Cooperation of charges	Biophys Acta 1412: 1–28
in photosynthetic oxygen evolution. I. A linear four-step mecha-	Lazár D (2003) Chlorophyll a fluorescence rise induced by high
nism. Photochem Photobiol 11: 457–475	light illumination of dark-adapted plant tissue studied by
Kramer D M and Crofts AR (1996) Control and measurement	means of a model of Photosystem II considering Photosystem
of photosynthetic electron transport in vivo. In: Baker NR	II heterogeneity. J Theo Biol 220: 469–503
(ed) Photosynthesis and the Environment, pp 25–66. Kluwer	radiation I Climate 11: 3060, 3004
Kramer D Robinson H and Crofts A R (1990) A portable multi-	Li X-I Biörkman O Shih C Grossman AR Rosenavist M
flash kinetic fluorimeter for measurement of donor and acceptor	Jansson S and Nivogi KK (2000) A nigment-binding protein
reactions of Photosystem 2 in leaves of intact plants under field	essential for regulation of photosynthetic light harvesting.
conditions. Photosynth Res 26: 181–193	Nature 403: 391–395
Kramer DM, Johnson G, Kiirats O and Edwards GE (2004) New	Lichtenthaler HK (ed) (1988) Applications of Chlorophyll
fluorescence parameters for the determination of Q_A redox state	Fluorescence in Photosynthesis Research, Stress Physiology,
and excitation energy fluxes. Photosynth Res 79: 209–218	Hydrobiology and Remote sensing. Kluwer Academic Publish-
Krause GH and Jahns P (2003) Pulse amplitude modulated	ers, Dordrecht
Groop PP and Parson WW (ads) Light Hervesting Antonnes	Lichtenthaler HK (1992) The Kautsky Effect: 60 years of chio-
in Photosynthesis nn 373–300 Kluwer Academic Publishers	45–55
Dordrecht	Lichtenthaler HK and Mihe JA (1997) Fluorescence imaging as a
Krause GH and Weis E (1984) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool	diagnostic tool for plant stress. Trends Plant Sci 2: 316–320
in plant physiology. II. Interpretation of fluorescence signals.	Lichtenthaler HK and Rinderle U (1988) The role of chlorophyll

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

CFL001 fluorescence in the detection of stress conditions in plants. CRC changes. Biochim Biophys Acta 305: 95-104 Crit Rev Anal Chem 19: 529-585 Lichtenthaler HK, Busschmann C, Rinderle U and Schmuck G (1986) Application of chlorophyll fluorescence in ecophysiology. Radiat Environ Biophys 25: 297-308 Litvin FF and Krasnovsky AA (1958) Investigation of absorption spectra and of the fluorescence of plant leaves in the region 400-850 nm (English translation). Doklady Akademii Nauk 120:307-310 Liu Z, Yan H, Wang K, Kuang T, Zhang J, Guo L, An X and Chang W (2004) Crystal structure of spinach major light-harvesting complex at 2.72 Å resolution. Nature 428: 287-292 MacAlister ED and Myers J (1940) The time course of photosynthesis and fluorescence observed simultaneously. Smithsonian Institution Publications Miscellaneous Collections 99: 1–37 Maenpää P, Miranda T, Tyystjärvi E, Tyystjärvi T, Govindjee, Ducruet J-M, Etienne A-L and Kirilovsky D (1995) A mutation 8487039006) in the D-de loop of Dl modifies the stability of the $S_2Q_4^-$ and $S_2Q_B^-$ states in Photosystem II. Plant Physiol 107:187–197 Malkin S and Kok B (1966) Fluorescence induction studies in isolated chloroplasts. I. Number of components involved in the reaction and quantum yields. Biochim Biophys Acta 126: 413-432 Mar T and Govindjee (1972) Decrease in the degree of polarization of chlorophyll fluorescence upon the addition of DCMU to algae. In: Forti G, Avron M and Melandri BA (eds) Photosynthesis, Two Centuries After its Discovery by Joseph Priestley. Proceedings of the 2nd International Congress on Photosynthesis Research, Stresa, 24–29 June 1971. Vol I, pp 273-281. Dr W. Junk N. V. Publishers, The Hague Mar T, Govindjee, Singhal G S and Merkelo H (1972) Lifetime of the excited state in vivo. I. Chlorophyll a in algae, at room and at liquid nitrogen temperatures, rate constants of radiationless deactivation and trapping. Biophys J 12: 797-808 Mauzerall D (1972) Light-induced fluorescence changes in Chlorella, and the primary photoreactions for the production of O2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 69: 1358-1362 Maxwell K and Johnson GN (2000) Chlorophyll fluorescence - a practical guide. J Exp Bot 51: 659-668 Metz J G, Nixon P J, Rogner M, Brudvig G W and Diner B A (1989). Directed alteration of the Dl polypeptide of Photosystem II; evidence that tyrosine is the redox component Z, connecting the oxygen-evolving complex to the primary electron donor, P680. Biochemistry 28:6960-6969 Mimuro M (2002) Visualization of excitation energy transfer processes in plants and algae. Photosynth Res 73: 133-138 New York Mimuro M and Akimoto S (2003) Carotenoids of light harvesting systems: Energy transfer processes from fucoxanthin and peridinin to chlorophyll In: Larkum AWD, Douglas SE and Raven JA (eds) Photosynthesis in Algae, pp 335-349. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht Mimuro M and Kikuchi H (2003) Antenna systems and energy transfer in cyanophyta and rhodophyta. In: Green BR and Parson WW (eds) Light Harvesting Antennas in Photosynthesis, pp 281-306. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht

