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Over a Century of Neuron Culture: From the Hanging Drop to Microfluidic Devices
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The brain is the most intricate, energetically active, and plastic organ in the body. These features extend to its cellular elements, the neurons and glia. Understanding neurons, or nerve cells, at the cellular and molecular levels is the cornerstone of modern neuroscience. The complexities of neuron structure and function require unusual methods of culture to determine how aberrations in or between cells give rise to brain dysfunction and disease. Here we review the methods that have emerged over the past century for culturing neurons in vitro, from the landmark finding by Harrison (1910) — that neurons can be cultured outside the body — to studies utilizing culture vessels, micro-islands, Campenot and brain slice chambers, and microfluidic technologies. We conclude with future prospects for neuronal culture and considerations for advancement. We anticipate that continued innovation in culture methods will enhance design capabilities for temporal control of media and reagents (chemotemporal control) within sub-cellular environments of three-dimensional fluidic spaces (microfluidic devices) and materials (e.g., hydrogels). They will enable new insights into the complexities of neuronal development and pathology.

INTRODUCTION

Early in embryogenesis, neuron precursor cells, the neuroblasts, divide and differentiate into the cellular components of the brain and peripheral nervous system. They develop specific cellular fates while migrating to pre-determined destinations. These cells are destined to become either neurons or glial cells. Nascent neurons extend multiple protrusions that can become highly elaborate with many tree-like branches, or arborizations. They become highly polarized structurally and are specialized for electrical and chemical com-
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munication. The most highly branched pro-
trusions are the **dendrites**, which act as ant-
tennae that receive incoming signals and 
transmit them to the cell body. A single 
**axon**, a neuronal extension specialized for 
signal transmission downstream to other 
cells, communicates with neurons, organs, 
muscles, and skin. As the brain forms, neu-
rons participate in increasingly extensive 
networks. These multiple extensions exist in 
many complex extracellular microenviron-
ments. Communication between neurons 
and their downstream targets is typically 
achieved via **synaptic specializations**, where 
chemical or electrical signals are transmit-
ted between neuronal circuit elements.

Unlike other types of cells, neurons are 
elongate. The soma extends processes that 
become highly branched and whose length 
is often many times the soma’s diameter. 
Terminal points of these branches reside in 
different spatial domains from the soma and 
other processes with distinct chemical, phys-
ical, and fluidic features. Consequently, 
studying neuronal cytoarchitecture is chal-
lenging and complex [1,2]. Nevertheless, 
understanding how the structure of the neu-
ron influences its function is critical [3]. Dis-
covering how local signals direct neuronal 
development has been impeded by the in-
ability to access and control local microen-
vironments of the complex neuronal 
**cytoarchitecture**. Since **in vitro** studies in 
many cases require simulating the **in vivo** 
**microenvironment** of cells, convenient and 
viable **in vitro** techniques are critical prereq-
usites for studying neuron cells. The chal-
lenge has been to make them accessible for 
**in vitro** laboratory manipulation. New ways

The emergence and implementation of 
traditional, **in vitro**-based cell culture meth-
ods have advanced understanding of neu-
ronal development in highly significant 
ways. The first notable thrust occurred more 
than a century ago. While conducting em-
byological research at Yale University, Ross 
Granville Harrison published findings on the 
developing neuron that quickly transformed 
biological sciences by seeding new direc-
tions for developmental investigations, sub-
stantially changing neuroscience [5]. By 
applying the bacteriologists’ tools to verte-
brate embryology, Harrison developed a 
method that demonstrated — for the first 
time — that living tissues from vertebrates 
could be cultivated and studied outside the 
body. His studies also answered important 
questions relating to the growth and devel-
opment of nerve cells into nerve fibers.

Borrowed or invented, techniques for 
culturing neurons **in vitro** have evolved to 
answer uniquely neurobiological questions. 
These techniques have been applied in stud-
ies on the development and function of cells, 
tissues, and organs. As newly developed 
methods are refined, further insights into cell 
function can be obtained; new ideas and 
questions emerge to further propel the field 
of study toward answering more weighty 
and complex conundrums. In this way, 
methodological developments in basic sci-
cientific research advance our understanding 
of the nervous system, improving access to 
specific cellular relationships and elucidat-

---

**Figure 1. Timeline of emergence of methods for culturing neurons.** After the successful culture of vertebrate cells and tissues by Ross Granville Harrison at Yale in 1910, successive refinements for culturing neurons emerged. The timeline shows the approximate date of publications introducing the use of each method.
ing both the mechanistic and integrative bases of diseases and disorders of the nervous system.

By reviewing the origins and progress of neuron culture methods, beginning with Harrison’s pivotal publication, we can appreciate how acquiring, improving, and interjecting new methodologies into conventional practices enhances our ability to advance understanding of neuronal structure and function. Harrison’s contributions changed the way we view and investigate cells of the body and brain. Here, we address fundamental technological shifts in the ability to culture neurons over the past century since Harrison proved the neuron doctrine, that the nervous system is composed of discrete cells. We first address methods to culture nervous tissue and neurons and then focus on approaches to manipulate neuron growth and differentiation in vitro using micro-islands, Campenot chambers, and microfluidic devices. These approaches have enhanced understanding of how neuronal development and function are shaped by the local chemical, physical, and fluidic environments of its intricate morphology. They are enabling new insights into how signaling mechanisms change in neuronal pathologies. Finally, we discuss future directions, looking toward prospects for neuron culture over the coming years.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF CELL CULTURE METHODS

Over the past century, diverse methods to enable the culture, observation, and development of neurons in vitro have emerged. Figure 1 provides a timeline overview of the development of culture techniques, each reviewed here in greater detail. Table 1 presents the benefits and limitations of tissue and neuron culture methodologies.

