New tribal delimitations for the early diverging lineages of Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae

Anthony R. Magee,^{1,2} Carolina I. Calviño,³ Mei (Rebecca) Liu,⁴ Stephen R. Downie,⁵ Patricia M. Tilney¹ & Ben-Erik van Wyk¹

1 Department of Botany and Plant Biotechnology, University of Johannesburg, P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, Johannesburg, South Africa

2 South African National Biodiversity Institute, Compton Herbarium, Private Bag X7, Claremont 7735, South Africa

3 INIBIOMA, CONICET – Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Bariloche, Río Negro 8400, Argentina

4 Department of Biology, Harbin Normal University, Hexing Road 50, Harbin 150080, People's Republic of China

5 Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, U.S.A.

Author for correspondence: Ben-Erik van Wyk, bevanwyk@uj.ac.za

Abstract Phylogenetic analyses of the cpDNA trnQ-trnK 5' exon region for 27 genera and 42 species of Saniculoideae and early diverging lineages of Apioideae were carried out to assess or confirm the tribal placements of the following anomalous genera: Annesorhiza, Astydamia, Chamarea, Choritaenia, Ezosciadium, Itasina, Lichtensteinia, Marlothiella, Molopospermum and *Phlyctidocarpa*. To accommodate these unique early diverging members of the Apiaceae and to reflect their relationships, a new tribal classification system has become necessary. Many of the early diverging genera (herein referred to as the protoapioids) can readily be distinguished from the euapioids (the remaining apioids) by the presence of scattered druse crystals in the mesocarp. The major morphological discontinuity within the family, however, lies between the combined protoapioids and euapioids (representing an expanded Apioideae s.l., including the Saniculoideae) and the subfamilies Azorelloideae and Mackinlayoideae. The broadened subfamily Apioideae is diagnostically different from the other subfamilies in the absence of rhomboidal crystals, the presence of druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp (subsequently lost in the euapioids), and the non-woody endocarp. No such diagnostic characters are available to support the traditional or recently expanded concept of Saniculoideae. The broadened concept of Apioideae is also supported by the sporadic presence of true wings. This character can be variously interpreted from a phylogenetic point of view, but nevertheless has considerable diagnostic value. A new tribal classification system for the protoapioids is proposed on the basis of molecular, morphological and anatomical evidence. This new system is intended to be practical and non-hierarchical to allow for future realignments amongst the tribes, as more evidence becomes available. It makes provision for hitherto poorly known African taxa and comprises the following eight tribes, five of which are newly described: Annesorhizeae, Choritaenieae, Heteromorpheae, Lichtensteinieae, Marlothielleae, Phlyctidocarpeae, Saniculeae and Steganotaenieae.

Keywords Annesorhizeae; Choritaenieae; euapioids; Lichtensteinieae; Marlothielleae; Phlyctidocarpeae; protoapioids; *trnQ-trnK* 5' exon

■ INTRODUCTION

The Apiaceae are a large and taxonomically complex family comprising 463 genera and ca. 3500 species (Plunkett & al., in press), with a nearly cosmopolitan distribution. The classification system of Drude (1897-98) made little provision for the comparatively small sub-Saharan African and Madagascan contingent (80 genera and 354 species), many of which were unknown or poorly studied at the time. As suggested by Burtt (1991) and later by molecular systematic studies (Downie & al., 1996, 1998, 2000; Plunkett & al., 1996a,b; Downie & Katz-Downie, 1999; Calviño & al., 2006; Calviño & Downie, 2007; Magee & al., 2008a,b) the African genera are crucial to an understanding of the evolutionary history of the family and its full range of morpholocial diversity. Many African taxa have indeed been found to occupy early diverging positions within Apiaceae, suggesting a southern African origin for both Apioideae (Calviño & al., 2006) and Saniculoideae (Calviño &

Downie, 2007). In recent years, tribal delimitations within the largest and most complicated subfamily, the Apioideae, have been the focus of intensive phylogenetic research (see Downie & al., 2001, in press). The most recent treatment of the "core" apioid lineages by Downie & al. (in press), based largely on nrDNA ITS sequence data, recognized 14 tribes and 12 major clades. In this treatment the earliest diverging lineages of the subfamily were excluded due to their highly divergent ITS sequences and consequent alignment difficulties. Although there has been much progress on the generic placement of several anomalous taxa within these early diverging lineages (Calviño & al., 2006; Calviño & Downie, 2007; Magee & al., 2008a) the correct placement of several critical African taxa has remained uncertain. This paper is aimed at resolving the early diverging lineages of the Apioideae, assessing their relationship to their sister subfamily Saniculoideae, and proposing a new tribal classification for these lineages using anatomical, morphological and DNA sequence data.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Morphological data. — Populations of several taxa were studied and sampled *in situ* in South Africa and Namibia over a period of several years. This material was supplemented by a study of all relevant taxa from the following herbaria: BM, BOL, JRAU, K, LE, MO, NBG (including SAM and STE), P, PRE, S, THUNB-UPS and WIND.

Fruit from herbarium specimens and formaldehyde-acetic acid-alcohol (FAA) preserved material were used in the anatomical study. Herbarium material was first rehydrated and then placed in FAA for a minimum of 24 h. This material was subsequently treated according to a modification of the method of Feder & O'Brien (1968) for embedding in glycol methacrylate (GMA). Transverse sections, about 3 µm thick, were made using a Porter-Blüm ultramicrotome. The sections were examined for the presence of crystals using a light microscope, prior to staining using the periodic acid Schiff/toluidine blue (PAS/TB) method of Feder & O'Brien (1968). To study the three-dimensional structure of the vittae, mature fruit were softened by soaking in boiling water for 24 h. The exocarp was then peeled off while keeping the fruit submerged to prevent desiccation. All illustrations were made by the first author with the aid of a camera lucida attachment on a Zeiss compound microscope or a Wild M3Z stereomicroscope.

Taxon sampling. — The cpDNA *trnQ-trnK* region for 46 accessions, representing 27 genera and 42 species of Saniculoideae and early diverging lineages of Apioideae and two accessions of the South African azorelloid genus Hermas L. were examined. Sources of material, together with their corresponding taxonomic authorities and GenBank accession numbers, are listed in Appendix 1. Data from the trnQ-trnK region for 27 of these 46 accessions were available from previous studies (Calviño & Downie, 2007; Calviño & al., 2008a,b, in prep.; Downie & al., 2008; Tilney & al., 2009). Sequence data from the rps16 intron region were available for a further ten accessions (Calviño & al., 2006; Downie & Katz-Downie, 1999; Magee & al., 2008a). Rps16 intron data for the remaining nine accessions and data from the trnQ-rps16 and rps16-trnK intergenic spacers for 15 and 17 accessions, respectively, were obtained specifically for this study. The monotypic southern African genera Choritaenia Benth., Marlothiella H. Wolff and Phlvctidocarpa Cannon & Theobald were included as previous authors had suggested their placements within the early diverging lineages of the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade (Calviño & al., 2006; Calviño & Downie, 2007; Liu & al., 2003, 2007a, 2007b; Nicolas & Plunkett, 2009; Tilney & al., 2009). The genus Hermas (Azorelloideae) was used to root the trees, based on results from previous molecular phylogenetic analyses (Calviño & al., 2006).

Molecular data. — Total DNA was extracted from herbarium or silica material using either the $2 \times$ CTAB method of Doyle & Doyle (1987) or the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). For amplification of the chloroplast gene *rps16* and its flanking intergenic spacer regions (i.e., *trnQ-rps16* 5' exon and *rps16* 3' exon-*trnK* 5' exon) we used the primers of Downie & Katz-Downie (1999) and Lee & Downie (2006), respectively. Successfully amplified PCR products were purified using either the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions, or the ExoSAP protocol of Werle & al. (1994) using 5 units of Exonuclease I and 0.5 units of Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase. Sequencing reactions were carried out using the BigDye Terminator version 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) and sequenced on either an ABI (Applied Biosystems) 3130 XL or 3730 XL sequencer.