46 Mohammed GH, Binder WD and Gillies SL (1995) Chlorophyll 47 fluorescence: a review of its practical forestry applications and 48 instrumentation. Scandinavian J Forest Res 10: 383-410

49 Mohanty P and Govindjee (1973) Light-induced slow changes in 50 the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll a in Anacystis nidulans.

- 51 I. Relationships of slow fluorescence changes with structural
- 52

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

- Mohanty P and Govindjee (1974) The slow decline and the subsequent rise of chlorophyll fluorescence transients in intact algal cells. Plant Biochem J 1: 78-106 Mohanty P, Papageorgiou G and Govindjee (1971a) Fluorescence induction in the red alga Porphyridium cruentum. Photochem Photobiol 14: 667-682 Mohanty P, Mar T and Govindjee (1971b) Action of hydroxylamine in the red alga Porphyridium cruentum. Biochim Biophys Acta 253:213-221 Mohanty P, Braun (Zilinskas) B and Govindjee (1973) Light-induced slow changes in chlorophyll a fluorescence in isolated chloroplasts: effects of magnesium and phenazine methosulfate. Biochim Biophys Acta 292: 459-476 Monardes N (1565) Historia Medicinal de las Cosaque se traen de nuestras Indias Occidentalis. Part 1. En Seuilla: En Casa Alonso Escriuano (available from Padilla Libros, 1988, ASIN: Morin P (1964) Etudes des cinetiques de fluorescence de la chlorophylle in vivo dans les premiers instants qui suivent le debut de illumination. Jour Chim Phys 61: 674-680 Moya I, Sebban P and Haehnel W (1986) Lifetime of excited states and quantum yield of chlorophyll a fluorescence in vivo. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds)Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 161–190. Academic Press, New York Müller N J C (1874) Beziehungen zwischen Assimilation, Absorption und Fluoreszenz im Chlorophyll des lebenden Blattes. Jahrbucher Wissenchaftliche Botanik 9: 42-49 Mullet JE, Burke JJ and Arntzen CJ (1980a) Chlorophyll proteins of Photosystem I. Plant Physiol 65: 814-822 Mullet J E, Burke JJ and Arntzen C J (1980b) A developmental study of Photosystem I peripheral chlorophyll proteins. Plant Physiol 65: 823-827 Munday JCM Jr and Govindjee (1969a) Light-induced changes in the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll a in vivo. III. The dip and the peak in the fluorescence transient of Chlorella pyrenoidosa. Biophys J 9: 1-21 Munday JCM Jr and Govindjee (1969b) Light-induced changes in the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll a in vivo. IV. The effect of pre-illumination on the fluorescence transient of Chlorella pyrenoidosa. Biophys J 9: 22-35 Murata N and Satoh K (1986) Absorption and fluorescence emission by intact cells, chloroplasts, and chlorophyll-protein complexes. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds) Light
 - Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 137-159. Academic Press, Murata N and Sugahara K (1969) Control of excitation transfer in photosynthesis. III. Light-induced decrease of chlorophyll
 - fluorescence related to photophosphorylation system in spinach chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 189: 182-192 Murata N, Nishimura M and Takamiya A (1966a) Fluorescence of chlorophyll in photosynthetic systems. III. Emission and action spectra of fluorescence-three emission bands of chlorophyll a and energy transfer between two pigment systems. Biochim
 - Biophys Acta 126: 234–243 98 Murata N, Nishimura M and Takamiya A (1966b) Fluorescence 99 of chlorophyll in photosynthetic systems. II. Induction of 100 fluorescence in isolated spinach chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys 101 Acta 120: 23–33
 - 102 Muttiah RS (ed) (2002) From Laboratory Spectroscopy to Remotely Sensed Spectra of Terrestrial Ecosystems. Kluwer 103