The Hanging Drop

In the early 20th century, the development of the capability to culture living cells enabled observations that were a marked change from conventional fixed-tissue histology of the 19th century. To answer the contentious questions surrounding the unusual morphology of neurons, Ross Harrison sought “… to obtain a method by which the end of a growing nerve could be brought under direct observation while alive” [6]. While working at Yale in 1910, Harrison...
Table 1. Benefits and limitations of tissue and neuron culture methodologies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hanging Drop [5-7]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong>&lt;br&gt;Simple design: Easy to assemble and requires no special equipment.&lt;br&gt;Culture integrity: Contamination isolated to individual cultures.&lt;br&gt;Convenience: Convenient closed-system assembly for handling tissue cultures.&lt;br&gt;Visualization: Compatible with histological preparations.</td>
<td><strong>Limitations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Device handling: Hermetically sealed device is cumbersome for extending culture duration.&lt;br&gt;Fluidic control: Uncontrollable fluidic environment.&lt;br&gt;Longevity: Low media-to-cell ratio causes nutrient depletion and waste accumulation.&lt;br&gt;Culture uniformity: Ranging from individual cells to tissue fragments.&lt;br&gt;Necrosis: Tissue chunks in culture are prone to necrosis.&lt;br&gt;Visualization: Challenging for cell and morphological identification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carrel Flask [13,21]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong>&lt;br&gt;Longevity: Extends viable cultures months beyond the hanging drop method.&lt;br&gt;Fluidic control: Increases culture media to cell ratio.&lt;br&gt;Gas exchange: Improves gas exchange for pH control by introducing known gas mixtures.&lt;br&gt;Large capacity: Increased capacity for cell and tissue cultures.&lt;br&gt;Biochemistry: Useful for biochemical studies, e.g., cell/tissue extraction.</td>
<td><strong>Limitations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Fluid control: Difficult to control lateral spread and size of the plasma coagulum.&lt;br&gt;Variability: Plasma coagulum variability influences cell spread, growth rates.&lt;br&gt;Sample control: Cultures prone to plasma clot dissolution, reducing culture longevity.&lt;br&gt;Media stagnation: Impacts culture uniformity.&lt;br&gt;Histology: Cumbersome for histological preparations and sample preservation.&lt;br&gt;Contamination: Contamination can affect numerous cultures in a single flask.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roller Tube [22,32]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong>&lt;br&gt;Utility: Broad, for suspended tissue cultures, organotypic slice cultures, or combined for coverslip cultures (&quot;flying coverslips&quot;).&lt;br&gt;Gas exchange: Improves media and gas circulation for improved uniformity.&lt;br&gt;Longevity: Reduces tissue necrosis and enables culture durations for months.&lt;br&gt;Convenience: A low-maintenance method.</td>
<td><strong>Limitations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Visualization: Challenging and cumbersome for cell imaging, especially microscopic cinematography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slide Chamber [22,43]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong>&lt;br&gt;Utility: Different chamber designs confer a range of benefits.&lt;br&gt;Longevity: Continuously supplies new media to cultured tissues.&lt;br&gt;Biochemistry: Amenable for testing effects of pharmacological agents on cultures.&lt;br&gt;Visualization: Improves imaging of cultured cells and tissue.</td>
<td><strong>Limitations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Low throughput: Costly chambers prohibit many parallel cultures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Campernot Chamber [63,68,69]</strong></td>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong>&lt;br&gt;Utility: Permits compartmentalization of peripheral neurons in vitro for sympathetic neuron growth and signaling studies in an easily accessible cell culture Petri dish.&lt;br&gt;Visualization: Neurons easily visualized using conventional microscopic methods.&lt;br&gt;Fluid control: Media exchange and fluid control not complicated or cumbersome.&lt;br&gt;Low cost: Simple, cost-effective constructs for cell culture.&lt;br&gt;High throughput: Many samples can be analyzed in parallel within the same chamber device.</td>
<td><strong>Limitations</strong>&lt;br&gt;Assembly: Requires silicone grease and prone to leakage.&lt;br&gt;Versatility: Due to the size, the Campernot chamber is limited to large peripheral neurons that grow very long processes; is not compatible with many neurons of the brain and spine.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conducted one of the most significant studies in the field of neuroscience, using the hanging drop technique to establish culture conditions that permitted direct observations of living cells in vitro. He determined for the first time that the nervous system is cellular.