Phylogenetic analyses. — Complementary DNA strands were assembled and edited using Sequencher v.3.1.2 (Gene Codes Corporation) and aligned initially using the default pairwise and multiple alignment parameters in the computer program Clustal X (gap opening cost = 15.00, gap extension cost = 6.66, DNA transition weight = 0.50; Jeanmougin & al. 1998). This alignment was then checked and adjusted manually where necessary, with gaps positioned so as to minimise nucleotide mismatches. Unambiguous gaps were scored as presence/ absence characters using the simple indel coding method of Simmons & Ochoterena (2000).

For analyses of the entire cpDNA *trnQ-trnK* region, the portion of the matrix representing the *trnQ-rps16* 5' exon region was scored as missing in three accessions (*Polemannia grossulariifolia, Chamarea snijmaniae, Steganotaenia commiphoroides*) and the portion representing the *rps16* 3' exon-*trnK* region was scored as missing in one accession (*Polemanniopsis* sp. 1) because of difficulties in amplifying these regions in these taxa. In addition, portions of the *trnQ-rps16* 5' exon region for one accession of *Choritaenia capense* (1618 bp) and of the *rps16* 3' exon-*trnK* region for one accession of *Phlyctidocarpa flava* (580 bp) were also unobtainable despite our best efforts. In *Molopospermum peloponnesiacum* we were unable to amplify both intergenic spacer regions. Overall, missing data represented approximately 10% of the entire matrix.

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted initially using the parsimony (MP) algorithm of PAUP* v.4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002). Character transformations were treated as unordered and equally weighted (Fitch parsimony; Fitch, 1971). Tree searches were performed using a heuristic search with 1000 random sequence additions, tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping, and the mulpars option in effect. Bootstrap percentage values (BP; Felsenstein, 1985) were determined from 1000 bootstrap replicates, holding 10 trees per replicate, with TBR and mulpars selected. Only values greater than or equal to 50% are reported, and the following scale was applied to evaluate support percentages: \leq 74%, weak; 75%–84%, moderate; and 85%-100%, strong. After model selection using Modeltest v.3.06 under the corrected Akaike information criterion (Akaike, 1974; Posada & Crandall, 1998), Bayesian inference (BI; Yang & Rannala, 1997) was implemented using MrBayes v.3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). We employed the K81uf+G model for the nucleotide data and the 'standard' model (using default parameters) for the indel data (Lewis, 2001). The analysis was performed for 2,000,000 generations of Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC) with trees saved every 100 generations. The analysis was judged to have reached stationarity when the standard deviation between the split frequencies stabilised below 0.009. The initial one-fourth of the trees were discarded as the

'burn-in' phase. A majority rule consensus tree was produced from the remaining trees in order to show the posterior probabilities (PP). The following scale was used to evaluate the PP's: 0.5–0.84, weak; 0.85–0.94, moderate; 0.95–1.0, strong.

Fourteen morphological and anatomical characters considered important for defining early diverging lineages within the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade were scored for the 46 taxa included in the molecular analyses (Appendix 2; Table S1 in the Electronic Supplement to this article). Character data were taken from field observations of the South African taxa, herbarium specimens and literature (Winter & al., 1993; Van Wyk & al. 1999; Tilney & Van Wyk, 2001; Vessio, 2001; Liu & al., 2003, 2007a,b, 2009; Liu, 2004; Magee & al., 2008a,b; Tilney & al., 2009; Yembaturova & al., in press). These morphological data were then reconstructed on the MP trees using Mesquite v.2.5 (Maddison & Maddison, 2008).

RESULTS

Phylogenetic analyses. — The matrix, representing the entire trnQ-trnK region, consisted of 5072 unambiguously aligned positions and 587 binary scored indels, and resulted in 2045 variable and 1285 parsimony informative characters. MP analyses of these data yielded two minimal length trees, each of 2113 steps (ensemble consistency indices [CI; Kluge & Farris, 1969] of 0.75 and 0.66, with and without uninformative characters, respectively; ensemble retention index [RI; Farris, 1989] of 0.86). The MP strict consensus tree was identical in topology to that inferred from the BI analysis (Fig. 1). In both analyses, the same clades and relationships as reported previously (Calviño & al., 2006; Calviño & Downie, 2007; Magee & al., 2008a) were retrieved. The subfamily Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie is monophyletic (PP 0.99, BP 74), with tribes Saniculeae (i.e., Saniculoideae s.str.) and Steganotaenieae strongly supported (PP 1.0, 1.0, BP 100, 99, respectively). Phlyctidocarpa is sister group to Polemanniopsis and Steganotaenia (tribe Steganotaenieae) with strong or weak support depending on the analysis (PP 0.97, BP 71). Subfamily Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie is also supported as monophyletic (PP 1.0, BP 76) and is sister group to subfamily Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie (PP 1.0, MP 100). Within the apioid clade a strongly supported subclade comprising Choritaenia, Lichtensteinia Cham. & Schltdl. and Marlothiella (PP 1.0, MP 100) is sister group to all remaining Apioideae lineages. The accessions of Bupleurum L. (tribe Bupleureae), Physospermum Cusson (tribe Pleurospermeae) and Sium L. (tribe Oenantheae), representing the upper lineages of the subfamily, are a sister group to a strongly supported tribe Heteromorpheae (PP 1.0, BP 100). Successively sister to this group is a strongly supported Annesorhiza Cham. & Schltdl. clade (PP 1.0, BP 89), comprising Annesorhiza, Astydamia DC., Chamarea Eckl. & Zeyh., Ezosciadium B.L. Burtt, Itasina Raf. and Molopospermum W.D.J. Koch.

Reconstruction of morphological and anatomical characters. — Parsimony-based reconstructions of 14 morphological and anatomical characters (Appendix 2) considered important for defining early diverging lineages within the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade are each summarised onto one of the two minimal length trees inferred from MP analysis of *trnO-trnK* nucleotide substitution and scored indel data (Fig. 2). True wings/ribs on the fruit (character 7, state 1; Fig. 2G; Fig. 3E, H-K), parenchymatous or lignified endocarp (character 10, state 1; Fig. 2J; Fig. 3C-D, F-K), absence of rhomboidal crystals (character 13, state 1; Fig. 2M), and the presence of druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp (character 14, state 1; Fig. 2N) were reconstructed as synapomorphies for the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade. The base of the trees was reconstructed as ambiguous for each of the aforementioned characters. However, if a further outgroup of either Azorelloideae or Mackinlavoideae had been included, this node would certainly be reconstructed for the plesiomorphic state as in Hermas. As shown by Calviño & al. (2008a), Saniculoideae s.str. (i.e., tribe Saniculeae sensu Calviño & Downie) is supported by the presence of simple umbels (character 3, state 1; Fig. 2C), sessile or subsessile fructiferous flowers (character 4, state 1; Fig. 2D), large involucral bracts forming a prominent pseudanthium (character 5, state 1; Fig. 2E), and fruit with surface outgrowths (character 6, state 1; Fig. 2F). No characters were found to support the clades comprising either Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie (Phlyctidocarpa-Petagnaea) or Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie (Sium-Lichtensteinia). The presence of regular vittae (character 11, state 1; Fig. 2K; Fig. 3I-K) was reconstructed as synapomorphies for the lineages Sium-Molopospermum and two species of Alepidea La Roche (Fig. 3D), and as an apomorphy for Phlyctidocarpa (Fig. 3G). Large rib oil ducts (character 12, state 1; Fig. 2L; Fig. 3C-D, F-H), traditionally a character for Saniculoideae s.str., was ambiguously reconstructed as either a synapomorphy for the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade with secondary reversals in the upper lineages (from the Annesorhiza clade upwards), Arctopus, Sanicula europaea, and Petagnaea or as a convergent character state in Saniculoideae and in Lichtensteinia and Marlothiella. The woody habit (character 1, state 1; Fig. 2A) was reconstructed as convergent for both Marlothiella and the tribe Steganotaenieae, and was ambiguous for the ancestor to tribe Heteromorpheae. Although Dracosciadium Hilliard & B.L. Burtt is a rhizomatous herb, character 1 was coded as polymorphic to reflect the missing Madagascan taxa with which it is allied (Calviño & al., in prep.). As this genus forms a clade with the woody Madagascan genera, it is likely that with more accessions the woody habit may be reconstructed as a synapomorphy for the Heteromorpheae, with the herbaceous habit of Dracosciadium reconstructed as a reversal. Bifurcate ribs (character 8, state 1; Fig. 2H), surface vesicles (character 6, state 1; Fig. 2F), and regular vittae (character 11, state 1; Fig. 2K) seem to have evolved independently in Phlyctidocarpa (Fig. 3G) and other lineages. The proteranthous leaves (character 2, state 1; Fig. 2B) in Lichtensteinia and Steganotaenieae were reconstructed as synapomorphies for each lineage. Steganotaenieae was also supported by the presence of heteromericarpic fruit (character 9, state 1; Fig. 2I), where only the sepaline ribs are well-developed (Fig. 3H). This character was also found in the genus Heteromorpha Cham. & Schltdl. (Fig. 3K).