Chapter 1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Academic Publishers, Dordrecht

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Nakatani H S, Ke B, Dolan E and Arntzen C J (1984) Identity of the Photosystem II reaction center polypeptide. Biochim Biophys Acta 765: 347–352
- Nedbal L, Břazina V, Adamec F, Štys D, Oja V, Laisk A and Govindjee (2003) Negative feedback regulation is responsible for the non-linear modulation of photosynthetic activity in plants and cyanobacteria exposed to a dynamic light environment. Biochim Biophys Acta 1607: 5-17
- Neubauer C and Schreiber U (1987) The polyphasic rise of chlorophyll fluorescence upon onset of strong continuous il-10 lumination. I. Saturation characteristics and partial control by the Photosystem II acceptor side. Zeit Naturforschung 42c: 11 1246-1254 12
- Niyogi KK (1999) Photoprotection revisited: Genetic and mo-13 lecular approaches. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 14 50: 333-359
- 15 Owens TG (1996) Processing of excitation energy by antenna pig-16 ments. In: Baker NR (ed) Photosynthesis and the Environment, pp 1-23. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 17
- Paillotin G (1976) Movement of excitations in the photosynthetic 18 domains of Photosystem II. J Theor Biol 58: 237-252
- 19 Paillotin G (1978) Organization of the photosynthetic pigments 20 and transfer of excitation energy. In: Hall DO, Coombs J and T. W. Goodwin TW (eds) Photosynthesis 77, pp 33–44. The 21 Biochemical Society, London 22
- Papageorgiou G (1975a) Chlorophyll fluorescence: an intrinsic 23 probe of photosynthesis.In: Govindjee (ed) Bioenergetics of 24 Photosynthesis, pp 319–372. Academic Press, New York
- 25 Papageorgiou GC (1975b) On the mechanism of PMS-effected quenching of chloroplast fluorescence. Arch Biochem Biophys 26 166: 390-399 27
- Papageorgiou GC (1996) The photosynthesis of cyanobacteria 28 (blue bacteria) from the perspective of signal analysis of chlo-29 rophyll *a* fluorescence. J Sci Ind Res 55: 596–617
- 30 Papageorgiou GC and Govindjee (1967) Changes in intensity and 31 spectral distribution of fluorescence. Effect of light pretreatment on normal and DCMU-poisoned Anacystis nidulans. 32 Biophys J 7: 375-390 33
- Papageorgiou GC and Govindjee (1968a) Light-induced changes 34 in the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll a in vivo. II. Chlorella 35 pyrenoidosa. Biophys J 8: 1316–1328
- Papageorgiou GC and Govindjee (1968b) Light-induced changes 36 in the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll a in vivo. I. Anacystis 37 nidulans. Biophys J 8: 1299-1315 38
- Papageorgiou GC and Govindjee (1971) pH control of the 39 chlorophyll a fluorescence in algae. Biochim Biophys Acta 40 234: 428–432
- Papageorgiou GC, Isaakidou J, and Argoudelis C (1972) Structure 41 dependent control of chlorophyll a excitation density: The role 42 of oxygen. FEBS Lett 25: 139-142 43
- Pearlstein R M (1982) Chlorophyll singlet excitons. In Govindjee 44 (ed) Photosynthesis: Vol. 1. Energy Conversion by Plants and 45 Bacteria, pp 293–330. Academic Press, New York
- Pelletier J and Caventou J B (1818) Notice sur la matiere, verte 46 des feuilles [chlorophylle]. Annal de Chimique I Physique 47 IX: 194–196 48
- Pfündel E (1998) Estimating the contribution of Photosystem 49 I to total leaf chlorophyll fluorescence. Photosynth Res 56: 50 185-195
- 51 Rabinowitch E (1945) Photosynthesis and Related Processes.