The hanging drop is a culture technique whereby cells or tissue fragments are attached to a coverslip and bathed in a drop of partially coagulated serum or lymph (Figure 2). The coverslip with the tissue-containing coagulum is inverted so that the clot is hanging, then the coverslip is attached and sealed to a microscope slide with a glass impression in which the drop hangs. Through this elegant approach, Harrison demonstrated that nerve fibers form from cells. His results provided incontrovertible proof that the nervous system is composed of individual, discrete cells: the neuron doctrine hypothe-

**Table 1 cont. Benefits and limitations of tissue and neuron culture methodologies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Slice Chamber [38,39]</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility:</strong> Permits slices of brain tissue to be maintained in vitro with neural circuitry and integrative functions intact. Highly controlled access to subcellular regions, single cells, and neuron networks. Design pattern produces nearly identical replicates with chemotemporal, spatial control. High reproducibility.</td>
<td><strong>Experimental feasibility:</strong> Brain slice chambers can be made or purchased with many designs that permit controlled fluid exchange, optical transparency for imaging studies, exposure to moist gas, low water evaporation; thermally stable; physically confine neurons to tissue. <strong>Visualization:</strong> Cells, tissues, and nerves easily visualized using conventional microscopic methods. <strong>Electrophysiology:</strong> Direct access to the neurons, axonal tracts and nerves by extracellular, sharp and patch electrodes, as well as multi-electrode arrays (MEAs). <strong>Fluidic control:</strong> Temporal and spatial fluidic control permits numerous possibilities for stimulation, inhibition, and perturbations. <strong>Low cost:</strong> Simple, cost-effective constructs for tissue culture. <strong>Versatility:</strong> Highly versatile in materials and design; can be tailored to experimental design needs. <strong>Shear stress:</strong> Laminar flow fields can be kept low for experiments with sensitive tissues.</td>
<td><strong>Assembly:</strong> Requires purchase or machine-shop fabrication of designer environments. <strong>Throughput:</strong> Low, although several brain slices can be co-cultured within the same chamber device. <strong>Evaporation:</strong> Inevitable if the access port is left open more than briefly; drying can cause a surface scab to form, which is a barrier to oxygen and leads to tissue death; changes in media osmolarity can confound results, killing cells if not controlled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microfluidic Device [4,91,118,139,140]</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility:</strong> Compartmentalization of single or co-cultured neurons, glia in PDMS device with flexible design. Highly controlled access to subcellular regions, single cells, and neuron networks. Template produces many, nearly identical replicates, with chemotemporal, spatial control. High reproducibility. <strong>Experimental feasibility:</strong> PDMS-based devices permit controlled fluid exchange, optical transparency for imaging studies, gas permeation; low in water evaporation; thermally stable; physically confine neurons to control connectivity. <strong>Visualization:</strong> Cells easily visualized using conventional microscopic methods. <strong>Fluidic control:</strong> Temporal and spatial fluidic control permits numerous possibilities for fluidic isolation, stimulation, and perturbations; valves can be built-in. <strong>Low cost:</strong> Simple, cost-effective constructs for cell culture.** Throughput:** Many samples can be analyzed in parallel within the same chamber/device. <strong>Versatility:</strong> Highly versatile in materials and design; can be fabricated for specific cell and process sizes and geometries. Designs highly flexible, tailored to experimental design needs.</td>
<td><strong>Assembly:</strong> Requires purchase or training to fabricate designer environments. <strong>Behavior of gas fluxes not optimized vs. conventional cultures:</strong> Gas solubility, permeability, and diffusion may be material- and/or dimension-dependent. <strong>Evaporation:</strong> Inevitable at micro- and nano-scale volumes; changes in media osmolarity can confound results, kill cells if not controlled. <strong>Shear stress:</strong> Laminar flow fields can potentially confound experiments with sensitive neurons. <strong>Substrate uptake of media components/release of materials:</strong> Adsorption, absorption, and desorption have the potential to bias cell growth or response in microfluidics.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sis. The neuron doctrine was in direct opposition to the reticular theory, which posited that the nervous system was an acellular, reticular network of fibrils. In settling this debate, Harrison also reported the biological significance of in vitro cultures, including the normal cellular differentiation of various cell types, the contraction of muscle cells, and ciliary movement. For individual nerve cells, he demonstrated differentiation, migration, neurite elongation rate, interactions, and anastomoses [5].

The simplicity of the experiment belies the cumbersome logistics of developing successful cultures. Neural tube fragments from the embryonic frog were placed in a drop of fresh frog lymph, on flame- or heat-sterilized coverslips, and bathed in moist environments. Pioneering cell cultures was a difficult task without bulk quantities of sterile supplies, defined reagents, and antibiotics. Nevertheless, when addressing his successes, Harrison credited the common utility of the “hanging drop culture” to bacteriological studies and acknowledged the amalgamation of ideas rather than taking credit to himself [7,8].

By 1913, another significant application of the hanging drop technique was achieved when Levaditi used the hanging drop to investigate interactions of polio and rabies viruses with cells and obtained the first culture of the poliomyelitis virus using neurons from monkey spinal ganglia [9-12]. He concluded that viruses are intracellular parasites incapable of reproduction in nutrient media alone. These studies substantiated his claim against the prevailing dogma that viruses were the product of degenerated lethal genes. Furthermore, Levaditi’s cultures in the hanging drop were early contributions to the armamentarium used in understanding the nature of the virus, producing a vaccine, and eradicating disease.

Harrison’s methods of cell culture were rapidly adopted and adapted by others and applied to mammalian tissues. Also in 1910, Burrows and Carrel used conditioned and unconditioned plasma in hollow glass slides for cultivating differentiated mammalian tissues, including vascular tissues, cartilage, endothelium, bone, epidermis, thyroid, spleen, and kidney. This method enabled the first culturing of adult mammalian tissues and organs outside the body [13]. In the following year, Lewis and Lewis, researchers at Johns Hopkins, reported improvements on tissue cultures of Carrel and Burrows, who used body fluids as the culture media. Lewis and Lewis used an artificial media consisting of a simple salt solution and chicken bouillon [14]. Artificial media rapidly replaced lymphatic fluids as the medium of choice for cultivating cells and defined culture media formulations, enabling more control of the environment, improving reliability and reproducibility [15-17]. Cell culture methods expanded, enabling the observation of spontaneously contracting cardiac myocytes in isolation [18], connective tissues in culture [19], and mitochondria in cultured cells [20]. New methodologies for live cell investigations were exciting, for they added a new level of observation and analysis well beyond the technical limitations of histopathological techniques.