The number of parsimony informative indels and reconstructed morphological apomorphies are indicated on a BI phylogram (Fig. 4), above and below branches, respectively. The branch leading to the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade was relatively long and supported by 31 parsimony informative indels (although until more outgroup taxa from the Azorelloideae are included, we cannot be certain how many of these indels are synapomorphic for *Hermas*) and four morphological synapomorphies. In contrast, the branches leading to Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie (*Phlyctidocarpa–Petagnaea*) and Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie (*Sium–Lichtensteinia*) were both relatively short, supported by only three and two parsimony informative indels, respectively (Fig. 4), and without any reconstructed morphological synapomorphies.

Fig. 1. Bayesian inference (BI) tree of *trnQ-trnK* sequence data with the previous subfamilial circumscriptions indicated alongside. Phlyctidocarpa flava was not included in the study of Calviño & Downie, but presumed to be included within subfamily Saniculoideae based on preliminary evidence (Calviño & Downie, 2009). Posterior probability (PP) values are presented above the branches. Bootstrap percentage (BP) values (>50%) are presented below the branches.



Fig. 2. A–**N**, Reconstruction of morphological characters 1 to 14 from Appendix 2, when optimized over one of the two minimal length trees inferred from MP analysis of the *trnQ-trnK* sequence data. The proposed new tribal and subfamilial classification is indicated alongside Fig. 2N.



7. Fruit wing/rib development

□ pseudo-wings/ribs



8. Fruit ribs shape

□ simple

- 10. Endocarp
- □ woody
- parenchymatous/lignified



- 11. Regular vittae
- □ absent
- present
 oil vesic



- 9. Fruit symmetry
- □ homomericarpic
- heteromericarpic



12. Rib oil ducts □ small ■ large ■ forming cavities



⊐□ Hermas gigantea □□ Hermas giganiea □□ Hermas quinquedentata □□ Sium suave □□ Physospermum cornubiense Bupleurum angulosum Bupleurum fruticosum □ Polemannia grossulariifolia □ Heteromorpha arborescens □ Anginon paniculatum □□ Anginon difforme □□ Dracosciadium italae □□ Annesorhiza altiscapa □□ Annesorhiza macrocarpa □□ Chamarea snijmaniae Itasina filifolia Astydamia latifolia - Ezosciadium capense Molopospermum peloponnesiacum Choritaenia capensis Choritaenia capensis Marlothiella gummifera Lichtensteinia obscura Lichtensteinia trifida Lichtensteinia lacera Lichtensteinia lacera Lichtensteinia globosa Phlyctidocarpa flava Polemanniopsis sp. 1 Polemanniopsis marlothii Otersenteniis marlothii Steganotaenia araliacea Steganotaenia commiphoroides Alepidea amatymbica Alepidea capensis Alepidea peduncularis Arctopus echinatus Actinolema macrolema Astrantia major Astrantia minor Hacquetia epipactis Sanicula europaea Sanicula canadensis Eryngium maritimum Eryngium planum Eryngium giganteum Eryngium palmatum Petagnaea gussonei



DISCUSSION

"Euapioids" and "protoapioids". — The early diverging lineages (a paraphyletic assemblage herein referred to as the protoapioids) of the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade are readily separated from the remaining apioid lineages (the euapioids) by the presence of scattered druse crystals of calcium oxalate in the mesocarp of the fruit (character 14; Fig. 2N). Optimization of this character by Calviño & al. (2008a) suggested that the scattered druse crystals in the mesocarp were either absent or ambiguously reconstructed in the ancestor of the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade. This was, however, the result of the incorrect scoring of this character as absent in some of the protoapioid taxa (viz., Anginon Raf., Polemanniopsis, Steganotaenia and several species of Lichtensteinia) based on the reports of Liu & al. (2003, 2006) and Liu (2004). Druse crystals are often dissolved and therefore easily overlooked in PAS/TB-stained anatomical sections. Further confounding this problem, they may be only sparsely distributed throughout the fruit mesocarp, so that many sections need to be studied. After careful examination of both stained and unstained (where necessary) fruit sections, we are now able to confirm the presence of scattered druse crystals in almost all protoapioid taxa. Druse crystals were absent only in Arctopus L., Choritaenia and Petagnaea, and restricted to the commissure in Ezoscia*dium*. These results confirm the suggestion by Liu & al. (2006) that the presence of scattered druse crystals in the mesocarp is a synapomorphy for the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade.

Phlyctidocarpa. — In both the BI and MP trees the two accessions of *Phlyctidocarpa flava* comprised a strongly supported monophyletic group (PP 1.0, BP 100), weakly to strongly supported as a sister group to the tribe Steganotaenieae (PP 0.97, BP 71). This monotypic Namibian endemic genus had previously been placed within Drude's (1897–98) subfamily Apioideae, tribe Ammineae, by Theobald & Cannon (1973), based on the presence of crystals in the commissure and at the base of the fruit ribs, as well as the arrangement of the vittae. These authors acknowledged the similarity of the scattered druse crystals with members of the Saniculoideae s.str. but the significance of this similarity remained unclear to them. It has subsequently become evident that the presence of scattered druse crystals in the fruit is not restricted to the Saniculoideae s.str. (Liu & al., 2006; character 14; Fig. 2N), but that this character extends also into the early diverging lineages of Apioideae s.str. Calviño & Downie (2007) suggested the placement of Phlyctidocarpa within Saniculoideae based on a preliminary molecular phylogenetic study but the genus was not yet formally included in their broadened circumscription of Saniculoideae. The isolated position of Phlyctidocarpa within the clade comprising both Steganotaenieae and Saniculeae, as found in this study, has subsequently also been confirmed by Nicolas and Plunkett (pers. comm.) using trnT-trnD and rpl16 intron data. However, the results from these authors place *Phlyctidocarpa* successively sister to Steganotaenieae and Saniculeae, as opposed to the direct sister relationship with Steganotaenieae recovered in our analyses.

