Differential Inhibition and Rephasing of Photosystem II Electron Acceptor Side by Monohalogenated Acetates of Different Hydrophobicity
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We demonstrate here that monohalogenated acetates (MFA, monofluoroacetate; MCA, monochloroacetate; MBA, monobromoacetate) are unique probes of the electron acceptor side of the photosystem II (PS II) reaction center: (1) they differentially inhibit the reoxidation of the reduced primary plastoquinone electron acceptor, $Q_A^-$, by the secondary plastoquinone electron acceptor $Q_B$, and increase the equilibrium [$Q_A^-]$ in the order: MBA $\geq$ MCA $> MFA$; and (2) MCA and MBA rephase the PS II electron acceptor side, a rather unusual result. This results in flash number dependence of [$Q_A^-$] with maxima at even flashes to change to odd flashes. Furthermore, we demonstrate a correlation between the inhibitory activity of the halogenated acetates with their hydrophobicity (i.e., partition coefficient).

Introduction

The primary charge separation in the photosystem II (PS II) reaction center occurs at the D1/D2/cytochrome (Cyt)b-559 core complex of green plants and cyanobacteria [1] and eventually leads to the oxidation of water and the reduction of the plastoquinone pool. Electron transfer on the acceptor side proceeds through a two-electron gate mechanism [2, 3]. After light-induced formation of the primary radical pair P680*Pheo* within 3 ps, the charge separation is stabilized by electron transfer from Pheo* to Q_A (the primary plastoquinone electron acceptor), and then from Q_A to Q_B (the secondary plastoquinone electron acceptor) [4]. The negative charge on the quinone is suggested to induce a pH shift of nearby amino acids, resulting in the protonation of PS II and the stabilization of the charge on $Q_B^-$ (see e.g. [5]). After a second photoact, another electron transfers to $Q_A^-$, and two protons bind to $Q_A^-$ to form plastoquinol (PQH$_2$), which is replaced by a plastoquinone (PQ) from the membrane PQ pool. PS II-directed herbicides act by displacing $Q_B$ in the D1 protein to block the PS II electron flow [6, 7].

In contrast to photosynthetic bacteria, PS II uniquely performs oxidation of water to molecular oxygen, and exhibits a bicarbonate-reversible formate/NO/acetate inhibition of the electron transfer from the $Q_A^-$ to the quinone pool (see reviews [8–11]). Although different herbicides inhibit differentially the electron flow from $Q_A^-$ to the quinone pool in photosynthetic bacteria and PS II [12, 13], they do so by a common mechanism of displacing $Q_B$ (see e.g. [5]). Herbicide effects, unlike the formate effects, cannot be reversed by bicarbonate ions. In the presence of herbicides, the affinity of the thylakoid membrane for bicarbonate is decreased [14] (cf. [15]).

It has become obvious that bicarbonate-reversible or irreversible inhibition at the $Q_A^-$-Fe-$Q_B$ region is a powerful probe to understand the molecular structure and function relationship of this region in the D1/D2 protein of the reaction center II [9, 10, 12]. With this aim, we have investigated the importance of a halogen substitution in acetate for the mechanism of inhibition of $Q_A^-$ reoxidation and equilibration by using monobromo- (MBA; $K_d$, 2.7–2.9), monochloro- (MCA; $K_d$,}
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2.8–2.9), and monofluoro- (MFA; \( K_\phi \), 2.6–2.7) acetates, which differ in their molecular geometry and hydrophobicity. The inhibitory activity was correlated with the log of the partition coefficient (\( P \)) and, thus, with the hydrophobic constant (\( \pi \)), but not with the overall dipole moment of the inhibitors. Furthermore, MCA and MBA induce a novel effect of rephasing of the two electron gate of PS II.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of thylakoids**

Spinach (Spinacia oleracea) thylakoids were isolated as in ref. [16]. Thylakoids, suspended in 0.4 M sorbitol, 15 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.8), were frozen rapidly in small aliquots, and stored at 77 K until use. Chlrophyll (Chl) concentration was spectrophotometrically determined in 80% acetone (v/v) extracts of thylakoids [17]. Thylakoids, thawed immediately before use, were suspended in 0.4 M sorbitol, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), 40 \( \mu \)M hydroquinone, 40 \( \mu \)M benzoquinone and 1 \( \mu \)M gramicidin to a final [Chl] of 10 \( \mu \)M [16]; pH of the suspension was adjusted by using 20 mM MES (at pH 6.0 and 6.5) or 20 mM HEPES (at pH 7.5), or as specified under Results and Discussion.