wider on the "S".

Vol. I. Chemistry of photosynthesis, chemosynthesis and related processes in vitro and in vivo. Interscience Publishers Inc (John Wiley), New York

- Rabinowitch E (1951) Photosynthesis and Related Processes, volume II, Part 1, Spectroscopy and fluorescence of photosynthetic pigments; kinetics of photosynthesis. pp 740-820, Interscience, New York
- Rabinowitch E (1956) Photosynthesis and Related Processes, volume II, Part 2, Kinetics of Photosynthesis (continued); addenda to Vol. I and Vol II, Part 1, pp 1375-1432, Interscience, New York
- Rabinowitch E and Govindjee (1969) Photosynthesis. John Wiley and Sons, New York
- Renger G (1992) Energy transfer and trapping in Photosystem II. Topics Photosyn 11: 45-99
- Renger G (2003) Apparatus and mechanism of photosynthetic oxygen evolution: Historical lines of research and current state of the art. Photosynth Res 76: 269-288
- Renger G and Schreiber U (1986) Practical applications of fluorometric methods to algae and higher plant research. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds) Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 587-620. Academic Press, New York
- Rijgersberg C P, Amesz J, Thielen A P G M and Swager J A (1979) Fluorescence emission spectra of chloroplasts and subchloroplast preparations at low temperature. Biochim Biophys Acta 545: 473–482
- Robinson G W (1967) Excitation transfer and trapping in photosynthesis. In: Olson JM (ed) Energy Conversion by the Photosynthetic Apparatus. Brookhaven Symposia in Biology. Vol. 9, pp 16-48, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton
- Rohacek K (2002) Chlorophyll fluorescence parameters: The definitions, photosynthetic meaning, and mutual relationships. Photosynthetica 40: 13–29
- Rutherford AW, Boussac A and Faller P (2004) The stable tyrosyl radical in Photosystem II. Why D? Biochim Biophys Acta 1655: 222-230
- Saito Y, Kurihara H, Takahashi H, Kobahashi F, Kawahara T, Nomura A and Takeda S (2002) Remote estimation of the chlorophyll concentration of living trees using laser-induced fluorescence imaging lidar. Optical Rev 9: 37-39
- Sauer K (1975) Primary events and the trapping of energy. In: Govindjee (ed) Bioenergetics of Photosynthesis, pp 115-181. Academic Press, New York
- Sauer K and Debreczeny M (1996) Fluorescence. In: Amesz J and Hoff AJ (eds) Biophysical Techniques in Photosynthesis, pp 41–62. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht
- Schreiber U (1983) Chlorophyll fluorescence yield changes as a tool in plant physiology. I. The measuring system. Photosynth Res 4: 361–373
- Schreiber U and Bilger W (1993) Progress in chlorophyll fluorescence research: major developments during the past years in retrospect. Progress in Botany 54: 151-173
- Schreiber U and Neubauer C (1987) The polyphasic rise of chlorophyll fluorescence upon onset of strong continuous illumination. II. Partial control by the photo system II donor side and possible ways of interpretation. Zeit für Naturforsch 42c: 1255-1264
- 100 Schreiber U, Schliwa U and Bilger W (1986) Continuous 101 recording of photochemical and nonphotochemical chloro-102 phyll fluorescence quenching with a new type of modulation fluorometer. Photosynth Res 10: 51-62 103