These early experiments led to the emergence during the 1920s of identifiable scientific foci. The first was to resolve problems of viability, longevity associated with cell growth, nutrition, and multiplication. Experimental criteria for defining and improving culture requirements continued to follow the assessments Harrison had applied, assaying cell migration and culture duration. These criteria proved valuable in determining the effectiveness of various formulations of salts, osmolites, pH, temperature, glucose, and other nutrients. Research for decades thereafter would be concentrated primarily on developing precise conditions for culturing a broad range of animal tissues, from insects to man. A second focus was on defining the processes of cellular differentiation and tissue organization. Common to these developments was the invention of culture-assisting devices devised to answer specific questions, solve immediate problems, or overcome technical limitations or constraints of existing methods. The result has been a developmental progression of optimizing culture conditions with new devices for culturing neurons and brain tissue.
Despite the effectiveness of the hanging drop, its limitations proved obvious when dealing with long-term cultures or its compatibility with different types of cells and cultures. This drove the development of a range of devices designed to meet the many requirements for aseptic cultivation of cells and tissues in vitro.

Carrel Flasks

A range of culture flasks were developed in 1923 by Alexis Carrel to “maintain tissues in a condition of uninterrupted growth in a medium which does not deteriorate spontaneously” [21]. The flat surfaces and angled necks of these culture flasks enabled long-term cultivation by providing easier access to the culture for exchanging media and improving cell observation. Features of the five different models include one or two angular necks, flat bottoms that facilitate visualization, and removable mica or glass plates for easy access to the inner flask (Figure 3). These advances improved culture techniques and prolonged viability through accessibility for culture maintenance. This enabled the introduction of select gas mixtures, and extended culture durations. However, the new apparatus did not circumvent variabilities associated with the plasma coagulum, particularly clot spread and proteolytic clot dissolution. Because clot size influences cell spread and growth rates, uniform cultures could not be achieved [22]. At one point, perforated cellophane was substituted as a culture substrate for tissue attachment and cell spread, including an effort to reduce confounding effects of tissue fragments in clots [23-26]. Notwithstanding these disadvantages, the improvements of the Carrel flask proved useful for studies on mitosis and cell migration, media/tissue extracts, proteases, amino acids, and toxins [22]. The efficacy and influence of the culture flask extended far beyond Carrel’s initial studies, and the essence of the culture vessel persists today in studies throughout the biological sciences, including neuroscience.

Roller Tubes

Cultures using the test tube, the predecessor to the roller tube, pre-date the hanging drop technique by at least a decade, in reports as early as 1897 [27]. Throughout the history of their usage, roller tubes offered some advantages to the static culture systems by providing a gently kinetic envi-
ronment. Early tube cultures were particularly useful in determining the effects of hydrogen and oxygen on cultures from various organ tissues [27]. Then, in 1933, the test tube was modified into the roller tube and introduced as “an improved technique” for large-scale tissue culture [28]. Aside from the Carrel flask, the roller tube approach was used in early studies to improve culture uniformity and media circulation for free-floating and adherent tissues in a coagulum. Mary Hogue’s detailed report in 1947 describes both the ability to extend cultures for 3 to 4 months (up to 143 days) and the benefits and challenges of using hanging drop and roller tube cultures [29].

To improve visualization of flask-cultured tissues, flattened portions were made similar to the Carrel flask [22]. Additional modifications included hexagonal tubes [22], sponges [30], and the “flying coverslip,” where cultures of adult human cerebrum and cerebellum were established on a coverslip and maintained in a roller tube through continuous rotation [31,32]. Over the last decade, new methodological reports employ the roller tube for sustaining organotypic brain slice cultures (slices of living brain tissue usually thinner than 500 µm thickness and sustained in culture) [33-37]. Notwithstanding the benefits conferred through the roller tube, the most significant factors limiting the utility of this device were low compatibility with imaging and poor access to the tissue.

**Brain Slice Chambers**

Studying individual neurons in dissociated cultures has been the method of choice for decades owing to the ease with which neurons can be isolated, maintained, and observed. However, brain tissue can survive up to 6 min of hypoxia, enabling it to be removed from the skull and brain, sliced thinly enough to permit oxygenation by diffusion, and then transferred to a life-support environment [38]. Conditions must approximate those in *vivo*: a warm, moist, biocompatible environment with high O₂ tension and bathed in minimal salts and glucose or enriched media. Individual neurons and their processes within the 100-500 µm-thick brain slice are relatively undisturbed, compared with single neurons isolated for dispersed culture. The complex neural circuitry and spatial relationships established during development are maintained, and tissue level function is largely preserved [39]. Furthermore, more critical for brain slices than dissociated neurons dispersed in culture, gas and nutrient exchange are vital for maintaining endogenous tissue function *ex vivo* [40].