**Measurement and analysis of the \([Q_A^-]\) decay**

Chl \( a \) fluorescence yields after single turnover-saturating flashes (EG & G FX-124 flash lamp, 2.5 \( \mu \)s duration) were measured as in ref. [16]. Using weak measuring flashes (exciting only 1% of reaction centers), the \( F_0 \) level and the decay of the variable (\( F_v \)) 685 (±10) nm fluorescence were measured with a S-20 (EMI 9558) photomultiplier. The dark interval time between actinic flashes was 1 s. When halogenated acetates (preadjusted to appropriate pH) were added, a 10 min dark incubation time was given before measurements were begun. By assuming that the probability of the intersystem energy transfer is 0.5 [19], \([Q_A^-]\) was calculated from \( F_v \) [18]. The fitting of \([Q_A^-]\) decay data into three exponential decays (see e.g. [20]) was carried out by the GLOBALS UNLIMITED™ global analysis software [21]. \([Q_A^-]\) is given in relative units with 1 being \([Q_A^-]_{\text{max}}\) obtained in the presence of 6 \( \mu \)M DCMU. Thus, the analyzed amplitudes (A’s) need not add to 1 in this analysis.

**Calculation of the molecular geometry, the dipole moment and the hydrophobic constant**

Molecular geometries and dipole moments of (halogenated) acetic acids were calculated by using the MMX molecular mechanics (forcefield) calculation method with the PCMODEL molecular modeling program [22]. Calculation was made in an apolar solvent with a dielectric constant of 1.5 to minimize the energy of the molecular model and to get an optimal geometry of the model. The hydrophobic constants (\( \pi \)) for acetic acid and monohalogenated acetic acids were estimated according to Hansch [23]: \( \pi = \log P_x - \log P_H \), where \( P_x \) is the partition coefficient [24] of a halogenated derivative of acetic acid and \( P_H \) that of the parent molecule, acetic acid in this study. The \( \pi \) for acetic acid (\( x = H \)) is defined as zero.

**Results and Discussion**

**Halogenated acetates differentially inhibit the reoxidation of \([Q_A^-]\) by \([Q_b]\) as well as increase the equilibrium \([\Delta F_v/F_0]\)**

Fig. 1 shows the concentration dependence of the change of variable Chl \( a \) fluorescence yield, measured at 3 ms after the first actinic flash, induced by the addition of monofluoroacetate (MFA), monochloroacetate (MCA) or monobromoacetate (MBA). These halogenated acetates
induce differential increases in variable Chl a fluorescence, indicating differential slowing down of the $Q_A^-$ reoxidation and/or increases in equilibrium $[Q_A^-]$ in the order MBA > MCA > MFA. Although this hierarchy can be monitored easily down to 10 mM, higher concentration (100 mM) of the chemical was chosen to further evaluate the effects because it showed the largest effect.

Fig. 2 shows $[Q_A^-]$ decays in control, 100 mM MFA-, MCA- or MBA-treated thylakoids at pH 7.5 (left panels) and 6.0 (right panels) after flash 1 (upper panels) or 2 (lower panels). The additions of the various monohalogenated acetates cause both a slowing down of the $[Q_A^-]$ decay, reflecting an inhibition of the $Q_A^-$ oxidation, as well as an increase in equilibrium $[Q_A^-]$. All inhibitions are much stronger at pH 6.0 (right panels) than at pH 7.5 (left panels). Although it had been suggested, based on the increased inhibitory effect at low pH, that formic acid (in the micromolar range) rather than formate (in the micromolar range) is the bicarbonate-reversible inhibitory species [18], yet alternative explanation in which the reaction center protein binds increased anion at the low pH cannot be excluded. Thus, the nature of the inhibitory species remains an open question.