- 52
- Comment: "Břazina V, Adamec F, Štys": an "S" is wider than an "r" so the little mark is
- 104

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

CFL001

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

104

Schreiber U, Bilger W, Hormann and Neubauer C (1998) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a diagnostic tool: Basics and some aspects pf practical relevance. In: Raghavendra AS (ed) Photosynthesis: A Comprehensive Treatise, pp 320–336. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Seely G (1973) Energy transfer in a model of the photosynthetic unit of green plants. J Theor Biol 40: 189-199 Seely G R and Connolly JS (1986) Fluorescence of photosynthetic pigments in vitro. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds) Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 99–133. Academic Press, New York Seibert M and Wasielewski MR (2003) The isolated Photosystem II reaction center: first attempts to directly measure the kinetics of primary charge separation. Photosynth Res 76: 269-288 Shinkarev V P and Govindjee (1993) Insight into the relationship of chlorophyll a fluorescence yield to the concentration of its natural quenchers in oxygenic photosynthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:7466–7469 Shinkarev VP, Xu C, Govindjee and Wraight CA (1997) Kinetics of the oxygen evolution step in plants determined from flash-induced chlorophyll a fluorescence. Photosynth Res 51: 43-49 Shubin VV, Murthy SDS, Karapetyan N V and Mohanty P (1991) Origin of the 77K variable fluorescence at 758 nm in the cyanobacterium Spirulina platensis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1060: 28-36 Sonneveld A, Rademaker H and Duysens L N M (1979) Chlorophyll fluorescence as a monitor of nanosecond reduction of the photo-oxidized P680⁺ of Photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta 548: 536–551 Stemler A J (2002) The bicarbonate effect, oxygen evolution, and the shadow of Otto Warburg. Photosynth Res 73: 177-183 Stemler A and Jursinic P (1993) Oxidation-reduction potential dependence of formate binding to Photosystem II in maize thylakoids. Biochim Biophys Acta 1183: 269-280 Stern V O and Volmer M (1919) Über die Ablingungszeit der fluoreszeng. Physik Zeitschrift 20: 183-188 Stirbet A, Govindjee, Strasser B and Strasser R (1998) Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence induction in higher plants: Modeling and numerical simulation. J Theor Biol 193: 131-151 Stokes GG (1852) On the change of refrangibility of light. Phil Trans Royal Soc London 142: 463-562 Strasser RJ (1978). The grouping model of plant photosynthesis. In: Akoyunoglou G (ed) Chloroplast Development, pp 513–542. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam Strasser R J and Butler W L (1977) Energy transfer and the distribution of excitation energy in the photosynthetic apparatus of spinach chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 460: 230-238 Strasser R J and Butler W L (1978) Energy coupling in the photosynthetic apparatus during development. In: Hall DA, Coombs J and Goodwin TW (eds), Proceedings of the IVth International Congress on Photosynthesis, Reading-UK 1977, pp 527-535. The Biochemical Society, London Strasser R J and Govindjee (1991) The Fo and the O-J-I-P fluorescence rise in higher plants and algae. In: Argyroudi-Akovunoglou JH (ed) Regulation of Chloroplast Biogenesis, pp 43–426. Plenum Press, New York Strasser R J and Govindjee (1992). On the O-J-I-P fluorescence transients in leaves and Dl mutants of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. In: Murata, N (ed) Research in Photosynthesis, Vol II, pp 39-32. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht Strasser R J, Eggenberg P, Pfister, K and Govindjee (1992) An

equilibrium model for electron transfer in Photosystem II acceptor complex: An application to *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cells of D1 mutants and those treated with formate. Archs Sci Genève 45: 207–224 rasser R. L. Srivastava A. and Govindiee (1995). Polynhasic