Phlyctidocarpa is unusual in that, like many of the other protoapioid lineages, it combines characters traditionally used to define both Apioideae s.str. and Saniculoideae s.str. The fruit (Fig. 3G) have unusual surface blisters on the ribs and large rib oil ducts (as in most Saniculoideae s.str.), together with prominent regular vittae (as in most Apioideae s.str.). Although the surface blisters are superficially similar to those found in the saniculoid genus *Astrantia* L. (Fig. 3C), they differ in that the ribs on which they are borne are bifurcate in transverse section (Fig. 3G) due to a longitudinal division or groove. As a result, both the vallecular vittae and rib oil ducts appear, in transverse section, to be located in the valleculas between the ribs. Somewhat bifurcate ribs are also found in *Alepidea woodii* and *A. cordifolia* (Yembaturova & al., in press). **Choritaenia, Lichtensteinia and Marlothiella.** — Previous analyses of molecular sequence data (Calviño & al., 2006; Calviño & Downie, 2007) placed the South African endemic genus *Lichtensteinia* as the earliest diverging lineage within Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie. Recently, in an assessment of the subfamily Azorelloideae, Nicolas & Plunkett (2009) using molecular data showed that the anomalous genus *Choritaenia* was not related to the other genera of the Azorelloideae but rather formed a clade together with *Lichtensteinia*, that was weakly supported as sister group to Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie. In the present study, both the MP and BI trees recover a strongly supported clade (PP 1.0, BP 100) comprising *Choritaenia, Lichtensteinia* and *Marlothiella*, with this clade as sister group to the remaining



Fig. 3. Transverse sections through fruits of selected members of Apiaceae and Mydocarpaceae. **A**, *Myodocarpus involucratus*; **B**, *Hermas villosa*; **C**, *Astrantia major*; **D**, *Alepidea cordifolia*; **E**, *Choritaenia capensis*; **F**, *Lichtensteinia trifida*; **G**, *Phlyctidocarpa flava*; **H**, *Polemanniopsis marlothii*; **I**, *Annesorhiza macrocarpa*; **J**, *Anginon difforme*; **K**, *Heteromorpha arborescens*. Vouchers: A, *Lowry & Oskolski 4639* (MO); B, *Anon s.n.* (JRAU); C, *Pauca 165b* (PE); D, *Van Wyk 4232* (JRAU); E, *Hanekom 1834* (PRE); F, *Winter & Tilney 4164* (JRAU);G, *Gress & al. 6075* (PRE); H, *Taylor 11269* (PRE); I, *Rourke 1700* (NBG); J, *Van Wyk 2944* (JRAU); K, *Greenway 12558* (PRE). br, bifurcate rib; Ir, lateral rib; medr, median rib; mr, marginal rib; ov, oil vesicle; rod, rib oil duct; sv, surface vesicle; vb, vascular bundle; vv, vallecular vitta; wc, wing cavity; we, woody endocarp. Scale: A–E, I–K = 0.8 mm; F = 0.5 mm; G = 1.0 mm; H = 0.4 mm.

members of Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie. *Choritaenia* is an annual herb endemic to the dry interior of southern Africa (Liu & al., 2007a) and clearly represents a highly adapted lineage. Traditionally placed within the Hydrocotyloideae (now largely included in Azorelloideae and Mackinlayoideae) due to the presence of a woody endocarp, *Choritaenia* differs from the other members of Azorelloideae in the conspicuous oil vesicles located in its wings (an autapomorphy for the genus), absence of rhomboidal druse crystals, marginal wings composed entirely of the mesocarp, and further lignification of the mesocarp (Fig. 3E). Oil vesicles, although rare in Apioideae, have also been recorded in *Bilacunaria* Pimenov & V.N. Tikhom. and *Smyrniopsis* Boiss. (Tamamschan, 1945; pers. obs.); however, in these taxa they are

dispersed in the mesocarp of the fruits. Amongst Apiaceae included in this investigation, wings may develop in one of two ways (Calviño & al., 2008a). In the first, wings are due to the compression or folding of the carpel and are as such composed of both the mesocarp and endocarp with the vascular bundle located at the margin (Fig. 3A–B). This type (type 3 of Calviño & al., 2008a, hereafter referred to as pseudo-wings) seems to be the plesiomorphic state, present in wing-fruited members of Azorelloideae, Mackinlayoideae, and even in the closely related families Araliaceae and Myodocarpaceae. In the second wing configuration type, the wings are due to an expansion of the mesocarp and are as such composed only of mesocarp, with the vascular bundle usually located at its base (Fig. 3E–J). This type (type 2 of Calviño & al., 2008a,



Fig. 4. Bayesian inference phylogram of *trnQ-trnK* sequence data. Numbers above the branches indicate the distributions of parsimony informative indels and those below the branches the number of morphological apomorphies as constructed from character optimization. The scale indicates the number of expected substitutions per site. hereafter referred to as true wings) is restricted to winged or prominently ribbed members of the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade and was reconstructed in this study as a synapomorphy for this group (character 7, Fig. 2G). As this character was not directly applicable to non-winged members of the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade, it was scored in the analysis as missing for these taxa (Table S1 in the Electronic Supplement). Calviño & al. (2008a) also described a third wing type composed entirely of exocarp in some lineages of *Eryngium* L., but this state was not observed in any of the fruits investigated in this study.

Tilney & al. (2009) suggested that the Namibian endemic genus Marlothiella may be the closest relative of Lichtenstei*nia*, due to the shared presence of concentric rings of cells around the large rib oil ducts and the marked differences that may be present in the size of the rib ducts (Fig. 3F) so that the fruit may appear heteromericarpic in transverse section. Indeed, our analyses of the *trnO-trnK* region support a close relationship between these two otherwise very different genera. Marlothiella was strongly supported (PP 1.0, BP 100) as a sister group to Choritaenia, with Lichtensteinia sister group to this clade. Liu & al. (2004) suggested that both Lichtensteinia and Marlothiella be placed within an expanded Saniculoideae based on the presence of large rib oil ducts and the absence of regular vittae (Fig. 3F). Calviño & al. (2008a) considered the presence of rib oil ducts in the fruit as a plesiomorphy in Saniculoideae due to their presence in both Azorelloideae and Mackinlayoideae. Moreover, they considered the loss (or reduction) of rib oil ducts to be a synapomorphy for the upper lineages of Apioideae (from the Annesorhiza clade upwards). Large rib oil ducts (traditionally a character for Saniculoideae s.str.) when reconstructed onto the MP trees (character 12; Fig. 2L) could most parsimoniously be considered as either a synapomorphy for the Apioideae-Saniculoideae clade with a secondary reversal in the upper lineages of Apioideae (upwards from the Annesorhiza clade), or the result of convergence in the Phlyctidocarpa-Petagnaea and Marlothiella-Lichtensteinia clades. Since relatively large rib oil ducts also occur in some members of the Azorelloideae, there are various ways of interpreting the evolution of the character (see Calviño & al., 2008a).

Annesorhiza clade. — Initially, the Annesorhiza clade was recognised by Calviño & al. (2006) as comprising Annesorhiza, Chamarea and Itasina. Although Astydamia and Molopospermum were weakly recovered as being closely related, the authors excluded them from the Annesorhiza group until their placements had been confirmed with further data. Magee & al. (2008a) subsequently showed that the annual, South African endemic genus Ezosciadium was also related to this clade. In both the MP and BI analyses, all accessions of these six genera were strongly supported to comprise a monophyletic group (PP 1.0, BP 89), successively sister to the tribe Heteromorpheae and subsequent lineages of the Apioideae. The Annesorhiza clade comprises herbaceous members largely typical of the euapioid tribes with regular vittae and compound leaves, but differs most prominently in the presence of scattered druse crystals (except in Ezosciadium, where they are restricted to the commissural area; Magee & al., 2008a), the thick and

highly lignified vascular bundles in the fruit (Fig. 3I), and the proteranthous or deciduous leaves.