The time dependence of $[Q_A^-]$ after an actinic flash [20, 25, 26] is described by three major (fast, intermediate and slow) exponential processes. We analyze here results with flash 1 at pH 6.0 (Table I); it monitors mainly the $Q_A^-Q_B \Leftrightarrow Q_AQ_B^-$ reaction. Both $\tau_1$, the oxidation lifetime of $Q_A^-$ by $Q_B$, and $\tau_2$, the lifetime related to $[Q_A^-]$ equilibrium show the hierarchy: MBA ≈ MCA > MFA. Furthermore, the ratio of the amplitudes of the slow components ($A_2 + A_3$) to the fast component ($A_1$), reflecting the equilibrium $[Q_A^-]$ in the $Q_A^-Q_B \Leftrightarrow Q_AQ_B^-$ reaction, increases with MFA, MCA and MBA present. All the effects were larger after the first than after the second flash (Fig. 2). In con-
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Fig. 2. $[Q_A^-]$ decays in control and 100 mM monohalogenated acetate-treated spinach thylakoids after flash 1 and 2. See text for details.
Table I. Amplitudes (A) and lifetimes (τ) of three components of the [QA-] decay, in control and 100 mM halogenated acetate-treated spinach thylakoids at pH 6.0 after flash 1. Amplitudes were within ±0.05, τ1 within ±50 μs and τ2 within ±2 ms for control samples and 10–20 ms for others. τ3 (for all samples) was 2.5 ± 0.4 s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>τ1 [μs]</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>τ2 [ms]</th>
<th>A3</th>
<th>A2 + A3</th>
<th>χ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+MCA</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+MFA</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+MBA</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contrast to the effect of formate, that is higher only after the second and subsequent flashes [18], reflecting inhibition of protonation of QA- (QB²⁻), monohalogenated acetates clearly slow down electron transfer from QA- to QB (or QB⁻).

Furthermore, and in contrast to formate, the inhibition of the PS II reactions in the QAQB complex by the halogenated acetates was only partially (about 50%) reversed by 5 mM bicarbonate. Thus, these chemicals act in an intermediate manner to the herbicides and formate. The inhibitory hierarchy among the various monohalogenated acetates are expected to be related to the differences in their molecular geometry (see insert in Fig. 1). A key property that can affect the observed behavour is their partition coefficient P, and, thus, their hydrophobicity. Table II shows a general correlation of log P with the inhibitory activity of the monohalogenated acetates, as monitored, e.g., by the percent change in [QA-] at 3 ms after the 1st flash: MBA, that shows the largest effect on [QA-], is the largest in size and has the largest partition coefficient P and, thus, the largest hydrophobic constant (π). This is followed by MCA and MFA. No correlation with Kd or the overall dipole moment of the molecules was observed. This, however, does not mean that a correlation may not exist with the dipole moment of the head groups.

Oxamic acid, that has a similar molecular weight as MCA but a lower dipole moment (MCA: 3.25; oxamic acid: 2.84), showed half-as-much effect than that observed after MCA treatment. This suggests the possibility that dipole moment in a certain geometry may also modulate the inhibitory effect on QA- oxidation and equilibration.

MCA rephases the PS II acceptor side

The flash number dependence of [QA-] in control thylakoids (Fig. 3A) shows a binary oscillation with peaks at even flashes (2, 4 and 6). This pattern is due to the oxidation rate of QA- by QB after odd flashes to be faster than that by QB- after even numbered flashes [26]. Interestingly, we observed here that this binary oscillation of [QA-] is rephased by MCA, higher [QA-] being observed after odd flashes (Fig. 3B and 3C). The largest difference between [QA-]'s after flashes 1 and 2, is seen at 3 ms. It is much larger than that measured at 300 μs. The addition of 5 mM bicarbonate does not reverse this rephasing effect. Furthermore, addition of 20 mM bicarbonate to samples, that were buffered with 200 mM MES, also was ineffective in reversing the rephasing by MCA. The above effect of MCA may imply that it modifies the ratio of QB to QB⁻ in darkness prior to