- Strasser R J, Srivastava A and Govindjee (1995) Polyphasic chlorophyll *a* fluorescent transient in plants and cyanobacteria. Photochem Photobiol 61: 32–42
- Strasser RJ, Srivastava A and Tsimilli-Michael (2000) The fluorescence transient as tool to characterize and screen photosynthetic samples. In: Yunus M, Pathre U and Mohanty P (eds) Probing Photosynthesis: Mechanisms, Regulation and Adaptation, pp 445–483. Taylor and Francis, London
- Stroebel D, Choquet Y, Popot J-L and Picot D (2003) An atypical haem in the cytochrome $b_6 f$ complex. Nature 426:413–418
- Tomita G and Rabinowitch E (1962) Excitation energy transfer between pigments in photosynthetic cells. Biophys J 2: 483–499
- Trissl H-W (2003) Modeling the excitation energy capture in thylakoid membranes. In: Larkum AWD, Douglas SE and Raven JA (eds) Photosynthesis in Algae, pp 245–276. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht
- Trissl H-W and Lavergne J (1995) Fluorescence induction from Photosystem II: analytical equations for the yields of photochemistry and fluorescence derived from analysis of a model including exciton-radical pair equilibrium and restricted energy transfer between photosynthetic units. Austr J Plant Physiol 22: 183–193
- Trissl H-W, Gao Y and Wulf K (1993) Theoretical fluorescence induction curves derived from coupled differential equations describing the primary photochemistry of Photosystem II by an exciton-radical pair equilibrium. Biophys J 64: 974–988
- Tumerman L A and Sorokin E M (1967) Fotosinteticheskaya edinitsa: 'fizicheskaya' ili 'staticheskaya' model'? [The photosynthetic unit: A 'physical' or 'statistical' model?] Molecular Biology, USSR 1: 628–638
- Van Amerongen H, Valkunas L and van Grondelle R (2000) Photosynthetic Excitons. World Scientific. Singapore
- Van Gorkom HJ (1986) Fluorescence measurements in the study of Photosystem II electron transport. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds)Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 267–289.Academic Press, New York
- Van Gorkom HJ, Pulles MPJ and Etienne A-L (1978) Fluorescence and absorbance changes in Tris-washed chloroplasts. In: Metzner H (ed) Photosynthetic Oxygen Evolution, pp 135–145. Academic Press, London
- Van Grondelle R (1985) Excitation energy transfer, trapping and annihilation in photosynthetic systems. Biochim Biophys Acta 811: 147–195
- Van Grondelle R and Amesz J (1986) Excitation energy transfer in photosynthetic systems. In: Govindjee, Amesz J and Fork DC (eds)Light Emission by Plants and Bacteria, pp 191–223. Academic Press, New York
- Van Kooten O and Snell JFH (1990) The use of chlorophyll fluorescence nomenclature in plant stress physiology. Photosynth Res 25: 147–150
- Van Mieghem F J E, Searle GFW, Rutherford A, Wand and Schaafsma TJ (1992) The influence of the double reduction of Q_A on the fluorescence decay kinetics of Photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta 1100: 198–206
- Van Rensen JJS (2002) Role of bicarbonate at the acceptor side 102 of Photosystem II. Photosynth Res 73: 185–192 103