Paraphyly of Apioideae. — The subfamily Apioideae s.str. as circumscribed by Drude (1897-98) is clearly not monophyletic when the African taxa are considered (Downie & Katz-Downie, 1999; Downie & al., 2001; Liu & al., 2003, 2006; Calviño & al., 2006; Calviño & Downie, 2007), and the characters traditionally used to segregate this subfamily are reconstructed to be either plesiomorphic (e.g., compound umbels) or synapomorphic and restricted to the upper lineages of the subfamily (e.g., inconspicuous rib oil ducts, presence of regular vittae, absence of scattered druse crystals); Calviño & al. (2008a and this study). Calviño & Downie (2007), based on phylogenetic studies, accommodated many of the conflicting African taxa in Apioideae or Saniculoideae resulting in two recircumscribed monophyletic subfamilies. However, as shown by Calviño & al. (2008a), the subfamilies Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie and Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie are each not supported by any morphological or anatomical synapomorphies studied to date. The nodes supporting Apioideae sensu Calviño & Downie (Sium-Lichtensteinia) and Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie (Phlyctidocarpa-Petagnaea) are both strongly to moderately supported with a low rate of nucleotide substitutions per site in the BI trees (fig. 6 in Calviño & Downie, 2007; Fig. 4 in this study). Calviño & al. (2008a) proposed that Steganotaenieae and Saniculeae (sister tribes of subfamily Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie) have evolved independently for a long time or have accumulated many independent morphological changes, thus masking any shared morphological feature that was once present in their common ancestor. Synapomorphies of the latter, however, persist at the molecular level. This hypothesis explains the lack of evident morphological or anatomical synapomorphies in Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie. The same reasoning can be applied to subfamily Apioideae sensu Calviño and Downie. Despite the lack of morphological or anatomical synapomorphies for each of these subfamilies, Calviño & Downie (2007) decided to maintain the two as distinct, monophyletic taxa. The spirit for their classification was basically threefold: (1) to maintain the identity of two of the major lineages of Apiaceae that commonly originated in South Africa but later independently dispersed to, and diversified in, other parts of the world; (2) to be able to readily reflect a sister group relationship between subfamilies Apioideae and Saniculoideae; and (3) to prevent the loss of long-accepted taxonomic concepts through the re-accommodation of problematic taxa to adequately reflect phylogeny. The controversy around this classification lies in the absence of any morphological or anatomical synapomorphies that define each subfamily. In order to define subfamilies in Apiaceae based on morphological or anatomical synapomorphies, an alternative would be to incorporate the two tribes of Saniculoideae sensu Calviño & Downie within a more widely delimited subfamily Apioideae (Apioideae s.l. as defined herein).

The Saniculoideae s.str. have traditionally been defined by the presence of simple spinescent or setiferous leaves, simple umbels, showy involucral bracts forming a pseudanthium, sessile or subsessile fructiferous flowers, fruit with exocarp outgrowths, distinct rib oil ducts, scattered druse crystals and the absence of regular vittae. In contrast, Apioideae have been circumscribed by the usually compound leaves, prominent compound umbels, fruit with inconspicuous rib oil ducts and regular vittae. Many of the protoapioid genera, however, share characters with both subfamilies. Lichtensteinia, Marlothiella, Polemanniopsis and Steganotaenia, have prominent compound umbels typical of Apioideae but saniculoid-like fruit with large rib oil ducts and without regular vittae (Fig. 3F, H). The fruit of *Phlvctidocarpa* (Fig. 3G) share the blistered exocarp and large prominent rib oil ducts with members of Saniculoideae, together with a compound umbel and regular vittae as in Apioideae. It is interesting to note the recent discovery of regular vittae in some species of the most early diverging saniculoid genus Alepidea (Yembaturova & al., in press; Fig. 3D) which further narrows the taxonomic distance between the two subfamilies. Due to the presence of equally isolated and morphologically divergent lineages within the protoapioids, the rank of subfamily for Saniculoideae no longer seems to be appropriate. Drude's (1897-98) classification system reflects incomplete knowledge of several other lineages (mostly African) that are morphologically as distinct as the Saniculoideae. The only logical way to delimit a morphologically congruent Apioideae would be to treat the Saniculoideae s.str. as a tribe (the Saniculeae) within a more widely delimited subfamily Apioideae. The union of Apioideae and Saniculoideae into a single subfamily was also proposed by Koso-Poljansky (1916) who included both subfamilies within his Ligusticoideae, based on the parenchymatous endocarps. Optimization of morphological and anatomical data onto one of the two minimal length trees obtained from the MP analyses of the trnQ-trnK region (Fig. 2) shows that such an expanded Apioideae s.l. is well supported by four synapomorphies (Fig. 4), namely the presence of true wings/ribs (wings or prominent ribs consisting only of mesocarp and exocarp; Fig. 2G), the parenchymatous or sometimes lignified endocarp (becoming secondarily woody in Choritaenia; Fig. 2J), the absence of rhomboidal crystals (Fig. 2M), and the presence of druse crystals of calcium oxalate scattered throughout the mesocarp (subsequently lost in the euapioids; Fig. 2N).

Tribal delimitations. - While morphological and anatomical characters support the lineage comprising the Apioideae s.l., as well as many of the terminal clades within the protoapioids (herein recognized as tribes), there are no available morphological or anatomical characters to support the hierarchical relationships among the tribes (i.e., the clades comprising Lichtensteinieae-Oenantheae, Lichtensteinieae-Choritaenieae, Steganotaenieae-Phlyctidocarpeae or Saniculeae-Phlyctidocarpeae). Therefore, in order to reflect the available morphological, anatomical and molecular data, the protoapioids are here segregated into eight small and morphologically isolated tribes (each well-supported by several morphological and/or anatomical characters and/or molecular sequence data), which probably represent relicts from a once more numerous African apioid flora. A taxonomic key to the subfamilies of Apiaceae and tribes of the protoapioids is provided below.

TAXONOMIC TREATMENT

Key to the subfamilies of Apiaceae and tribes of the protoapioids (Apioideae s.l.):

1	Endocarp woody, adjacent mesocarp usually parenchyma- tous; rhomboidal crystals usually present, druse crystals absent; true wings absent; wing-like structures (pseudo-
1	wings) or prominent ribs comprising endocarp and meso- carp with vascular tissue at the tip
	nified; rhomboidal crystals absent; druse crystals present or absent; true wings often present; wings or prominent ribs comprising only mesocarp, with vascular tissue almost
2	Fruits laterally compressed; mericarps not separating at
2	maturity; carpophore absent
	Azorelloideae
3	Fruit with oil vesicles in the wings Choritaenieae
3	Fruit without oil vesicles in the wings
4	rarely present then with larger rib oil ducts and ampli-
	seminal druse crystals in the fruit Saniculeae
4	Inflorescence umbellate, if rarely a pseudanthium then
	with regular vittae, poorly developed rib oil ducts, and
	without amphi-seminal druse crystals in the fruit5
5	Druse crystals of calcium oxalate absent or commissural
	only; without tanniniferous epidermal cells euapioids
5	Druse crystals of calcium oxalate amphi-seminal, if re-
	duced to commissure only, then with tanniniferous epi-
6	dermal cells and only partly billd carpophore
6	Regular vittae present 9
7	Fruit winged wing cavities present woody shrubs or
,	trees
7	Fruit not winged; large rib oil ducts surrounded by a con-
	centric ring of cells; herbs or small woody shrublets 8
8	Rhizomatous herbs; leaves large, coriaceous
	Lichtensteinieae
8	Woody shrublets; leaves small, succulent.
0	
9	ducts equal in size
9	Fruit surface smooth: ribs not bifurcate: vittae larger than
9	rib oil ducts 10
10	Perennial or annual herbs: leaves proteranthous or decidu-
	ous Annesorhizeae
10	Woody trees, shrubs, suffrutices or lianas; if rarely herba-
	ceous then leaves persistent Heteromorpheae
1.	Annesorhizeae Magee, C.I. Calviño, M. Liu, S.R. Downie, P.M. Tilney & BE. van Wyk, trib. nov. – Type: <i>Anneso-</i>

rhiza Cham. & Schltdl. Heteromorpheis similis umbello multiplexo, fructu crystallis drusaceis omnino in mesocarpio dispersis et vittis regularibus, sed habitu herbaceo, foliis proteranthis vel deciduis et fructu fasciculis vascularibus valde lignosis differt.

Annesorhizeae is similar to the Heteromorpheae in that the species have compound umbels, fruit with druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp and regular vittae. However, they differ from members of the Heteromorpheae in the herbaceous habit (rarely annual in *Ezosciadium*), the proteranthous or deciduous leaves and fruit with thick and strongly lignified vascular bundles. The tribe includes *Annesorhiza*, *Astydamia*, *Chamarea*, *Ezosciadium*, *Itasina* and *Molopospermum*. Although most of the genera are southern African endemics, *Molopospermum* is a European genus and *Astydamia* is restricted to North Africa and the Canary Islands.