Table II. A comparison of the properties and effects of monohalogenated acetates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kd</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Log P</th>
<th>π</th>
<th>Dipole moment</th>
<th>[QA⁻] 3 ms</th>
<th>Bicarbonate reversibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBA</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>41 (242%)</td>
<td>~50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>35 (192%)</td>
<td>~50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>25 (108%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. Flash number dependence of the variable Chl. α fluorescence yield (Fₐ/Fₘ) in control (A), MCA-treated (different concentrations; measured at 3 ms after flash) (B), and 100 mM MCA-treated spinach thylakoids at pH 6 (C). The numbers in panels A and C indicate the measuring time in microseconds after the actinic flashes, but those in panel B indicate [MCA]. In A and C, Fₐ/Fₘ has been converted to [Q₋ₐ⁻]. See text for details.

actinic flashes, the Qₐ⁻/Qₐ⁻ ratio being normally high in dark-adapted thylakoids (see e.g. Wollman [27]). And, this effect is not reversed by bicarbonate although the latter partially reverses the slowing down of the reoxidation of Q₋ₐ⁻.

A change in the equilibrium of Q₋ₐ⁻Qₐ⁻ ⇄ Q₋ₐ⁻Qₐ⁻ cannot easily explain the MCA-induced rephasing of the flash number dependence observed here since (a) trichloroacetate, that drastically changes the equilibrium of the above reaction, did not show any rephasing effect [28]; and (b) the binary oscillation persisted up to 10 flashes in the 0.3 to 100 ms range; a changed equilibrium would have dampened this periodicity.

MBA behaved in an identical manner to MCA as far as the rephasing effect on the two electron gate is concerned. Its mechanism remains to be established.

In conclusion, we have established here that monohalogenated acetates inhibit the reoxidation of Q₋ₐ⁻ and increase the equilibrium [Q₋ₐ⁻] at the plastoquinone reductase site in PS II with the hierarchy of effectiveness that follows the order: MBA ≈ MCA > MFA. This order is related to partition coefficient of the halogenated acetates and, thus, to their hydrophobicity. This confirms the relationship observed earlier between the inhibitory activity of various herbicides and their partition coefficients (see e.g., Oettmeier [12] and Bowyer et al. [29]). A novel observation is, however, the rephasing of the flash number dependence of [Q₋ₐ⁻] by HCA (and HBA). The mechanism of such an effect is not yet obvious, but we may speculate on one possibility: this could be the repulsion of the negative charge on Q₋ₐ⁻ by the negatively polarized chlorine atom of MCA, followed by its movement towards a positively charged niche and consequent stabilization. One known case of chemically induced rephasing of the binary oscillation on the electron acceptor side of PS II is that by 0.1 mM phenyl-p-benzoquinone (see Diner and Petrouleas [30]). Here, however, the mechanism is quite different: after the first flash, the extrinsic quinone is reduced to semiquinone which extracts an electron from the non-heme iron Fe²⁺ (between Q₋ₐ⁻ and Q₋ₐ⁻) becoming doubly reduced; and after the second flash, Q₋ₐ⁻ delivers its electron rapidly to the oxidized Fe³⁺ leading to a faster [Q₋ₐ⁻] decay after even than after odd flashes. This mechanism is not considered feasible for the halo-
genated acetates that do not act as electron acceptors.

We note that the monohalogenated acetates act differently than the herbicides as (a) they do not abolish the binary oscillations, i.e., they may not act by displacing \( Q_0 \) and (b) their effects are partially reversed by bicarbonate. Thus, they are unique in the sense that they are between the bicarbonate-reversible formate and bicarbonate-irreversible herbicides.

**Note added in proof.**

At the time of correcting the proof, the authors became aware of two other cases where chemically-induced rephasing of PS II acceptor side had been earlier observed: 1) Lavergne [31] observed that reduced 2,5-dibromo-3-methyl-6-isopropyl-benzoquinone (DBMIB) inhibited the oxidation of \( Q_A \) more effectively after the first than after the second flash; thus, the variable Chl a fluorescence was higher after the first than after the second flash, as observed with MCA in this paper; 2) TaoKa et al. [32] also observed a similar differential effect after flash 1 and 2 upon the addition of 100 nM 3-undecyl-2-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (UNH2Q), but the flash number dependence was not shown. In our case, an explanation alternative to an increase in the intrinsic \( Q_0 \)/\( Q_0^* \) ratio must also be considered: perhaps, an equilibrium between MCA and \( Q_0^* \) could lead to a slowed electron flow after the 1st flash, but not after the 2nd flash as \( Q_0^* \) cannot be displaced by MCA.
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