Chapter 1 Chlorophyll Fluorescence

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

Van Rensen JJS, Tonk WJM and de Bruijn S M (1988) Involvement of bicarbonate in the protonation of the secondary quinone electron acceptor of Photosystem II via the non-heme iron of the quinone-iron acceptor complex. FEBS Lett 226: 347-351 Van Rensen JJS, Xu C and Govindjee (1999) Role of bicarbonate in the Photosystem II, the water-plastoquinone oxido-reductase of plant photosynthesis. Physiologia Plant 105: 585-592 Vasil'ev S, Wiebe S and Bruce D (1998) Non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence in photosynthesis. 5 -hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone in spinach thylakoids as a model for antenna based quenching mechanisms. Biochim Biophys Acta 1363: 147-156 Vasil'ev S, Orth P, Zouni A, Owens TG and Bruce D (2001) Excited state dynamics in Photosystem II: Insights from the X-ray crystal structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 8602-8607 Vass I (2003) The history of photosynthetic thermoluminescence. Photosynth Res 76: 303–308 Velthuys BR (1982). The function of plastoquinone in electron transfer. In: Trumpower BL (ed) Function of Quinones in Energy Conserving Systems, pp 401-408. Academic Press, New York Velthuys B R and Amesz J (1974) Charge accumulation at the reducing side of system 2 of photosynthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 325: 138-148 Verméglio A (2002) The two-electron gate in photosynthetic bacteria. Photosynth Res 73: 83-86 Vernotte C, Etienne A-L and Briantais J-M (1979) Quenching of the system II fluorescence by the plastoquinone pool. Biochim Biophys Acta 545: 519-527 229-254 Vernotte C, Briantais J-M, Astier C and Govindjee (1995) Differential effects of formate in single and double mutants of D1 in Synechocystis species PCC 6714. Biochim Biophys Acta 1229: 296-301 Vyhnalek V, Fisar Z, Fisarova A and Komarkova J (1993) In vivo fluorescence of chlorophyll *a*—estimation of phytoplankton biomass and activity in Rimov reservoir (Czech Republic). 1098: 32-40 Water Sci Tech 28: 29-33 Walker DA(1981) Secondary fluorescence kinetics of spinach leaves in relation to the onset of photosynthetic carbon assimilation. Planta 153: 273-278 Warburg O (1920) Über die Geschwindigkeit der photochemischen Kohlensaeurezersetzung in lebenden Zellen.II. Biochem Zeitschr 103: 188-366 Wassink EC (1951) Chlorophyll fluorescence and photosynthesis. Adv Enzymol 11: 91-199 Whitmarsh J and Govindjee (2001) Photosystem II. Encyclopedia of Life Sciences: http://www.life.uiuc.edu/govindjee/2001_ Whitmarsh_Govindjee_PhotosystemII.pdf (June 10, 2004) Whitmarsh J and Levine P (1974) Excitation energy transfer and chlorophyll orientation in the green alga Chlamydomonas Dordrecht reinhardtii. Biochim Biophys Acta 368: 199-213 Wild A and Ball R (1997) Photosynthetic Unit and Photosystems. History of Research and Current Views (Relationship of Structure and Function), pp 219. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands Witt HT (2004) Steps on the way to building blocks, topologies, crystals and X-ray structural analysis of Photosystems I and II of water oxidizing photosynthesis. Photosynth Res 80: 85-107 Witt HT, Müller A and Rumberg B (1961) Experimental evidence for the mechanism of photosynthesis. Nature 191: 194-195 85:140-158 Wong D and Govindjee (1979) Antagonistic effects of mono- and

divalent cations on polarization of chlorophyll fluorescence in thylakoids and changes in excitation energy transfer. FEBS Lett 97:373–377

- Wong D and Govindjee (1981) Action spectra of cation effects on the fluorescence polarization and intensity in thylakoids at room temperature. Photochem Photobiol 33: 103–108
- Wraight C and Crofts A R (1970) Energy-dependent quenching of chlorophyll *a* fluorescence in isolated chloroplasts. Eur J Biochem 17: 319–327