 Choritaenieae Magee, C.I. Calviño, M. Liu, S.R. Downie, P.M. Tilney & B.-E. van Wyk, trib. nov. – Type: Choritaenia Benth.

Tribus multis Apioidearum umbello multiplexo alisque marginalibus veris similis, sed unicus vesiculis olei in alis marginalibus, carpophoro hygroscopico, endocarpio lignoso cum lignificatione adiuncta mesocarpii.

Choritaenieae shares the compound umbels and true marginal wings with many other tribes of the Apioideae, but is unique in that the fruit have oil vesicles in the marginal wings, a hygroscopic carpophore as well as a woody endocarp with further lignification of the mesocarp. It also differs from most other protoapioids in the annual and ephemeral habit and the absence of druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp of the fruit. The tribe is monogeneric and comprises the southern African genus *Choritaenia*.

 Lichtensteinieae Magee, C.I. Calviño, M. Liu, S.R. Downie, P.M. Tilney & B.-E. van Wyk, trib. nov. – Type: *Lichten-steinia* Cham. & Schltdl.

Marlothiellieis similis umbellis multiplexis, ductis olei maximis costalibus annulis concentricis cellularum circumcinctis, crystallis drusaceis omnino in mesocarpio dispersis, sed habitu herbaceo deciduo, foliis non succulentis saepe proteranthis marginibus dentatis setaceis, fructu sine pilis stellatis valde differt.

Lichtensteinieae is similar to Marlothielleae in the compound umbels, very large rib oil ducts surrounded by concentric ring of cells and druse crystals scattered throughout mesocarp, but differs markedly in the herbaceous deciduous habit, nonsucculent leaves (often proteranthous, with dentate, setaceous margins) and fruit without stellate hairs. It is also similar to the tribe Annesorhizeae in the herbaceous and deciduous habit but differs markedly in the large ribs oil ducts and the absence of vittae in the fruit. The tribe is monogeneric and comprises the South African genus *Lichtensteinia*.

 Marlothielleae Magee, C.I. Calviño, M. Liu, S.R. Downie, P.M. Tilney & B.-E. van Wyk, trib. nov. – Type: *Marlo-thiella* H. Wolff.

Lichtensteinieis similis umbellis multiplexis, crystallis drusaceis omnino in mesocarpio dispersis et ductis olei maximis costalibus annulis concentricis cellularum circumcinctis, sed habitu lignoso, foliis succulentis et fructu pilis stellatis differt.

Marlothielleae is similar to Lichtensteinieae in the presence of compound umbels, druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp, and the very large rib oil ducts surrounded by concentric rings of cells, but differs in the woody habit, succulent fleshy leaves and fruit with stellate hairs. Although it shares the woody habit and heteromericarpic fruit with members from both Heteromorpheae and Steganotaenieae, it differs from the former in the large rib oil ducts and the absence of regular vittae in the fruit and from the latter in the isodiametric fruit, ribs that do not develop into wings and that are without wing cavities. The tribe is monogeneric and comprises the Namibian endemic genus *Marlothiella*.

 Phlyctidocarpeae Magee, C.I. Calviño, M. Liu, S.R. Downie, P.M. Tilney & B.-E. van Wyk, trib. nov. – Type: *Phlyctidocarpa* Cannon & Theobald.

Annesorhizeis similis habitu herbaceo, vittis regularibus et crystallis drusaceis omnino in mesocarpio dispersis, sed ductis olei costalibus magnis, costis bifurcatis vesiculis superficialibus magnis et fasciculis duobus ventralibus non evolutis differt.

Phlyctidocarpeae is similar to Annesorhizeae in the herbaceous habit, regular vittae and druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp, but differs in the large rib oil ducts, bifurcate ribs with large surface vesicles and the two weakly developed ventral bundles. Although it shares the large rib oil ducts, weakly developed ventral bundles and surface vesicles with members of the tribe Saniculeae it differs markedly in the absence of a pseudanthium, the pedunculate compound umbels, the presence of regular vittae and the bifurcate ribs. Phlyctidocarpa has previously been associated with superficially similar members of the tribe Apieae and other euapioid tribes but differs in the presence of druse crystals scattered throughout the mesocarp of the fruit as well as large rib oil ducts, bifurcate ribs with large surface vesicles and weakly developed ventral bundles. The tribe is monogeneric and comprises the Namibian endemic genus Phlyctidocarpa.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding from the South African National Research Foundation, the University of Johannesburg, the U.S.A. National Science Foundation (grant number NSF DEB 0089452 to SRD) and the China National Science Foundation (30870148 to ML) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr H. Glen (NH) for translating the Latin diagnoses, Prof. A.E. van Wyk, Prof. G.M. Plunkett and Mr A.N. Nicolas for providing us with material of *Choritaenia*, and Mr C. Danderson for sequencing some of the material. The authors also wish to thank the curators and staff of the cited herbaria.

LITERATURE CITED

Akaike, H. 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 19: 716–723.

- Burtt, B.L. 1991. Umbelliferae of southern Africa: An introduction and annotated checklist. *Edinburgh J. Bot.* 48: 133–282.
- Calviño, C.I. & Downie, S.R. 2007. Circumscription and phylogeny of Apiaceae subfamily Saniculoideae based on chloroplast DNA sequences. *Molec. Phylog. Evol.* 44: 175–191.
- Calviño, C.I., Martínez, S.G. & Downie, S.R. 2008a. Morphology and biogeography of Apiaceae subfamily Saniculoideae as inferred by phylogenetic analysis of molecular data. *Amer. J. Bot.* 93: 1832–1833.
- Calviño, C.I., Martínez, S.G. & Downie, S.R. 2008b. The evolutionary history of *Eryngium* (Apiaceae, Saniculoideae): Rapid radiations, long distance dispersals, and hybridizations. *Molec. Phylog. Evol.* 46: 1129–1150.
- Calviño, C.I., Tilney, P.M., Van Wyk, B.-E. & Downie, S.R. 2006. A molecular phylogenetic study of southern African Apiaceae. *Amer. J. Bot.* 95: 196–214.
- Downie, S.R. & Katz-Downie, D.S. 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of chloroplast *rps16* intron sequences reveals relationships within the woody southern African Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae. <u>Canad.</u> J. Bot. 77: 1120–1135.
- Downie, S.R., Katz-Downie, D.S. & Cho, K.J. 1996. Phylogenetic analysis of Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae using nucleotide sequences from the chloroplast *rpoC1* intron. *Molec. Phylog. Evol.* 6: 1–18.
- Downie, S.R., Katz-Downie, D.S., Sun, F.-J. & Lee, C.-S. 2008. Phylogeny and biogeography of Apiaceae tribe Oenantheae inferred from nuclear rDNA ITS and cpDNA *psbl-5'trnK*(UUU) sequences, with emphasis on the North American endemics clade. *Botany* 86: 1039–1064.
- Downie, S.R., Katz-Downie, D.S. & Watson, M.F. 2000. A phylogeny of the flowering plant family Apiaceae based on chloroplast DNA *rpl16* and *rpoC1* intron sequences: Towards a suprageneric classification of subfamily Apioideae. *Amer. J. Bot.* 87: 273–292.
- Downie, S.R., Plunkett, G.M., Watson, M.F., Spalik, K., Katz-Downie, D.S., Valiejo-Roman, C.M., Terentieva, E.I., Troitsky, A.V., Lee, B.-Y., Lahham, J. & El-Oqlah, A. 2001. Tribes and clades within Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae: The contribution of molecular data. *Edinburgh J. Bot.* 58: 301–330.
- Downie, S.R., Ramanath, S., Katz-Downie, D.S. & Llanas, E. 1998. Molecular systematics of Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae: Phylogenetic analyses of nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer and plastid *rpoCl* intron sequences. *Amer. J. Bot.* 85: 563–591.
- Downie, S.R., Spalik, K., Katz-Downie, D.S. & Reduron, J.-P. In press. Major clades within Apiaceae subfamily Apioideae as inferred by phylogenetic analysis of nrDNA ITS sequences. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.*
- Doyle, J.J. & Doyle, J.L. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* 19: 11–15.
- Drude, O. 1897–1898. Umbelliferae. Pp. 63–250 in: Engler, A. & Prantl, K. (eds.), *Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien*, vol. 3(8). Leipzig: Engelmann.
- Farris, J.S. 1989. The retention index and the rescaled consistency index. *Cladistics* 5: 417–419.
- Feder, N. & O'Brien, T.P. 1968. Plant microtechnique: Some principles and new methods. Amer. J. Bot. 55: 123–142.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39: 783–791.
- Fitch, W.M. 1971. Towards defining the course of evolution: Minimal change for a specific tree topology. *Syst. Zool.* 20: 406–416.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P. & Ronquist, F. 2001. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics* 17: 754–755.
- Jeanmougin, F., Thompson, J.D., Gouy, M., Higgins, D.G. & Gibson, T.J. 1998. Multiple sequence alignment with Clustal X. <u>Trends</u> <u>Biochem. Sci.</u> 23: 403–405.
- Kluge, A.G. & Farris, J.S. 1969. Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of Anurans. *Syst. Zool.* 18: 1–32.