In addition to the roller tube method for maintaining tissue slices, perfusion systems, membrane inserts, and culture chambers have been developed and refined to improve tissue health and vitality. This enables significantly greater access and control of the neurons and circuits of selected brain regions than *in vivo*. From dissociated cells to neurons in brain slices, improvements in imaging methods are increasing the resolution and ability to interrogate neuron structure and function non-invasively and dynamically [41]. For example, through multi-photon confocal microscopy of live organotypic brain slices, individual neurons were simultaneously studied metabolically and electrophysiologically, enabling discovery of novel mechanisms by which cellular metabolism regulates neuronal excitability of the mammalian circadian pacemaker, the suprachiasmatic nucleus [42].

**Slide Culture Chambers**

In an effort to improve visualization of cells and exchange of media, a variety of chambers were developed on microscope slides. After working under the direction of Ross Harrison, Montrose Burrows adapted the tissue culture technique to continuously supply fresh media. This method improves viability of cells and tissues, extending the life and health of the culture [18]. These attributes would be realized only decades later through methodological progression of designs. From the 1940s through the 1960s, more than 20 different designs for perfusion systems appeared (e.g., Mackaness-type and Pulvertaft-type chambers). They increased culture longevity and permitted time-lapse imaging (capturing images of dynamic events at predefined intervals of time) and testing of
pharmacological agents [22]. Among the various iterations are a two-gasket chamber design for improving fluidic circulation and exchange, a one-coverslip design modeled on the hanging drop apparatus, and the dual coverslip device (the Rose Chamber, Figure 4) [43]. During chamber assembly and sealing, small bubbles can be intentionally trapped inside to stir the media with rotational planes. Today, commercially available chambers hold close to the original design of the Rose Chamber, while other models have been developed that incorporate and make use of new technologies, including indium tin oxide glass coatings for thermal stability and the glass-bottomed Petri dish for fluorescence microscopy.

Dispersed neurons are most often cultivated in static baths on hard planar substrates of glass or polystyrene. Vessels range from macroscopic culture flasks to microscopic chambers. When removed from the supporting structure and perfusing vascularization of the brain and maintained in vitro, organotypic slices become very soft and fragile. More advanced culture methods that integrate neurons or specialized substrates for controlling neuronal

Figure 4. Slide culture chambers. A replica of the Rose chamber developed according to the prescribed specifications for sustaining cells in culture [43]. Numerous chamber types and modifications have been developed and are used for culturing and imaging neurons in vitro. a) Schematic representation of the Rose chamber in cross-section. Two microscope coverslips spaced by a gasket to seal the culture chamber are sandwiched between two metal frames. The bottom frame has a recessed surface to permit access of the microscope objectives to the coverslip and four counter-holes for the bolts to hold the chamber assembly. b) Photograph of two separate Rose chamber replicas. The top chamber is completely assembled; the bottom chamber is partly disassembled to show the coverslip on the gum rubber gasket.
In the remainder of this review, we address these advanced methodologies (e.g., microchips of silicon-based substrates, morphologically and chemically defined material substrates and/or biochemically relevant agents, and microfluidic devices). With the ability to investigate neurons in culture, numerous discoveries relevant to the development of neuronal morphology and function were achieved in the 60 years following the inception of *in vitro* culture methods. Scientific advances often parallel the technical refinement of the investigation. To access the biology with greater resolution, scientists began moving beyond merely culturing neurons to controlling neurons, driving interactions, or challenging cells with greater precision than could be accomplished through conventional methods. The micro-island culture and Campenot chamber provided the means to accomplish such investigations and pioneered the era in which neurons could be probed, controlled, and cultured under greater constraints. Through lithography, microtechnology, engineering, and chemistry, exquisite mi-
croenvironments can be produced that present microenvironmental cues that reproduce, in fundamental form, those experienced in vivo (e.g., diffusible chemical gradients, pulses of stimulants, micro- and nano-scale topography, and compartmentalized microdomains). The evolution of these methods for controlling neuron subregions locally provides insights on the developmental, functional, and regenerative properties of neurons [4].

**The Micro-Island Culture**

In 1976, Furshpan and colleges devised a micro-culture method (cultures confined to smaller spatial dimensions) to better understand the cell-to-cell interactions of sympathetic neurons with cardiac myocytes in co-culture [44]. In comparison to the methods addressed above, micro-island cultures consist of small islands (300-500 µm diameter) of cell-adhesion permissive substrates situated against a background substrate that precludes cell attachment and growth. On these micro-island substrates, cells can be cultured in physical isolation through confinement of a few (≤ 5) cells in co-cultures that embody cells of the same or different tissue origins. On a macro-scale, the Petri dish can have numerous micro-islands and support cell populations housed within the same dish to provide beneficial trophic support and reduce media evaporation. By restricting cell contact between solitary rat sympathetic neurons to a micro-island of cultured cardiac myocytes, the first access to neuromuscular connections was achieved. In contrast, co-cultures in the Petri dish without substrate patterns or guidance cues developed neuron-myocyte connections at random, so they were challenging to find and study.

The logical and practical advantages of micro-island cultures are evident when comparing the ability to locate and identify the high-density neuromuscular connections with the conventional approach in the Petri dish. With this self-innervating (autaptic) micro-island preparation (Figure 5a-d), significant changes in neurite arborizations and membrane properties are evident compared with neurons in mass cultures [45,46].