Wydrzynski T and Govindjee (1975) A new site of bicarbonate effect in Photosystem II of photosynthesis: Evidence from chlorophyll fluorescence transients in spinach chloroplasts. Biochim Biophys Acta 387: 403–408

- Wydrzynski T, Chow WS and Badger MR (eds) (1995) Chlorophyll Fluorescence: Origins, Measurements and Applications, pp 123–355. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology, volume 22: 123–355. CSIRO, Australia
- Xiong J, Hutchison RS, Sayre RT and Govindjee (1997) Modification of the Photosystem II acceptor side function in a D1 mutant (arginine-269-glycine) of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1322: 60–76
- Xiong J, Minagawa J, Crofts AR and Govindjee (1998a) Loss of inhibition by formate in newly constructed Photosystem II D1 mutants, D1-R257E and D1-R257M, of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1365:473–491
- Xiong J, Subramaniam S and Govindjee (1998b) A knowledgebased three dimensional model of the Photosystem II reaction center of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Photosynth Res 56: 229–254
- Xiong J, Fisher WM, Inoue K, Nakahara M and Bauer CE (2000) Molecular evidence for the early evolution of photosynthesis. Science 289: 1724–1730
- Xu C, Taoka S, Crofts AR and Govindjee (1991) Kinetic characteristics of formate/formic acid binding at the plastoquinone reductase site in spinach thylakoids. Biochim Biophys Acta 1098: 32–40
- Yamagishi A, Satoh K and Katoh S (1978) Fluorescence induction in chloroplasts isolated from the green alga *Bryopsis maxima*.
 Ill. A fluorescence transient indicating proton gradient across the thylakoid membrane. Plant Cell Physiol 19: 17–25
- Yamamoto HY (1979) Biochemistry of the violaxanthin cycle in higher plants. Pure App Chem 51: 639–648
- Yamamoto HY, Nakayama JOM and Chichester CO (1962) Studies on the light and dark interconversions of leaf xanthophylls. Arch Biochem Biophys 97: 168–173
- Yamamoto HY, Bugos RC and Hieber AD (1999) Biochemistry and molecular Biology of the xanthophyll cycle. In: Frank HA, Young AJ, Britton G and Cogdell RJ (eds) The Photochemistry of Carotenoids, pp 293–303. Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht
- Yamazaki I, Mimuro M, Murao T, Yamazaki I, Yoshihara K and FujitaY (1984) Excitation energy transfer in the light harvesting antenna of the red alga *Porphyridium cruentum* and the bluegreen alga *Anacystis nidulans*. Analysis of the time-resolved fluorescence spectra. Photochem Photobiol 39: 233–240
- fluorescence spectra. Photochem Photobiol 39: 233–24098Yang M, Damjanovic A, Vaswani HM and Fleming GR (2003)99Energy transfer in Photosystem I of cyanobacteria Synechococcus elongatus: Model study with structure-based semi-empirical Hamiltonian and experimental spectral density. Biophys J10085:140–158102

Zankel K (1973) Rapid fluorescence changes observed in chlo-

	42	CFL001	Govindjee	
1 2	roplasts: T Biophys A	Their relationship to the O ₂ evolving system. Biochim Acta 325: 138–148	W and Orth P (2001) Crystal structure of Photosystem II from Synechococcus elongates at 3.8 Å resolution. Nature	53 54
3	Zouni A, V	Vitt HT, Kern J, Fromme P, Krauss N, Saenger	409:739–743	55
4				56
5				57
6				58
7				59
8				60
9				61
10				62
11				63
12				64
13				65
14				66
15				67
16				68
17				69
18				70
19				71
20				72
21				73
22				74
23				75
24				76
25				//
26				/8
21				/9
20				0U 01
29 20				01
30				02 83
37				8/
32				85
34				86
35				87
36				88
37				89
38				90
39				91
40				92
41				93
42				94
43				95
44				96
45				97
46				98
47				99
48				100
49				101
50				102
51				103
52				104