- Koso-Poljansky, B. 1916. Sciadophytorum systematis lineamenta. *Bull.* Soc. Imp. Naturalistes Moscou 29: 93–221.
- Lee, C.-S. & Downie, S.R. 2006. Phylogenetic relationships within *Cicuta* (Apiaceae tribe Oenantheae) inferred from nuclear rDNA ITS and cpDNA sequence data. *Canad. J. Bot.* 84: 453–468.
- Lewis, P.O. 2001. A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological character data. *Syst. Biol.* 50: 913–925.
- Liu, M. 2004. A taxonomic evaluation of fruit structure in the family Apiaceae. Thesis, University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Liu, M., Plunkett, G.M., Lowry II, P.P., Van Wyk B.-E. & Tilney P.M. 2006. The taxonomic value of fruit wing types in the order Apiales. *Amer. J. Bot.* 93: 1357–1368.
- Liu, M., Van Wyk, B.-E. & Tilney, P.M. 2003. The taxonomic value of fruit structure in the subfamily Saniculoideae and related African genera (Apiaceae). <u>Taxon 52</u>: 261–270.
- Liu, M., Van Wyk, B.-E. & Tilney, P.M. 2007a. A revision of the genus Choritaenia (Apiaceae). S. African J. Bot 73: 184–189.
- Liu, M., Van Wyk, B.-E. & Tilney, P.M. 2007b. A revision of the genus Marlothiella (Apiaceae). S. African J. Bot 73: 208–213.
- Liu, M., Van Wyk, B.-E., Tilney, P.M., Plunkett, G.M. & Lowry II, P.P. 2009. Evidence from fruit structure supports in general the circumscription of Apiaceae subfamily Azorelloideae. <u>Pl. Syst.</u> <u>Evol.</u> 280: 1–13.
- Maddison, W.P. & Maddison, D.R. 2008. Mesquite: A modular system for evolutionary analysis, version 2.5. http://mesquiteproject.org.
- Magee, A.R., Van Wyk, B.-E., Tilney, P.M. & Downie, S.R. 2008a. Ezosciadum (Apiaceae): A taxonomic revision of yet another early diverging South African apioid genus. Pl. Syst. Evol. 276: 167–175.
- Magee, A.R., Van Wyk, B.-E., Tilney, P.M. & Van der Bank, M. 2008b. A taxonomic revision of the South African endemic genus *Arctopus* (Saniculoideae, Apiaceae). *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 95: 471–486.
- Nicolas, A.N. & Plunkett, G.M. 2009. The demise of subfamily Hydrocotyloideae (Apiaceae) and the re-alignment of its genera across the entire order Apiales. <u>Molec. Phylog. Evol.</u> 53: 134–151.
- Plunkett, G.M., Pimenov, M.G., Reduron, J.-P., Kljuykov, E.V., Lee, B.-Y., Van Wyk, B.-E., Tilney, P.M., Watson, M.F., Ostroumova, T.A., Spalik, K., Henwood, M.J., Webb, C.J., Pu, F.-D., Mitchell, A.D. & Muckensturm, B. In press. Apiaceae. In: Kubitzki, K. (ed.), *The families and genera of vascular plants*. Berlin: Springer. [Final volume number and title unknown.]
- Plunkett, G.M., Soltis, D.E. & Soltis, P.S. 1996a. Evolutionary patterns in Apiaceae: Inferences based on *matK* sequence data. <u>Syst.</u> Bot. 21: 477–495.
- Plunkett, G.M., Soltis, D.E. & Soltis, P.S. 1996b. Higher level relationships of Apiales (Apiaceae and Araliaceae) based on phylogenetic analysis of *rbcL* sequences. *Amer. J. Bot.* 83: 499–515.
- Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. 1998. Modeltest: Testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574.
- Simmons, M.P. & Ochoterena, H. 2000. Gaps as characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analyses. *Syst. Biol.* 49: 369–381.
- Swofford, D.L. 2002. PAUP*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), version 4.0b10. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer.
- Tamamschan, S.G. 1945. About a little-known genus *Smyrniopsis* Boiss. from the family Umbelliferae (in Russ.). *Bull. Acad. Sci. Armenian S.S.R.*, 5–6: 47–62.
- Theobald, W.L. & Cannon, J.F.M. 1973. A survey of *Phlyctidocarpa* (Umbelliferae) using the light and scanning electron microscope. *Notes Roy. Bot. Gard. Edinburgh* 32: 203–210.
- Tilney, P.M. & Van Wyk, B.-E. 2001. A revision of the genus Annesorhiza (Apiaceae). Nord. J. Bot. 21: 615–649.
- Tilney, P.M., Van Wyk, B.-E., Downie, S.R. & Calviño, C.I. 2009. Phylogenetic relationships in the genus *Lichtensteinia* (Apiaceae)

based on morphological, anatomical and DNA sequence data. *S. African J. Bot.* 75: 64–82.

- Van Wyk, B.-E., Tilney, P.M. & Winter, P.J.D. 1999. Four new genera of woody Apiaceae of Madagascar. *Taxon* 48: 737–745.
- Vessio, N. 2001. The generic affinities of deciduous species of the genera Annesorhiza Cham. & Schlechtd., Chamarea Eckl. & Zeyh. and Peucedanum L. (Apiaceae). Dissertation, Rand Afrikaans University, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Werle, E., Schneider, C., Renner, M., Volker, M. & Fiehnet, W. 1994. Convenient single-step, one tube purification of PCR products for direct sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22: 4354–4355.
- Winter, P.J.D., Van Wyk, B.-E & Tilney, P.M. 1993. The morphology and development of the fruit of *Heteromorpha* (Apiaceae). S. African J. Bot. 59: 336–341.
- Yang, Z. & Rannala, B. 1997. Bayesian phylogenetic inference using DNA sequences: A Markov chain Monte Carlo method. <u>Molec.</u> Biol. Evol. 14: 717–724.
- Yembaturova, E.Y., Van Wyk, B.-E., Tilney, P.M. & Winter, P.J.D. In press. The taxonomic significance of fruit morphology and anatomy in the genus *Alepidea* Delaroche (Apiaceae, subfamily Saniculoideae). *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.*

Appendix 1. Accessions of Apiaceae from which the cpDNA *trnQ-trnK* sequences were obtained, with corresponding voucher information, taxonomic authorities, and GenBank reference numbers.