Micro-island cultures have revealed numerous molecular and cellular mechanisms of neuron development and synaptic transmission [47-49], mechanisms that govern synapse formation and mismatch [50], and synaptic modulation [51-53], depression [54], and potentiation [55]. Further, insights have been discovered on the cellular basis of epilepsy [56-58], neurotransmitter production and release [59,60], and activity-dependent autapse maturation [61].

Due to the broad impact of the micro-island culture approach in elucidating substrates of synaptic activity, contemporary detailed protocols are available that enable the researcher to implement this simple, yet elegant approach [62]. Within a year of the first micro-island culture publication, the Campenot chamber emerged for subcellular fluidic control *in vitro*.

**The Campenot Chamber**

Whereas the hanging drop afforded a limited-volume culture environment and the micro-island confined cell development on micro-substrates, the Campenot chamber, introduced in 1977, offered the ability to compartmentalize sympathetic neurons of the rat superior cervical ganglia for selective chemical manipulation of neurotrophic factors locally, at neurites of the developing neurons (Figure 5e-f) [63]. Prior to the development of the Campenot chamber, investigations into the responses of cultured neurons to differential chemical environments were achieved through explant co-cultures [64-66]. Robert Campenot’s three-chamber design enabled subcellular control of manipulations, across ranges from hundreds of microns to millimeters, and led to the discovery that nerve growth factor (NGF) enhances local neurite growth. This simple and effective technique has been improved and utilized beyond the initial study [67-69], facilitating local fluidic control, spatial access to distinct regions of the compartmentalized neuron, and micro-electrode-based assessments of local signal transduction events [70-77]. Modifications to the device have included glass cloning cylinders sealed onto a scratched collagen substrate [77], microfluidic devices [4,74,75].
and even aluminum foil [78]. In these two-chambered variants of the initial design, neurons are introduced into one compartment and axons grow into and become compartmentalized within the second. This design extended study of cultured neurons beyond the spinal ganglionic neurons to central and peripheral neurons of any type. The two-compartment chamber has the advantages: 1) as a simplified, user-friendly version of the original three-compartment device [77]; 2) in permitting alternative fabrication methods that refined the micro-environment for axonal isolation [78]; and 3) for enabling recording of electrical signals from fluidically isolated axons via embedded micro-electrode arrays [74].

While the practice of compartmentalizing neurons remains advantageous, further spatiotemporal control has been integrated and advanced through microfluidic devices. By merging microtechnologies of the physical sciences and engineering with Campenot’s original concept, designs are rapidly evolving to produce complex, integrated, and highly controllable devices for investigating cell and tissue development.

**Microelectrode Arrays**

Microelectrode arrays (also termed multi-electrode arrays, MEAs) have been utilized in cellular neuroscience and engineering for over nearly 30 years. MEAs have been developed as an on-chip approach to probe and record neuronal communication [79]. These devices consist of multiple microfabricated electrodes, usually with only conductive nodes exposed, often arranged in patterns designed by the user. MEAs range in size from ~50 to >80,000 electrodes on planar surfaces. This flexibility of design offers a range of electrophysiological capabilities, from sub-cellular resolution of single cells to field potentials [80-83]. Primary neurons seeded at a range of densities, stem cell-derived neuronal networks, and slices of brain tissue have been integrated with MEAs [80,84,85]. The drive to understand the emergence of neuronal networks, the organization within them, and information flow and integration in signaling between neurons and neuron clusters is being advanced through present-day applications of MEA with devices of a range of designs.

**Microfluidic Devices**

While techniques of molecular biology enable dynamic molecular imaging and manipulations for dissecting intracellular signaling mechanisms, the goal of achieving high-resolution spatiotemporal control of the extracellular environment is presently advancing through applications of microfluidic devices. Development of new microfluidic devices permits the control of fluidic microdomains surrounding discrete neurites of an individual neuron and portions of neuronal microcircuits [86]. New ways of assessing the microenvironment and subregions are critical for neuroscience, because the neuron extends its fine processes into spatially dispersed regions distant (millimeters in vitro) from the cell body.

Photolithography and replicate molding enable the fabrication of inexpensive, disposable microfluidic devices made of moldable gels and polymers. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) is the most widely used material in the fabrication of microfluidic devices, yet other materials can be used, including poly(silazane) and gelatin [87,88]. Microfluidic devices further refine the ability to culture neurons by offering a number of advantages (Table 1). They retain many of the attributes of the aforementioned culture devices, namely fluid exchange (used in flasks, dishes, and chambers), density control and cellular confinement (used in micro-islands), and compatibility with optical imaging (dishes, chambers, and the hanging drop). After generating a “master” mold, microfluidic devices can be easily and reproducibly manufactured with inexpensive reagents in a hood or on a bench top in almost any laboratory setting. Microfluidic devices can be reversibly, or irreversibly, sealed to glass, polystyrene, silicon wafers, or other microfabricated microdevices to render a 3-D microenvironment (fluid-filled domain with growth surfaces in x, y, and z planes) capable of chemotemporal control. PDMS microfluidic devices have intrinsic properties amenable for cell culture [89], in-
cluding optical transparency with high gas permeation [90,91], low water permeability, practical scalability, thermal stability, and biocompatibility [92].

By understanding these advantages, predetermined designs for the microfluidic environment can be developed to address specific applications and research questions. Analogous to the hanging drop technique of the bacteriologist, microfluidic devices enable a direct approach to study neurons in highly controllable ways, from subcellular regions of an individual cell to neuron pairs and networks of neurons [93]. Unlike the Carrel flask or Petri dish, these fluidically controlled microdevices enable sub-cellular environments and manipulations beyond traditional cultures; moreover, they offer defined microdomains that can be used to mimic, at a fundamental level, aspects of brain microenvironments, such as focal dendritic stimulation [93], glial mylenation of axons [94], and the development of cortico-striatal synaptic connections [95]. In this way, spatiotemporal fluidic control can be imposed on neurons in nanoliter cultures with 3-D topography for precise manipulations.

The tremendous flexibility of design control enables the neuroscientist to address new questions with tailored culture methods offering exquisite precision. Here, we briefly summarize progress on the fundamental uses of microfluidic devices in neurobiology. Controlled substrates are addressed first, as they are products of microfluidic device applications for neurobiology. This is followed by 3D microdomain cultures and co-cultures in microchannels, and biocompatibility. Finally, we address progress on chemotemporal fluidic control using microfluidic devices. Due to the multifunctional nature of microfluidic devices, these topics are not mutually exclusive; rather, they are individual applications of a device that provides a range of possibilities.

**Controlled substrates**

Dissociated neurons in culture polarize and undergo a characteristic developmental sequence, as *in vivo*. Initial neurites undergo specification wherein one primary neurite becomes an axon and the remaining neurites develop into dendrites [96]. Axon guidance during development is regulated in part by external signals, both long-range diffusive cues and short-range contact cues. Both attractive and repulsive cues fine-tune axonal pathfinding and cellular associations.

Defining and regulating extrinsic cues can be accomplished by improved environmental control. The ability to control the pattern and chemical composition of substrates has been used for a broad spectrum of biological applications to control surface contacts and signals. While microfluidics can be used for patterning substrates to guide neuronal populations [97], other non-microfluidic-based methods exist [98-102] and are used alternatively due to the different fabrication advantages they provide. Here we consider the emerging area of studying neurons in micro-engineered environments with nano-scale volumes and complex substrate patterns.

Patterning techniques produce defined geometrical substrate in monolayers of adhesive, attractive, and/or repulsive compounds. Substrate molecules can be laid down singly, adjacent, or combined with or within other substrates and are compatible for culturing dissociated neurons [3,102-111]. To investigate how extrinsic signals influence axo-dendritic polarity, Banker and colleagues presented embryonic hippocampal neurons with adjacent stripes of molecules known to influence cell adhesion to determine whether these ligands could preferentially induce one of the several primary neurites to become an axon [112,113]. Through further extensions of this approach, intersecting lines of different recombinant or purified cell-adhesion molecules further refined the analysis of neurite navigation *in vitro* [104,114,115]. These patterned substrates demonstrated that various immobilized substrates predictably guide neuron polarization, axon formation, and growth cone navigation.

In addition to adhesion molecules, neuronal differentiation is influenced by trophic factors, diffusible extrinsic molecules that provide critical signals during nervous system development. Trophic factors influence the outgrowth and trajectory axons and den-
drites and confer neuroprotection from environmental insults. Due to their beneficial role in development, trophic factors have been used as substrates to expose neurons to activated surfaces and gradients for regulating development [116].

Establishing neuronal circuits in vivo relies upon cues present during the developmental period that may not be sustained. The ability to understand how developmentally instructive gradients encourage neurite extension is facilitated by flow- and diffusion-
controlled devices [117]. Fluidically stable and tunable biomolecular gradients have been well characterized, and numerous means exist for producing the desired results [118]. However, some require special equipment, are cumbersome, and are not compatible with neuronal cultures due to shear stresses and/or device designs. Through microfluidic devices, laminar flow can be used to generate defined diffusive or substrate-bound gradients to investigate the context-dependent response of a neuron and its sub-cellular extensions to the local environment (Figure 6a-b) [97].

3-D microenvironments

Planar two-dimensional substrate surfaces provide the means for culturing dispersed neurons on a uniform substrate, which offers valuable insights into nerve cell growth behavior and function in an easily viewable plane. However, the brain is a 3-D structure. Microfluidic techniques enable the fabrication of defined topographical structures and facilitate chemotemporal manipulation (temporal control of media and reagents in a given fluidic space) that better approximates the microenvironmental dynamics of the brain. In the context of controlling substrate-bound cues and topography, there is a need to understand how structural changes of select regions of the neuron occur in response to precise input from the ambient biological, chemical, and physical environment. With the combination of substrate cues and material devices, Gomez and colleagues investigated neuronal responses to competitive chemical stimuli and contact cues. As a result, they found that topographical cues are stronger inducers of neuronal polarity than immobilized chemical cues [119,120].

Co-cultures

Co-culturing cells of different types within microfluidic devices has the power to interrogate cell-to-cell contacts and interactions while achieving differential fluidic exposure, sample manipulation, and collection. These co-cultures can be of different types of neurons or of neurons and glial cells. Cells with distinct functionalities and from different brain regions can be maintained in adjacent culture domains while being permitted to form cell-to-cell connections. Beyond enhancing neuronal survival [94], co-culturing glia with neurons improves the transfection efficiency of co-cultured neurons. Interconnecting large populations of neurons from tissue preparations of different brain regions can be achieved easily through microfluidic devices, and multiple samples can be set up on a single microfluidic device to produce multiple replicates through one process [121]. Further, isolated cells and organotypic slices from different brain regions cross-innervate via micro-channels fabricated within the device. This architecture enables functional studies, while manipulating the chemical composition of local compartments [122]. Tissue-level studies can be accomplished through the ability to build and manipulate neural pathways. These approaches can advance understanding brain tissue development, plasticity, pathology of epileptiform disorders, and circadian clock entrainment, to name a few.