Actinolema macrolema Boiss., Coode & Jones 2425 (E); trnQ-rps16 DQ832386^c, rps16 DQ832337^c, rps16-trnK DQ832473^c. Alepidea amatymbica Eckl. & Zeyh., Mohle 480 (MO); trnQ-rps16 DQ832387°, rps16 DQ832338°, rps16-trnK DQ832474°. Alepidea capensis (Berg.) R.A. Dyer, Hilliard & Burtt 16645 (E); trnQ-rps16 DQ832389°, rps16 DQ832340°, rps16-trnK DQ832476°. Alepidea peduncularis Steud. ex A. Rich., Gereau & Kayombo 4094 (MO); trnQ-rps16 DQ832386^c, rps16 DQ832337^c, rps16-trnK DQ832473^c. Anginon difforme (L.) B.L. Burtt, Magee 130 (JRAU); trnQ-rps16 FM986461^h, rps16 FM986476^h, DQ83250°, rps16 DQ83240°, rps16 trnK DQ832480°, rps16 TrnQ-rps16 FM986462^h, rps16 (TRO), trnQ-rps16 AY838397^b, rps16 TrnK DQ832487^c.
Annesorhiza altiscapa H. Wolff, Magee 97 (JRAU); trnQ-rps16 FM986462^h, rps16 FM986477^h, rps16-trnK FM986486^h. Annesorhiza https://doc.org/apace.com/apacee.com/apace.com/apacee.com/apacee.com/apacee.com/apacee.com/apacee.c & Bersier s.n. (ILL); trnQ-trnK DQ832444^c. Astydamia latifolia (L. f) Kuntze, Hildenbrand s.n. (ILL); trnQ-rps16 FM986463^h, rps16-trnK FM986487^h. Bu-pleurum angulosum L., Younger 2565 (E); trnQ-rps16 FM986464^h, rps16 AF110568^a, rps16-trnK FM986488^h. Bupleurum fruticosum L., McBeath 2592 (E); trnQ-rps16 FM986465^h, rps16 AF110569^a, rps16-trnK FM986489^h. Chamarea snijmaniae B.L. Burtt, MacGregor s.n. (NBG); rps16 AY838414^b, rps16-trnK FM986490^h. Choritaenia capensis Benth.— Zeitman 271 (PRE); trnQ-rps16 FM986467^h, rps16 FM986479^h, rps16-trnK FM986492^h. Choritaenia capensis Benth.- Van Wyk 545 (PRU); trnQ-rps16 FM986466^h, rps16 FM986478^h, rps16-trnK FM986491^h. Dracosciadium italae Hilliard & B.L. Burtt, Ngwenya DQ832456^c. Ezosciadium capense (Eckl. & Zeyh.) B.L. Burtt, Goldblatt & Porter 12578 (NBG); trnQ-rps16 FM986468^h, rps16 AM982518^f, rps16-trnK FM986493^h. Hacquetia epipactis DC., M.F. & S.G. Gardner 2590 (E); trnQ-rps16 DQ832423^c, rps16 DQ832372^c, rps16-trnK DQ832511^c. Hermas gigantea L., McDonald 1769 (NBG); trnQ-rps16 DQ832425^c, rps16 AY838420^b, rps16-trnK DQ832513^c. Hermas quinquedentata L. f., Burman 1080 (BOL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832426^c, rps16 AY838422^b, rps16-trnK DQ832514^c. Heteromorpha arborescens Cham. & Schltdl.— Van Wyk 4122 (JRAU); trnQ-rps16 FM986469^h, rps16 AY838424^b, rps16-trnK FM986494^h. Itasina filifolia (Thunb.) Raf., Downie 2453 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 FM986470^h, rps16 AY838426^b, rps16-trnK FM986495^h. Lichtensteinia globosa B.-E. van Wyk & Tilney, Downie 2462 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832429^c, rps16 AY838429^b, rps16-trnK DQ832517^c. Lichtensteinia lacera Cham. & Schltdl., Downie 2464 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832427^c, rps16 AY838427^b, rps16-trnK DQ832515^c. Lichtensteinia obscura (Spreng.) Koso-Pol.— Downie 2457 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832428^c, rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia trifida Cham. & Schltdl., Downie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832429^c, rps16 AY838427^b, rps16-trnK DQ832515^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832429^c, rps16 AY838427^b, rps16-trnK DQ832515^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832428^c, rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832429^c, rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832429^c, rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832428^c, rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia vanie 2460 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832428^c, rps16 AY838428^b, rps16-trnK DQ832516^c. Lichtensteinia vanie trnQ-rps16 DQ832430°, rps16 AY838430°, rps16-trnK DQ832518°. Martothiella gummifera H. Wolff, Manheimer 2987 (IRAU); trnQ-rps16 FM986471^h, rps16 FM986480^h, rps16-trnK FM986496^h. Molopospermum peloponnesiacum (L.) W.D.J. Koch, Argent ML2 (E); rps16 AY838432^b. —. Petagnaea gussonei (Spreng.) Rauschert, Donila 2005 (PAL); trnQ-trnK DQ832466°. Phlyctidocarpa flava Cannon & Theobald, Merxmueller & Giess 30626 (WIND); trnQ-rps16 FM986473h, rps16 FM986482h, rps16-trnK FM986498h. Phlyctidocarpa flava Cannon & Theobald, Manheimer 2889 (JRAU); trnO-rps16 FM986472h, rps16 FM986491^h, rps16-trnK FM986497^h. Physospermum cornubiense (L.) DC., Pimenov & al. s.n. (MW); trnQ-rps16 FM986474^h, rps16 AF110556^a, rps16-trnK FM986499^h. Polemannia grossulariifolia Eckl. & Zeyh.— De Castro 274 (JRAU); rps16 AY838439^b, rps16-trnK FM986500^h. Polemanniopsis marlothii (H. Wolff) B.L. Burtt, Downie 2459 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832432^c, rps16 DQ832374^c, rps16-trnK DQ832250^c. Polemanniopsis sp. 1, Manheimer 2769 (JRAU); trnO-rps16 FM986475^h, rps16 FM986483^h, — Sanicula canadensis L., Downie 737 (ILL); trnO-trnK DO832467^c. Sanicula europaea L., Catalán 1896 (JACA); trnQ-trnK DQ832468°. Sium suave Walter, Downie 12 (ILL); trnQ-trnK EF185274°. Steganotaenia araliacea Hochst.— Downie 2456 (ILL); trnQ-rps16 DQ832442°, rps16 DQ832384°, rps16-trnK DQ832530°. Steganotaenia commiphoroides Thulin, Friis & al. 4889 (K); rps16 FM986484^h, rps16-trnK FM986501^h

^aDownie & Katz-Downie (1999); ^bCalviño & al. (2006); ^cCalviño & Downie (2007); ^dCalviño & al. (2008b); ^eDownie & al. (2008); ^fMagee & al. (2008a); Tilney & al. (2009); ^hpresent study.

Appendix 2. Morphological and anatomical characters and states examined during this investigation and optimized onto the molecular trees.

1. Habit (herbaceous = 0; woody = 1); **2.** Leaf persistence (not proteranthous = 0; proteranthous = 1); **3.** Umbel (compound = 0; simple = 1); **4.** Flowers (pedicellate = 0; sessile or subsessile = 1); **5.** Involuce bracts (not forming a pseudanthium = 0; forming a prominent pseudanthium = 1); **6.** Fruit surface (glabrous = 0; surface vesicles = 1; spines/bristles = 2; stellate hairs = 3); **7.** Fruit wing/rib development (pseudo-wings/ribs = 0; true wings/ribs = 1); **8.** Fruit ribs shape (simple = 0; bifurcate = 1); **9.** Fruit symmetry (homomericarpic = 0; heteromericarpic = 1); **10.** Endocarp (woody = 0; parenchymatous/lignified = 1); **11.** Regular vittae (absent = 0; present = 1; oil vesicles = 2); **12.** Rib oil ducts (small = 0; large = 1; forming cavities = 2); **13.** Rhomboidal crystals (present = 0; absent = 1); **14.** Druse crystals (absent = 0; scattered throughout mesocarp = 1; restricted to commissure = 2).