Extrinsic signals are altered during disease states. Whether they are contributors to, or products of, the disease is a matter of debate. For example, β-amylloid is proposed to affect axonal retrograde transport and the downstream propagation of TrkB signaling [123]. To test this hypothesis, embryonic cortical neurons from wild-type mice and an Alzheimer’s disease (AD) transgenic model (Tg2576, over-expressing amyloid precursor protein) were co-cultured in compartmentalized microfluidic devices. Cleavage products of amyloid precursor protein impair TrkB processing and retrograde transport of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in diseased neurons. γ-secretase inhibitors reverse a retrograde signaling deficit in AD mouse neurons. This elegant use of microfluidic devices reveals that β-amylloid attenuates signaling via brain-derived neurotrophic factor by impairing axonal transport. This suggests a possible explanation of synaptic dysfunction in AD. AD-like pathological states can be generated in only a portion of neuronal networks within microfluidic devices [124]. Experiments manipulating co-cultures with microfluidic devices to study in-
interactions of neurons from different sources are just appearing; this approach has tremendous potential.

**Biocompatibility**

Neurons are highly sensitive to the surrounding environment. Establishing cell cultures of dispersed neurons and glia can require reagents of high purity and refined protocols [125]. Building microfluidic devices with biocompatible materials improves culture reliability and the likelihood of success for integrating neurons within the device. Gas permeability is a material property of PDMS, enabling development of 3-D closed systems [90,91]. Notwithstanding the demonstrated biocompatibility of PDMS in numerous microfluidic culture applications, we recently demonstrated that by introducing a solvent-extraction step during fabrication that PDMS biocompatibility can be enhanced for scaling down channel systems to sustain low densities of primary postnatal hippocampal neurons within nanoliter-scale microchannels [92]. Therefore, using solvent-extracted PDMS with channels of high surface-area-to-volume ratios improves culture viability for cultivating neurons in these engineered microdomains.

**Chemotemporal control**

Extrinsic signals establish and enrich neuron-neuron interactions during development. Micro-neural circuits may become altered by damage or disease over the lifespan and, thus, underlie mental health and neurological dysfunctions. Understanding the signals that shaped nervous system wiring during development may enable us to identify and implement key effectors of repair and restoration of function. Media and substrates can be selectively directed through fabricated microchannels by laminar flow for controlling the chemical environment and treatment application (Figure 6c-d) [93,112,126,127]. Media processing and peptide extraction for mass spectrometry can be applied directly, with the knowledge that the signals originated from a defined neuron population (Figure 6e) [128,129]. With these advantages, an increasing number of reports are utilizing microfluidic devices as tools for direct physiological or biochemical applications in neurobiology (Figure 6f) [130-133].

Precise temporal delivery of stimulatory or inhibitory molecules to neurons in culture could be greatly improved through integrating microfluidic channels into defined, fluidic networks, but this has yet to be tested extensively. Recent reports demonstrate the ability to perform focused chemotemporal manipulations of neuronal subregions in microfluidics [93]. Furthermore, competitive signals or confounding variables of surrounding tissues can be removed to characterize secreted signaling molecules in finite volumes for neurochemical signaling studies [128]. Neurochemicals released from living neurons can be preserved and manipulated by harnessing the spatiotemporal fluidic control enabled by this technology.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

No single device or method can yet fully re-create the natural, unaltered environment of the brain for studying neurons under the range of contexts and dynamics in which they function. However, the knowledge that has been acquired from reduced neuronal preparations is invaluable. From Ross Harrison’s first culture in the hanging drop to the present, advancements in culture methodologies have emerged and advanced neuroscience. As new techniques are developed, they should be embraced for their ability to address unanswered questions, strategic research priorities, and provide insights into the contextual cellular development and operation of the brain. Examples include 1) novel drug discovery for treatment of nervous system disorders; 2) resolving mechanisms governing dendrite development and guidance; 3) finding and treating brain reservoirs of HIV (human immunodeficiency virus); and 4) discovering elements of the synaptome (i.e., genes, proteins, biochemic- als, etc.).

Methodological approaches are established for culturing brain tissues and controlling neurons *in vitro*, including the hanging drop for stem cell, cell signaling, and embry-
ological studies [134-136] and the roller tube for organotypic cultures [33,35]. The degree to which these techniques will be used in the future will depend on many factors, including ease of use, affordability, commercial availability, and the range of scientific productivity afforded by each. Flasks, dishes, micro-chambers, and Campenot chambers are readily available, and micro-islands can be easily generated. Considering the newness of applications of microfluidic devices in neurobiology, their full potential has yet to be realized. In part, this will depend on the availability of, or access to, facilities for the fabrication of master devices to mold the microfluidic channels. It will also depend on how well investigators can become adept at exploiting the potential of the devices and how microfluidics can be effectively incorporated with standard biological methods and toolsets. Notwithstanding these considerations, microfluidic devices are empowering chemotemporal control at the sub-cellular level and offer the opportunity to create regulated environments in three dimensions. Applications and insights in the second century of neuron culture are likely only limited by our imaginations.
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